2018 Aug-15 PM 10:34 U.S. DISTRICT COURT N.D. OF ALABAMA

Exhibit N

Case 2:16-cv-01443-AKK Document 55-2 Filed 08/15/18 Page 2 of 90 **Gordon Johnson** 1 (1 - 4)

Page 1 1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ALABAMA 3 SOUTHERN DIVISION 4 4 4 assign grounds at the time of trial of the time said deposition is offered 5 the time said deposition is offered 6 evidence, or prior thereto. 7 CIVIL ACTION NO.: 2:16-cv01443-AKK 8 Rules of Civil Procedure, I, Lane of Butler, am hereby delivering to Ri 10 Plaintiff, 11 to any questions except as to form 2 leading questions and that counsel 3 the parties may make objections and 4 assign grounds at the time of trial of the time said deposition is offered 6 evidence, or prior thereto. 7 In accordance with the Fede 8 Rules of Civil Procedure, I, Lane of Butler, am hereby delivering to Ri 10 E. Davis, Esq., the original transcription.	for nd or at in eral C.
2 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ALABAMA 3 SOUTHERN DIVISION 4 4 assign grounds at the time of trial of the time said deposition is offered 5 the time said deposition is offered 6 evidence, or prior thereto. 7 CIVIL ACTION NO.: 2:16-cv01443-AKK 8 Rules of Civil Procedure, I, Lane of BLACK WARRIOR RIVERKEEPER, INC., 9 BLACK WARRIOR RIVERKEEPER, INC., 10 Plaintiff, 10 E. Davis, Esq., the original transcri	for nd or at in eral C.
3 SOUTHERN DIVISION 3 the parties may make objections at assign grounds at the time of trial of the time said deposition is offered 6 evidence, or prior thereto. 7 CIVIL ACTION NO.: 2:16-cv01443-AKK 8 Rules of Civil Procedure, I, Lane of Rules of Civil Procedure, I, Lane of Butler, am hereby delivering to Ri 10 Plaintiff, 10 E. Davis, Esq., the original transcri	nd or at in eral C. echard
4 assign grounds at the time of trial of the time said deposition is offered 5 the time said deposition is offered 6 evidence, or prior thereto. 7 CIVIL ACTION NO.: 2:16-cv01443-AKK 8 In accordance with the Fede 8 Rules of Civil Procedure, I, Lane of Butler, am hereby delivering to Ri 10 Plaintiff, 10 E. Davis, Esq., the original transcri	or at in eral C. chard
5 the time said deposition is offered 6 evidence, or prior thereto. 7 CIVIL ACTION NO.: 2:16-cv01443-AKK 8 In accordance with the Fede 8 Rules of Civil Procedure, I, Lane G 9 BLACK WARRIOR RIVERKEEPER, INC., 10 Plaintiff, 10 E. Davis, Esq., the original transcr	in eral C. chard
6 evidence, or prior thereto. 7 CIVIL ACTION NO.: 2:16-cv01443-AKK 8 In accordance with the Fede 8 Rules of Civil Procedure, I, Lane O 9 BLACK WARRIOR RIVERKEEPER, INC., 10 Plaintiff, 10 E. Davis, Esq., the original transcr	eral C. chard
7 CIVIL ACTION NO.: 2:16-cv01443-AKK 8 8 8 Rules of Civil Procedure, I, Lane C 9 BLACK WARRIOR RIVERKEEPER, INC., 10 Plaintiff, 10 E. Davis, Esq., the original transcr	C. chard
8 Rules of Civil Procedure, I, Lane O 9 BLACK WARRIOR RIVERKEEPER, INC., 10 Plaintiff, 8 Rules of Civil Procedure, I, Lane O 9 Butler, am hereby delivering to Ri 10 E. Davis, Esq., the original transcr	C. chard
 9 BLACK WARRIOR RIVERKEEPER, INC., 10 Plaintiff, 9 Butler, am hereby delivering to Ri 10 E. Davis, Esq., the original transcr 	chard
Plaintiff, 10 Plaintiff, 10 E. Davis, Esq., the original transcr	
= 1 = 4 + 15, = 54, the strained	int
	ıpı
11 of the oral testimony taken the 21s	st day
¹² v. ¹² of June, 2018.	
Please be advised that this is	s
14 DRUMMOND COMPANY, 14 the same and not retained by the C	Court
Defendant. 15 Reporter, nor filed with the Court.	
16	
17	
18 DEPOSITION TESTIMONY OF: 18	
19 GORDON JOHNSON, M.Sc., P.Eng.	
20 June 21, 2018 20	
21	
22	
23	
Page 2	Page 4
1 STIPULATIONS 1 APPEARANCES	
² IT IS STIPULATED AND AGREED ²	
³ by and between the parties through their ³ FOR THE PLAINTIFF:	
⁴ respective counsel that the deposition of	
⁵ GORDON JOHNSON may be taken before Lane ⁵ Barry A. Brock, Esq.	
⁶ C. Butler, a Court Reporter and Notary	
7 Public for the State at Large, at the law 7 SOUTHERN ENVIRONMENTAL LA	
8 offices of Starnes Davis Florie, 100 8 2829 Second Avenue South, Suite 282	
⁹ Brookwood Place, Seventh Floor,⁹ Birmingham, Alabama 35233	
¹⁰ Birmingham, Alabama, on the 21st day of ¹⁰ bbrock@selcal.org	
¹¹ June, 2018, commencing at approximately ¹¹ candreen@selcal.org	
12 9:00 a.m.	
13 IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED 13 Eva L. Dillard, Esq.	
14 AND AGREED that the signature to and the 14 BLACK WARRIOR RIVERKEEPER,	, INC.
reading of the deposition by the witness 15 710 37th Street South	
16 is waived, the deposition to have the 16 Birmingham, Alabama 35222	
17 same force and effect as if full 17 edillard@blackwarriorriver.org	
18 compliance had been had with all laws and	
rules of Court relating to the taking of [19]	
20 the depositions.	
21 IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED 21	
22 AND AGREED that it shall not be necessary 22	
23 for any objections to be made by counsel 23	

Case 2:16-cv-01443-AKK Document 55-2 Filed 08/15/18 Page 3 of 90 **Gordon Johnson** 2 (5 - 8)

Gol don Johnson	2 (3 - 6)
Page 5	Page 7
¹ FOR THE DEFENDANT:	¹ I, Lane C. Butler, a Court
2	² Reporter and Notary Public, State of
³ Richard E. Davis, Esq.	³ Alabama at Large, acting as Notary,
⁴ STARNES DAVIS FLORIE	⁴ certify that on this date, pursuant to
⁵ 100 Brookwood Place, Seventh Floor	⁵ the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and
⁶ Birmingham, Alabama 35209	⁶ the foregoing stipulation of counsel,
⁷ red@starneslaw.com	⁷ there came before me at the law offices
8	8 of Starnes Davis Florie, 100 Brookwood
9	⁹ Place, Seventh Floor, Birmingham,
10	¹⁰ Alabama, commencing at approximately 9:00
11	¹¹ a.m., on the 21st day of June, 2018,
12	¹² GORDON JOHNSON, M.Sc., P.Eng., witness in
13	13 the above cause, for oral examination,
14	14 whereupon the following proceedings were
15	¹⁵ had:
16	16
17	GORDON JOHNSON, M.Sc., P.Eng.,
18	being first duly sworn,
19	¹⁹ was examined and testified as follows:
20	20
21	THE COURT REPORTER: Thank you.
22	Usual stipulations?
23	MR. BROCK: Sure. Yeah, we'll
Page 6	Page 8
¹ INDEX	¹ read and sign.
2	2
³ EXAMINATION BY: PAGE NO.	³ EXAMINATION BY MR. DAVIS:
4 Mr. Davis 8	⁴ Q. Okay. Good morning, Mr.
5	⁵ Johnson.
6	6 A. Good morning.
7	⁷ Q. We've previously met. I'm
8	⁸ Richard Davis, and as you know, I
⁹ EXHIBITS	⁹ represent the Drummond Company in the
10	10 case here that's brought by Black Warrior
11 FOR THE DEFENDANT:	11 Riverkeeper.
12 1 - Deposition notice 12	Have you previously given any
13 2 - Curriculum vitae 15	13 depositions?
14 3 - Expert report, October 2017 53	14 A. No.
15 4 - Memorandum, 11/8/17 181	Q. Never?
16 5 - Rebuttal report, April 2018 191	16 A. No.
17	17 Q. Okay.
18	A. I've been involved in
19	administrative hearings and in court
20	20 cases.
21	21 Q. Okay.
22	A. But those never included
23	23 depositions Simply testifying and

Gordon Johnson

¹ examination.

- Q. All right. So you've given
- ³ testimony at trial?
- A. Correct.
- Q. And you have given testimony at
- administrative hearings?
- A. Correct.
- Q. All right. Did any of those
- ⁹ trials or hearings have anything to do
- with coal mining?
- A. Yes.
- 12 Q. All right. And can you identify
- ¹³ which one or more did?
- A. One of the hearings I was
- 15 involved with was for an operation called
- ¹⁶ Keephills.
- Q. Okay.
- A. And so it was an expansion of a
- power-generating facility. I was
- retained by a group of homeowners through
- a lawyer who were affected by the
- expansion of the mine.
- O. Okay.

Page 10

- A. And they had concerns about the
- ² manner with which bottom ash and fly ash
- ³ was being managed.
- Q. Okay.
- A. So that was the -- that was the
- ⁶ focus of my involvement.
- Q. All right. And were you
- ⁸ retained as an expert witness in that
- A. Correct, yes. 10
- Q. Okay. Was it a trial or an 11
- ¹² administrative hearing?
- 13 A. It was a hearing. It's a
- ¹⁴ regulatory process for large projects
- 15 that go to public hearing under the
- environmental acts in Alberta. So that
- 17 was the grounding of the involvement.
- Q. All right. You anticipated my
- 19 next question, which was, was that in
- ²⁰ Canada or the USA, and it was in Canada?
- 21 A. Canada.
- Q. Okay. Have you testified in any
- ²³ administrative proceedings in the United

¹ States?

- A. I have not.
- Q. Have you testified in any
- ⁴ trials, and by that I mean a litigated
- matter such as you're testifying in
- today, in the United States?
- A. I have not.
- Q. Okay. Since you haven't been
- ⁹ deposed before, this is not really any
- different than any other sworn testimony
- you have given. I will be asking you
- some questions, you will be giving
- answers, the court reporter will be
- taking those answers down. We both need
- to make an effort to speak audibly and
- clearly so she can type down what we're
- saying. And as you may be aware, what
- the result of this will be will be a
- typed transcript where the questions will
- be preceded by the letter "Q," the
- answers will be preceded by the letter
- ²² "A," and as we mark exhibits like we've
- ²³ just done, those will be appended to the

Page 11

- ¹ deposition. Okay.
- If at any point you want to take
- a break for any reason, just let me know,
- and I'll be happy to accommodate you.
- ⁵ It's supposed to be an
- ⁶ information-gathering endeavor. It is
- not intended to be punitive or anything
- else like that, so I want you to be
- comfortable throughout the process.
- 10 Okay?

11

- All right. What you have in
- front of you has been marked Exhibit 1 to
- your deposition. Have you seen that
- document before?
- (Defendant's Exhibit 1 was marked for
- identification and is attached.)
- A. Yes. I believe it was -- I got
- an e-mail, a PDF of this.
- Q. Okay. And this is simply the
- document, a type of document the parties
- exchange to set depositions. And they're
- ²² also allowed by the rules, as we did
- ²³ here, to append a document request to it,

Gordon Johnson 4 (13 - 16)

12

¹ have thoroughness to make sure all

² documents that are pertinent have been

³ produced.

Will you take a look at Exhibit

⁵ A and let me know if you've seen that

⁶ before.

⁷ (Witness reviews document.)

⁸ A. Yes, I have.

⁹ Q. Okay. And was that something

10 you discussed with counsel for

¹¹ Riverkeeper as well?

12 A. Yes.

Q. You see the categories of

¹⁴ documents that are requested. We

15 requested at number 2 books, treatises,

¹⁶ and that kind of thing, but by discussion

¹⁷ between the lawyers, you know, between me

¹⁸ and Mr. Brock, we're not asking people

¹⁹ unless it's convenient for them to

produce the actual document or book so

21 long as it's been identified as a

²² reference somewhere in the report.

So with that caveat, are there

Page 14

¹ any documents that you have used or

² referenced in any of your reports that

³ have not been provided to the lawyers and

⁴ that are not identified in your report?

A. I think I understood the

⁶ question.

⁷ Q. It was a complicated question,

8 so.

A. There's no documents that I've

10 relied upon that aren't otherwise

¹¹ referenced in my report.

² Q. Okay. All right. And that

would include the expert -- the reports

¹⁴ of Mr. Brown and others and the

15 references that you've listed at the end

16 of your reports?

¹⁷ A. Correct.

¹⁸ Q. Okay. All right. Do you have

¹⁹ any documents within the scope of Exhibit

²⁰ A that you have not provided to the

²¹ lawyers?

²² A. The references that I've made

²³ are all publicly available, so

Q. Okay.

² A. You know, through the Web.

³ Q. Sure.

⁴ A. If they weren't otherwise

⁵ provided to the lawyers. So there are

⁶ one or two documents that are simply

⁷ available electronically on the Web.

⁸ Q. Right. And you have references

⁹ where those can be obtained?

¹⁰ A. That's right.

¹¹ Q. Okay. Thank you.

All right. Will you identify

¹³ what we've marked as Exhibit 2?

14 (Defendant's Exhibit 2 was marked for

¹⁵ identification and is attached.)

A. Yes. My resumé.

Q. Okay. Now, this one is dated

¹⁸ 2017. And I -- we received this from

¹⁹ counsel for Riverkeeper. Have there been

any substantive changes in your vitae

21 since 2017? And by that, by

²² "substantive," I mean have you obtained

²³ any new degrees, any new licenses, have

Page 16

Page 15

¹ you done any testimony, any of these

² major categories at all?

³ A. There's been no substantive

⁴ change.

⁵ Q. Okay. All right. And I'm

⁶ looking at the paragraph that begins your

⁷ CV. The second sentence where you're

⁸ relating your mining-related experience:

⁹ investigations, designs, construction

¹⁰ planning, supervision, performance

¹¹ monitoring for tailing impoundments,

waste dump, and management of off-spec

¹³ materials; right?

¹⁴ A. Correct.

Q. Okay. How many different

⁶ facilities have you, or mines have you

provided those services for?

A. Well, I can't give you a precise

⁹ number, but it would be more than twenty.

But on that order.

Q. Okay. How many of those are in

²² the United States?

A Less than five

Gordon Johnson 5 (17 - 20)

1 right?

14 given.

15

18

A. Correct.

A. Yes.

A. Yes.

Q. Okay.

give it a name.

Q. I see.

sole proprietor; right?

¹⁰ Burgess Environmental?

Q. And as I understand from your

Q. Meaning Gordon Johnson is the

CV, that's a sole proprietorship?

Q. Okay. Why do you call it

A. Because 1495138 Alberta,
 Limited, didn't sound personal enough,

which was the incorporated name I was

A. So you have -- you can elect to

A. Burgess is a rock formation in

²⁰ Yoho Valley in Banff, and Yoho National

Q. Okay. I understand. All right.

Park is outside of Calgary, a place I

¹ Q. How many were in Alabama?

² A. I worked with a company,

³ Lafarge, that had two former cement

⁴ manufacturing operations. So that's

⁵ mining of sorts. But not coal mining.

⁶ There was two facilities in Alabama.

Q. Okay. All right. Now, with

⁸ regard to the part of your answer where

⁹ the answer was more than twenty, you've

¹⁰ made a good point. Let me rephrase the

¹¹ question to be limited to coal mining.

¹² So, how many coal mining facilities or

¹³ mines have you provided the services

¹⁴ listed for, total?

¹⁵ A. I would say approximately ten.

¹⁶ Q. Okay.

A. Maybe about half the jobs that

¹⁸ I've worked on have been coal mines.

¹⁹ Q. Okay. And how many of those are

²⁰ in the USA?

²¹ A. Just one.

Q. Okay. What one was that?

²³ A. In Wyoming.

Page 18

•

¹ And under the Canadian system, you are

² assigned a number?

³ A. If you don't otherwise provide a

4 name

²² like.

⁵ Q. If you don't otherwise provide a

⁶ name. Okay. All right. Now, I

⁷ understand that you have some work

8 history in common with Anthony Brown?

⁹ A. Correct.

Q. Right? All right. And were

¹¹ there others, such as Wade Major or any

¹² of the other individuals that you worked

with such as the geophysical team that

¹⁴ also share some work history with you?

A. Yes.

⁶ Q. All right. Can you identify

¹⁷ those individuals?

¹⁸ A. Wade.

¹⁹ Q. Okay.

A. And Chris Slater, who was on the

²¹ geophysical team.

²² Q. Okay.

A Those two

Q. Okay. What's the name of the

² mine?

³ A. I'm going to say something like

⁴ Caballo, but it was a while ago, so it

⁵ was more than ten years ago, so I don't

⁶ -- Caballo Mine maybe.

⁷ O. Is that --

8 A. The answer to your question is I

⁹ can't remember.

¹⁰ Q. Okay. Is that project listed

¹¹ anywhere on your CV?

¹² A. It's not.

Q. All right. And how many coal

¹⁴ mines in Alabama?

¹⁵ A. None. Prior to Maxine.

Q. Okay. On how many days have you

¹⁷ actually had boots on the ground, your

18 boots on the ground at the Maxine Mine

19 site?

²⁰ A. Two.

Q. All right. Your present

²² position occupationally is that you're

²³ the president of Burgess Environmental;

Gordon Johnson 6 (21 - 24)

- ¹ Q. All right. And will you
- ² elaborate for us what your common work
- ³ history is?
- ⁴ A. So Anthony and I worked together
- ⁵ in a company called Komex that was a
- ⁶ private organization, and we were
- ⁷ partners in the organization. So Anthony
- ⁸ looked after the American part of the
- ⁹ business, and I was one of the people who
- 10 looked after the Canadian part of the
- ¹¹ business.
- ¹² Q. Okay.
- ¹³ A. Whilst I was doing that, Wade
- ¹⁴ was part of the Canadian operation, and
- 15 so was Chris.
- ¹⁶ Q. Okay. All right.
- ¹⁷ A. And it was an environmental
- ¹⁸ firm.
- ¹⁹ O. Sure.
- A. With maybe a focus on
- ²¹ groundwater.
- ²² Q. Okay. How long did you work in
- ²³ the same company with each of those

Page 22

- ¹ individuals?
- ² A. This is an estimate.
- ³ Q. Certainly.
- ⁴ A. But I would have left the
- ⁵ organization in 2009 and joined in 1995,
- ⁶ so that's the period of time where I
- ⁷ would have overlapped with Anthony.
- ⁸ Q. Okay.
- ⁹ A. With the others, maybe half that
- ¹⁰ duration. Wade came later. Chris came
- ¹¹ later.
- Q. Okay. And during the course of
- 13 your career with the company during those
- ¹⁴ overlap periods, did you work on one or
- ¹⁵ more projects with those individuals?
- ¹⁶ A. I may have worked with Wade. I
- ¹⁷ certainly didn't work with Anthony, and I
- ¹⁸ didn't work with Chris.
- ¹⁹ Q. Okay. How were you contacted
- ²⁰ about being involved in the Maxine Mine
- 21 case?
- ²² A. Anthony called.
- Q Okay And what did he tell you?

- A. Well, he was asking me about
- ² the -- he was asking me about somebody
- ³ else and whether I thought they would be
- ⁴ suitable to do the work.
- ⁵ Q. Okay.
- ⁶ A. So. One thing led to another.
- ⁷ Q. Okay. Who was he asking you
- 8 about?
- A. A former employee of Komex named
- ¹⁰ Mike Thompson.
- Q. Okay. And how did it come to be
- 12 that you are the person who I'm deposing
- 13 today?
- A. I think, you know, as I recall
- 15 the conversation, Anthony was interested
- ¹⁶ in the reclamation aspects of mine waste
- ¹⁷ facilities and was asking about Mike. Of
- 18 course, Mike worked in Canada, Anthony
- 19 worked in the U.S., so they didn't know
- ²⁰ each other intimately about what their
- ²¹ skills were. And Mike was a hydrologist,
- 22 so. I think they call it a
- ²³ hydrotechnical engineer now. Calculates

Page 24

- ¹ water velocities and speeds and volumes
- ² and things like that.
- ³ Q. Okay.
- ⁴ A. So I didn't think it was a
- ⁵ match.
- ⁶ Q. Okay. And you so advised Mr.
- ⁷ Brown, I take it?
- 8 A. Yes.
- ⁹ Q. Okay. And did you volunteer
- ¹⁰ your services, or did he inquire whether
- ¹¹ you would be interested?
- A. One or the other. Probably both
- 13 at the same time.
- Q. Okay. Are you currently working
- ¹⁵ on projects both in the United States and
- ¹⁶ Canada?
- A. Am I currently working on
- ¹⁸ projects? Yes.
- Q. Okay. Can you give me an
- 20 estimate, or if you happen to know it, a
- ²¹ precise number, as to what percentage of
- ²² the projects on which you are currently
- ²³ working are in Canada versus being in the

Gordon Johnson 7 (25 - 28)

¹ United States?

- ² A. I would say about 50 percent of
- ³ my work right now is in Canada, 25
- ⁴ percent in the United States, and 25
- ⁵ percent elsewhere.
- ⁶ Q. Okay. And if you would just
- ⁷ give me some examples generally of what
- ⁸ "elsewhere" would be currently.
- ⁹ A. Currently, I'm working with SNC
- 10 in Saudi Arabia with -- doing a
- ¹¹ groundwater management project for the
- ¹² Jeddah airport.
- ¹³ Q. Okay.
- ¹⁴ A. Which is winding down.
- ¹⁵ Q. All right. If you will, please,
- ¹⁶ Mr. Johnson, look at page 2 of your CV.
- ¹⁷ A. Yes.
- ¹⁸ Q. Under "Mining and Mine-Related"
- ¹⁹ experience. First item you've listed is
- ²⁰ "Environmental assessment and restoration
- ²¹ plan for the Maxine Mine waste rock
- ²² disposal area," as you have it listed.
- ²³ That would be this case; right?

Page 26

- A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. And is your assessment
- ³ and restoration plan what you have set
- ⁴ forth in your October 2017 expert report?
- A. Yes, it is.
- ⁶ Q. Okay. And I understand there
- ⁷ are two additional documents that we'll
- ⁸ talk about. There was a supplement from
- ⁹ November 8, 2017, and then a rebuttal
- ¹⁰ report from the end of April 2018.
- 11 Right?
- ¹² A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. All right. You also have
- ¹⁴ immediately below the Maxine Mine
- ¹⁵ reference a listing for "Environmental
- ¹⁶ and stability assessment for the bottom
- ¹⁷ and fly ash containment pond for the
- ¹⁸ Barry electric generating facility in
- 19 Mobile, Alabama." Right?
- ²⁰ A. Correct.
- Q. So this Maxine Mine assessment
- ²² that you have prepared and the assessment
- ²³ that you've listed for fly ash pond in

- ¹ Mobile are the two projects that you've
- ² done in Alabama?
 - A. They are two projects I've done
- ⁴ in Alabama, yes.
- Q. Okay. Have you done any other
- ⁶ projects in Alabama?
- A. The two I mentioned before when
- ⁸ you asked about mining projects in
- ⁹ Alabama and I mentioned the cement
- ¹⁰ plants.
- Q. Oh, okay. Yeah. Let me clarify
- ¹² my question, then.
- A. As Burgess, though, those are
- 14 the only two.
- ¹⁵ Q. Okay. Have you done any project
- ¹⁶ in Alabama involving coal mining other
- ¹⁷ than Maxine?
- ¹⁸ A. No.
- ¹⁹ Q. Okay. Now, if you'll look with
- ²⁰ me at the next three points listed on
- ²¹ your experience, the references to the
- ²² Kearl -- am I pronouncing that correctly,
- ²³ Kearl?

Page 28

- ¹ A. Correct.
 - Q. -- Oil Sands project, Kearl
- ³ Initial Development, and Kearl Expansion.
- ⁴ And then the next reference is to again
- ⁵ Kearl Oil Sands and Kearl Expansion. And
- ⁶ then there is a third bullet that
- ⁷ references Kearl Mine development and
- ⁸ operations. Are all three of those
- ⁹ related?
- ¹⁰ A. Yes, they are.
- ¹¹ Q. Okay. Same site?
- ¹² A. Yes, they are.
- Q. All right. And where is Kearl?
- ¹⁴ A. Kearl is an oil sands mine north
- ¹⁵ of Fort McMurray, Alberta.
- ⁶ Q. Okay. All right. If you will,
- look a few entries down. I'm looking now
- ¹⁸ at the entry for "Project manager for the
- 19 maintenance phase of the remedial
- ²⁰ response to the release of the coal
- ²¹ tailings impoundment associated with the
- ²² Obed Mountain Mine." What kind of mine
- ²³ was that?

Gordon Johnson 8 (29 - 32)

¹ A. It was a thermal coal mine.

² Q. And what, as you described it

³ here, does the phrase "release of the

⁴ coal tailings impoundment associated with

⁵ the Obed Mountain Mine" mean?

⁶ A. So most mines have a tailings

⁷ impoundment, and tailings are generated

⁸ by processing of ore using water, so the

⁹ tailings are a semifluid material.

¹⁰ There's a tailings pond, as I understand

11 it, at Maxine. Because they're

12 semifluid, they need to be contained

13 within a dam. In the case of Obed

14 Mountain dam, that dam failed, and the

¹⁵ water and coal tailings impounded by that

¹⁶ dam flowed down to the Athabasca River.

Q. Okay. All right. Is that an

¹⁸ ongoing project?

A. My involvement was not with the

²⁰ assessment and repairs. It was with the

²¹ managing the maintenance phase for a

²² period of time when I was at Norwest

²³ Consultants.

Page 30

Q. Okay.

A. And so it is likely ongoing, but

³ my involvement with the project ceased

⁴ when I moved away from Norwest.

Q. Okay. What was the length of

⁶ your personal involvement on that

⁷ project?

⁸ A. Months.

⁹ Q. Do you have an estimate as to

10 how many months?

¹¹ A. Three to six.

Q. Okay. Do you have a judgment as

13 to on how many occasions you were

14 personally boots on the ground at that

15 location?

¹⁶ A. Once.

Q. Again, you were working on the

¹⁸ maintenance phase of the remedial

19 response?

²⁰ A. Correct.

Q. Okay.

A. So we were assigned that

²³ project, and then I took a job with

¹ SNC-Lavalin, so it stayed with Norwest.

² Q. All right. You also list

³ "Preliminary engineering and permitting

⁴ support for proposed metallurgical coal

⁵ mines located in the Coal Valley and

⁶ north of Fernie, British Columbia."

⁷ Right?

⁸ A. Correct.

⁹ Q. All right. What can you tell us

about that project?

¹¹ A. So, my involvement was when I

¹² was with Norwest. And so there are

basically two types of coal, coal that

¹⁴ are -- is used for thermal power

¹⁵ generation and coal that is used in steel

16 making, which is called metallurgical

¹⁷ coal.

¹⁸ Q. All right.

A. In that portion of British

²⁰ Columbia, it's primarily metallurgical

²¹ coal. And there are probably six or

22 eight operating mines. One of Norwest's

²³ areas of expertise was doing assessments

Page 32

Page 31

¹ of mines pursuant to them being

² developed, you know, economic

³ feasibility, that sort of thing. So my

⁴ involvement would have been on the water

⁵ and geotechnical aspects of two mines

⁶ that were in the concept stage at that

⁷ time.

⁸ Q. Okay. Were those projects that

⁹ you were able to complete before you left

Norwest, or did they remain ongoing when

11 you departed?

A. To my knowledge, neither of the

¹³ mines was constructed.

Q. Okay. Do you know why?

¹⁵ A. I think that, to answer your

16 question, the portion of work that

¹⁷ Norwest was doing was completed in both

18 occasions.

O. Okay. Do you know why the mines

were not implemented or put in place?

²¹ A. Well, in 2013-2014, the price of

²² metallurgical coal basically crashed.

²³ And so I think the funding for new

Gordon Johnson 9 (33 - 36)

¹ projects was probably delayed or removed

- ² at that time by the proponents.
- Q. Okay. All right. You also have
- ⁴ a project involved "Hydrologic assessment
- ⁵ and reclamation planning for the former
- ⁶ mine pit associated with Barkerville
- ⁷ Golds's," is that Quesnel River? Is that
- 8 how you pronounce it?
- ⁹ A. Yes.
- ¹⁰ Q. Okay. Quesnel River Mine. Was
- 11 it a gold mine?
- A. It was an old gold mine, yes.
- Q. Okay. How long had it been
- 14 closed at that point?
- ¹⁵ A. The gold line had been
- ¹⁶ suspended, reactivated, suspended,
- ¹⁷ reactivated.
- ¹⁸ Q. Okay.
- A. And so at the time I was working
- on it, there was a portion of the mine
- 21 that was going to continue to be mined
- ²² and had a portion that was going to be
- ²³ permanently closed. So my involvement

Page 34

- ¹ was on the portion that was going to be
- ² permanently closed. My understanding is
- ³ it's still operating.
- ⁴ Q. Okay.
- ⁵ A. I don't know that for sure, but
- ⁶ I believe that's the case.
- ⁷ Q. Okay. All right. And then the
- ⁸ final project that I wanted to ask you
- ⁹ about regarding mining and mine-related
- ¹⁰ experience that you have listed is of the
- ¹¹ "Investigation and design of waste rock
- ¹² dumps and mine infrastructure for the
- ¹³ Line Creek coal mine located near
- ¹⁴ Sparwood, British Columbia."
- Okay. Can you tell us what you
- ¹⁶ did with regard to the Line Creek coal
- 17 mine?
- ¹⁸ A. So I had -- first of all, this
- 19 was early in my career. And as part of
- ²⁰ the mine development, especially in
- 21 mountainous regions, the mine waste
- ²² dump -- this is an open pit mine, so
- ²³ relative to Maxine Mine, there's a much

- ¹ higher volume of waste rock generated.
- ² And so we were tasked with analyzing the
- ³ stability of the foundation and the
- ⁴ slopes associated with those large rock
- ⁵ dumps. We were also retained to do some
- ⁶ design of water management systems
- ⁷ leaving the mine.
- ⁸ Q. Okay.
- ⁹ A. Sedimentation ponds, diversions,
- that sort of thing.
- Q. All right. What company were
- 12 you employed by at the time you worked on
- 13 that project?
- A. That was a Komex project.
- ¹⁵ Q. Okay. How long did you work on
- 16 that project?
- A. Well, our involvement in Line
- ¹⁸ Creek essentially continued the entire
- 19 time I was at Komex. It was a
- ²⁰ long-standing customer, and projects were
- ²¹ initiated and completed.
- ²² Q. Okay.
 - A. Throughout the time, as I

Page 36

- ¹ recall.
 - ² Q. All right. And according to
 - ³ your CV, you were at Komex from 1987 to
- ⁴ 2006; right?
- ⁵ A. Correct. There was a two-year
- ⁶ period of time when I wasn't there, but.
- ⁷ Q. Okay.
- ⁸ A. Through that period.
- Q. All right. What was the
- ¹⁰ two-year period in which you were not at
- 11 Komex?
- A. I moved for a brief period of
- time for personal reasons to Ontario, so.
- Q. Okay. What did you do while you
- ¹⁵ were in Ontario for employment?
- A. I was environmental engineer for
- ¹⁷ Conestoga-Rovers & Associates.
- Q. Okay. Is there any particular
- ¹⁹ reason that's not listed on your CV?
- A. No particular reason.
- Q. Okay. When were you working in
- ²² Ontario for Conestoga-Rovers?
 - A 1992 and 1993 Sorry, 1993 and

Gordon Johnson

¹ 1994.

Q. Why, then, does your CV show

³ continuous employment from 1987 to 2006

4 at Komex?

A. There's no -- there's no reason

⁶ per se. I try to keep my CV reasonably

⁷ short. Other than that. There was

8 nothing unique about my employment at

⁹ CRA.

10 Q. Do you think it's important when

11 someone has a CV that the CV be accurate?

A. Yes. I believe that my CV

¹³ accurately depicts the experience I've

¹⁴ had through my career.

Q. Okay. Notwithstanding that it

16 leaves off two years of employment at a

¹⁷ different company; right?

A. My CV does not include the

¹⁹ employment with CRA, but it does include

some of the projects I worked.

21 Q. Okay.

A. There was no intent to mislead

²³ in that. It's simply a matter of trying

Page 38

¹ to make my CV brief enough so that

² potential clients can look at it without

³ spending too much time seeing what I've

⁴ done.

Q. Okay. And understand I'm not

⁶ implying anything. I'm just trying to

⁷ understand the difference between what

you're telling me and what I see on the

document, so.

10 A. Correct.

11 Q. Please understand that.

12 A. Yes.

Q. Okay. And you don't see any

issue with showing a continuous nine-year

span of employment with Komex

notwithstanding that that's not factually

17 accurate?

18 MR. BROCK: I object to the

question. He has answered the question

20 now twice.

21 MR. DAVIS: Are you instructing

²² him not to answer?

MR BROCK: No I'm objecting

¹ to you asking him three times.

MR. DAVIS: I'm just trying to

understand why he's got inaccurate

information on his CV.

MR. BROCK: And he has answered

that twice.

Q. (By Mr. Davis) There's an

objection on the record, but you're

welcome to answer the question.

A. Well, I -- we can read back my

previous answer.

Q. Okay. So you don't care to say 12

anything further?

A. I can tell you that, in my

opinion, the positions I've held that are

written in my CV accurately depict the

types of experience that I've had that

are relevant to what I'm doing today. So

I don't see any problem with the omitting

the Conestoga-Rovers period of time. And

prior to joining -- it's not nine years,

22 by the way, with Komex, it was quite a

23 bit longer than that. Prior to --

Page 40

Page 39

Q. You're exactly right. Excuse

me. It's nineteen years, isn't it?

A. That's right. So, you know,

⁴ before that period of time, I had a brief

job with an oil company, a brief job with

another consulting company. But I don't

think that's material to what I'm doing

now, so that's why it's not on the

resumé.

Q. Okay. What oil company did you

work for?

12 A. Dome Petroleum.

13 O. When?

14 A. 1981.

15 Q. What's the other company that

you worked for?

17 A. I worked as a -- it was not

incorporated, but I worked as a

contractor, a technical contractor after

²⁰ I graduated from the University of

California. And I worked for a number of

²² companies. I worked for Gulf, I worked

²³ for Arctic Offshore Exploration, I worked

Gordon Johnson 11 (41 - 44)

¹ for a company called Hardy Moss out of

- ² California. But these were all brief
- ³ assignments that aren't material to what
- ⁴ I'm doing now, so that's why they're not
- ⁵ in there.
- ⁶ Q. Okay. What span of time does
- ⁷ that contracting work cover?
- ⁸ A. Pre-Komex.
- ⁹ Q. Okay. So from the time of your
- ¹⁰ graduation from the University of
- ¹¹ California with your master's degree
- ¹² until you became employed by Komex in
- ¹³ 1987?
- ¹⁴ A. Correct.
- ¹⁵ Q. Okay. And when you say that
- ¹⁶ experience is not material to what you
- ¹⁷ are doing now, what do you mean?
- ¹⁸ A. I mean it is not -- the type of
- ¹⁹ work I did at that time is not consistent
- ²⁰ with the type of work I'm doing now.
- Q. Did you finish your answer?
- ²² A. I did.
- ²³ Q. Okay. All right. Now if you

Page 42

- ¹ will, look for me on page 3 of your CV.
- ² You have a heading that reads
- ³ "Environmental Impact Assessment and
- ⁴ Permitting." Were any of the projects
- ⁵ you have listed there in the United
- ⁶ States?
- ⁷ (Witness reviews document.)
- 8 A. Yes.
- ⁹ Q. Okay. Which one or ones?
- A. So if you look at the second to
- ¹¹ the final bullet.
- ¹² Q. Okay. I see it.
- ¹³ A. That summarizes my experience
- ¹⁴ primarily with Lafarge and of the
- 15 facilities I worked at, assessed and
- ¹⁶ helped them with permitting. About half
- ¹⁷ were in the United States.
- ¹⁸ Q. Okay. What do you -- I want to
- 19 make sure I understand what you have got
- ²⁰ here. It says environmental assessment
- ²¹ and permitting. Was there a formal
- ²² program of environmental assessment that
- ²³ was prescribed by regulations, or is it

¹ an environmental assessment where you are

Page 43

- ² going in and looking at specific things
- ³ based on some program of professional
- ⁴ standards or both?
- A. It was a program of assessment
- ⁶ that was prescribed internally by Lafarge
- 7 to -- there's an off-spec product that
- 8 they refer to as cement kiln dust that is
- ⁹ disposed or stored at their -- at many of
- ¹⁰ their cement plants, not all.
- Q. Okay.
- ¹² A. And they were doing an internal
- ³ assessment to evaluate whether there was
- ¹⁴ any environmental implications associated
- with that kiln dust, and if so, what they
- ¹⁶ should do about it.
- Q. Okay. Have you ever worked on
- ¹⁸ an environmental impact study such as is
- ¹⁹ prescribed under certain circumstances
- ²⁰ under the United States federal neatness
- 21 statute, NEPA?
- ²² A. No. I have not in the United
- ²³ States.
 - Q. Okay. Are you familiar with the
 - ² environmental impact statement process
 - ³ generally speaking?
 - ⁴ A. Yes. There's a similar process
 - ⁵ in the areas that I have worked with.
 - Q. Okay.
 - ⁷ A. And there's a similar process
 - ⁸ internationally.
 - ⁹ Q. Okay. Good.
- ¹⁰ A. They're all similar, but not
- ¹¹ identical.
- Q. I understand. You're familiar
- with the process, then, in Canada; right?
- ¹⁴ A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And what is that process
- ¹⁶ called in Canada? Is it called
- ¹⁷ environmental impact assessment?
- A. In most provinces it is, yes.
- ¹⁹ Q. Okay.
- ²⁰ A. It's a provincially regulated
- ²¹ activity, primarily. There is a federal
- ²² impact assessment process as well.
- Q Okay

Gordon Johnson 12 (45 - 48)

¹ A. But it's either referred to as

² an environmental impact assessment or an

³ environmental assessment.

⁴ Q. Okay. What are the elements of

⁵ an environmental impact assessment?

A. The formally --

⁷ Q. The form that you're familiar

⁸ with.

⁹ A. Yes. Well, there are a lot of

¹⁰ components to it.

Q. Right. So generally, as best

12 you can as you sit here today.

A. So they break down into what I

¹⁴ would refer to as terrestrial issues.

¹⁵ Q. Okay.

¹⁶ A. Water resources and aquatic

¹⁷ issues. And social and economic issues.

¹⁸ Air emissions-related issues.

¹⁹ Q. Okay.

A. And they're bundled in that

²¹ manner. And they're likely twenty

22 some-odd components. I'm sure if I tried

²³ to list them, I would inadvertently leave

Page 46

¹ one or two out.

² Q. Certainly. Okay. I understand

³ those, those categories as you've

⁴ described them. Can you give us some

⁵ examples of the things that would be part

⁶ of the terrestrial assessment?

A. An assessment of soils, an

⁸ assessment of vegetation, an assessment

⁹ of wildlife, and an assessment of the

¹⁰ reclamation requirements, or conservation

¹¹ and reclamation, would be the four main

¹² ones that I'm familiar with.

Q. Okay. All right. And can you

14 give us some examples of elements that

¹⁵ would be under the heading of water and

¹⁶ aquatic?

¹⁷ A. Yes. Surface water,

¹⁸ groundwater. And then bundled, aquatic

19 life, it might be fish, it might be

²⁰ benthic organisms, might be other things.

²¹ Q. Okay.

²² A. And then the groundwater and

²³ surface water components are typically

¹ divided into quantity issues and quality

² issues.

Q. Okay. All right. Can you give

⁴ me some examples of what would be

⁵ assessed under the social and economic

⁶ category?

A. The economic impact of a

⁸ project, typically employment and money

⁹ generated by the economic activity.

Other aspects would be potential adverse

11 effects to neighboring land users or

12 stakeholders.

Q. Okay. And then finally, the

¹⁴ air-related category, can you give me

15 some examples there?

⁶ A. Air would be air emissions

modeling and then impact assessment on

¹⁸ air quality.

¹⁹ Q. Okay.

A. To be clear, I'm not an air

²¹ expert, but.

²² Q. Right.

A. But it's a process of looking at

Page 48

Page 47

¹ the emissions, either point source

² emissions or fugitive emissions, and what

³ effects that might have on whether it's

⁴ people or aesthetic issues or animals,

⁵ wildlife.

⁶ Q. Okay. And so I understand your

⁷ testimony, these are provincially

8 regulated assessments?

A. Primarily.

O. Okay.

¹¹ A. And there are federal

¹² overlapping rules as well.

¹³ Q. Okay.

¹⁴ A. I think a similar situation

¹⁵ exists in the United States.

⁶ Q. Okay. All right. But in any

particular instance, if an environmental

18 impact assessment is required, there is a

⁹ body of regulations to which one could

²⁰ refer that would set out all of the

²¹ requirements?

²² A. Correct. Sometimes two

²³ overlapping

Gordon Johnson

Q. Okay. In the environmental

- ² impact assessment scenario that exists in
- ³ Canada with which you're familiar, to
- ⁴ what kind of entities does that apply?
- ⁵ And let me try and give you a little
- ⁶ predicate that may make that question
- make more sense.
- In the United States, for
- ⁹ example, without getting into the
- technicalities, there has to be some
- 11 level of nexus with a governmental action
- 12 to trigger the process. Is there a
- similar linkage or trigger under Canadian
- ¹⁴ law, or does it have some other thing
- ¹⁵ that --
- 16 A. No, there are triggers.
- 17 Q. Okay.
- A. Some of which are objective and 18
- some of which are subjective.
- 20 O. Okav.
- A. Meaning the regulator will use
- 22 their discretion in the subjective cases.
- O. All right. Can you give me a

Page 50

- ¹ few examples for purposes of our
- ² understanding?
- A. A mine of a certain size, a
- petrochemical facility of a certain size.
- Q. Okay. Do you happen to know
- ⁶ what size of mine would require that kind
- ⁷ of oversight or engagement?
- A. I couldn't quote you the number,
- ⁹ but a mine site such as Maxine would be
- ¹⁰ large enough to trigger.
- 11 Q. Okay.
- A. And it also varies from place to
- place. There are not identical triggers
- ¹⁴ in each province.
- Q. Okay. If you will please turn
- ¹⁶ to page 4 of 4, and I'm now looking under
- the heading of "Legal and Regulatory
- ¹⁸ Representation." The first heading I
- 19 read to be a reference to both your work
- ²⁰ at Maxine, which you're here to talk
- about today, and then to your work
- ²² related to the ash containment pond at
- 23 the Barry electric generating facility in

¹ Mobile. Would that be correct?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. All right. And it says
- you are giving support to Riverkeeper and
- the Southern Environmental Law Center
- relating to those facilities. What do
- you mean by the word "support" as used
- there?
- A. What I mean is technical
- assessment in my area of expertise.
- Q. Okay. Do you perceive your role
- in the Maxine case to be an advocate for
- Riverkeeper?
- A. No.
- 15 Q. What do you perceive your role
- 16
- 17 A. To be -- to evaluate restoration
- 18 options for the GOB pile.
- Q. Okay.
- 20 A. And then to recommend at a
- conceptual level what I believe is a
- responsible restoration plan.
 - O. All right. In this -- under

Page 52

- ¹ this same category of "Legal and
- Regulatory Representation," you have
- ³ several -- a number of entries that begin
- with the word "Represented," and then it
- has the name of your client, and then it
- continues the description. And my
- question here is, what do you mean by
- "represented"?
- A. I suppose, you know,
- representing the technical assessments
- that I've done in support of whatever the
- issue was that was being regulated or
- debated at a legal level.
- 14
- Q. Okay.
- A. I don't know if it's meant to
- have any kind of deep meaning other than
- to introduce the sentence.
- Q. Okay. The reason I ask,
- actually, has an analogy in U.S. law, and
- the analogy that I was trying to get
- clarification on was, in certain kinds of
- ²² tax cases, accountants, as opposed to the
- ²³ usual case where you would require a

Gordon Johnson

¹ lawyer, can represent people in certain

² kinds of proceedings with the IRS. So I

- ³ was really seeking clarification about
- ⁴ whether there was some analogous
- ⁵ situation where you would engage in
- ⁶ actual advocacy and representation under
- ⁷ Canadian law. But I understand your
- answer.
- Okay. All right. We've been
- going almost an hour, and I'm about to
- move into your expert report. Would you
- like to take a break?
- 13 A. Sure.
- (Break taken.)
- 15 Q. (By Mr. Davis) All right, Mr.
- ¹⁶ Johnson. You now have in front of you
- what we've marked as Exhibit 3 to your
- deposition. Will you take a moment, look
- at the document, and identify it for us
- ²⁰ for the record.
- (Defendant's Exhibit 3 was marked for
- ²² identification and is attached.)
- A. Yes. This is the report that I

Page 54

- ¹ wrote and submitted in October of last
- vear.
- Q. All right. Will you confirm for
- ⁴ us that the document is complete, or
- appears to be complete?
- A. It does appear to be complete,
- ⁷ correct.
- Q. Okay. Very good. All right.
- ⁹ If you will, let's look at the page
- ¹⁰ you've designated as ES-1 this is in your
- 11 "Executive Summary" section. The last
- 12 sentence of the first paragraph reads, "I
- was retained by SELC to provide analysis
- and expert opinion regarding the
- ¹⁵ restoration of the Geologic Overburden
- (GOB) that has been placed at the Site,"
- ¹⁷ Maxine site.
- 18 Did I read that correctly?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. All right. What do you mean by
- "restoration of the Geologic Overburden"?
- A. By restoration, I would mean
- ²³ reclaiming -- so words that get used in a

- ¹ similar manner, reclaiming and
- ² remediating sites. These are words that
- are in common practice. Restoration, by
- ⁴ that I mean restoring the Tributary 1 and
- the lands associated with the GOB pile to
- something approximately equivalent to
- what existed prior to that GOB pile being
- placed and in a manner that deals
- responsibly with the pollutants that are
- migrating out of that pile and into the
- either Tributary 1 or the Locust Fork.
- Q. Okay. Had you heard the acronym
- GOB before your involvement in the Maxine
- 14 case?
- 15 A. No.
 - Q. All right. Had you heard the
- term "geologic overburden"?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And what is geologic
- overburden, as you understand it to be?
- A. I would say that it's the waste
- rock that separated from the ore in
- mining, but in this case coal, either as

Page 56

- ¹ overburden, rock that exists above the
- coal, or interburden, rock that exists
- within the coal.
- Q. Okay. All right.
- A. I've made a point in my report
- of referring to the material as mine
- waste.
- Q. Okay.
- A. Which is a term that I see as --
- or I've been more accustomed to using.
- Q. Okay. All right. And just so
- we're perfectly clear about your use of
- the term "mine waste" and what it means,
- what is the definition of mine waste as
- you have used it?
- A. Well, mine waste would refer to
- the actual material. So it would be the
- same materials, but it's a, you know, a
- waste product generated -- natural waste
- product generated by the mining activity.
- 21 Q. Okay.
- 22 A. As opposed to rags and barrels
- ²³ and things like that that are not

Gordon Johnson **15 (57 - 60)**

- ¹ natural.
- Q. I understand. So, am I correct,
- ³ then, that what we're talking about is
- ⁴ rock and dirt and minerals?
- A. Yes. And water.
- Q. Okay. Do you consider the water
- to be part of the mine waste?
- A. Well, I believe documents showed
- ⁹ that there was what they referred to as
- washer rock deposited in the GOB pile.
- ¹¹ And washer rock, obviously, is a mixture
- 12 of water and the mine waste. So yes,
- 13 that would be part of the waste that was
- ¹⁴ placed in that.
- Q. Okay. So --15
- A. Most mines have a water cleaning
- process in them. And so, yes, there is
- waste water generated.
- Q. Okay. Do you understand washer
- ²⁰ rock to be both rock in water as opposed
- 21 to rock that has been washed?
- A. Both, I suppose. There's water
- ²³ entrained in the washer rock and there's

Page 58

- ¹ the rock, obviously.
- You know, I'm just thinking back
- ³ to the original question of what you
- ⁴ asked my understanding of the waste to
- ⁵ be. And there would be coal in the -- in
- ⁶ that mixture, too.
- O. Okay.
- A. And I can't remember whether you
- said that.
- 10 Q. I didn't. I said mineral, but.
- A. Yeah. And so coal would not be
- ¹² a mineral, it would be an organic
- compound, so.
- 14 Q. Okay. And this would be the
- ¹⁵ coal that could not be economically
- extracted from the rock or dirt?
- 17 A. Yeah. Or mixed in, yeah.
- Q. So there would be a residue? 18
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Okay. All right. The second
- paragraph in your executive summary lists
- ²² some things that your assessment and
- ²³ opinion is based on And I'm going to

Page 59

- ¹ summarize them because the document
- ² speaks for itself, but. As I read this,
- your assessment and opinion is based on
- ⁴ observations you made at a site visit and
- inspection. That's the two days you
- spent on site; right?
- A. Correct.
- Q. Data collected through sampling
- and analysis. Work completed by other
- consultants, information obtained by
- Riverkeeper and SELC, discovery documents
- provided by Drummond, publicly available
- information, and your judgment and
- experience. Right?
- 15 A. Correct.
- Q. All right. So that's the
- complete list of what your assessment and
- your opinion is based on?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 Q. Okay. All right. With regard
- 21 to the data collected, is all the data
- 22 that you relied on referenced in your
- ²³ reports? And I mean that plural, both

- ¹ original, rebuttal, and your interim
- ² memorandum.
- A. All the data that I reviewed and
- ⁴ considered in my assessment is not
- ⁵ included in the report. What I tried to
- ⁶ do in my report was highlight the data
- that is material to planning the
- restoration. So as you're probably
- aware, there was data generated when the
- mine was in operation, various water
- quality tests. That, for example, is not
- included in my report. There were more
- analytes in the suite of tests that were
- done by aquilogic. That's not in my
- 15 report.
- 16 Q. Okay.
- A. So there is a body of data that
- ¹⁸ is not specifically in my report that I
- did review.
- 20 Q. Okay.
- A. But it would be included in
- those documents that I stated I relied

Gordon Johnson 16 (61 - 64)

¹ Q. Okay. All right. And that's

- ² what I'm trying to get my arms around,
- ³ because one of my jobs is to understand
- ⁴ everything that you looked at that
- ⁵ provides a basis for your opinions. So
- 6 let me see.
- Is there any data that you
- 8 reviewed that is not referenced in your
- ⁹ reports that influenced your assessment
- ¹⁰ and conclusions?
- 11 A. Is there anything -- can you
- 12 repeat that so that I --
- ¹³ Q. Yeah.
- MR. DAVIS: Can you read it
- 15 back?
- Q. It's difficult to try and ask
- ¹⁷ these questions, so if you'll bear with
- ¹⁸ me.
- (Requested portion read.)
- A. No. I would repeat, though,
- ²¹ that my judgment and experience has
- ²² influenced my recommendations.
- Q. I understand. And we'll discuss
 - Page 62
- ¹ that as well. I understand that you
- ² looked at a broader universe of
- ³ information and material than may be
- ⁴ referenced in your report. And I also
- ⁵ understand and I think any reader would
- ⁶ assume that if you referenced specific
- ⁷ things in your report, that those would
- ⁸ be part of the basis for your assessment.
- ⁹ In other words, those are things that
- ¹⁰ influenced your assessment.
- ¹¹ A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. So what I am trying to
- ¹³ understand and ask you an intelligible
- ¹⁴ question about is, is there anything
- 15 that -- any body of data, however you
- ¹⁶ would describe it, in whatever form it
- was in, that led you to a certain
- ¹⁸ conclusion or assessment that's reflected
- ¹⁹ in your report that is otherwise not
- ²⁰ cited in your report? Does that make
- 21 sense?
- ²² A. That makes sense to me. And the
- ²³ answer is no

- ¹ Q. Okay. Okay. You reference in
- ² this paragraph information obtained by
- ³ Riverkeeper and SELC. What information
- ⁴ are you referring to there?
- A. What I'm referring to primarily
- ⁶ is there's a number of documents that are
- ⁷ listed in my references that reflect, or
- ⁸ the subject matter, they were prepared by
- ⁹ ABC or PELA, for example, during the
- ¹⁰ operating period of the mine. And they
- ¹¹ were provided to me by SELC and
- ¹² Riverkeeper.
- ¹³ Q. Okay. And --
- A. And I'm not sure in each and
- ¹⁵ every instance how they were obtained.
 - Q. I understand. And some of
- those, then, could also be in the
- ¹⁸ category of discovery documents provided
- ¹⁹ by Drummond; right?
- A. That's a possibility, for sure.
- Q. Okay. And as I understand your
- ²² answer previously, any publicly available
- ²³ information that you deemed important for

Page 64

- ¹ your assessment, you've referenced in
- ² your reports.
- ³ A. Correct.
- ⁴ Q. Okay. All right. Now, let's
- ⁵ talk about your judgment and experience.
- ⁶ Your assessment and opinion is based in
- ⁷ part, in addition to the other things
- ⁸ you've listed, on your judgment and
- ⁹ experience. So, what do you mean by
- ¹⁰ judgment and experience here?
- ¹¹ A. First and foremost, it would be
- 12 my judgment and experience on --
- regarding developing up restoration plans
- ¹⁴ that can be relied upon.
- ⁵ Q. Okay.
- ⁶ A. To work. So, you know, you
- would bundle my experience in designing
- ¹⁸ and implementing remediation, restoration
- ¹⁹ plans over my career that are relevant to
- 20 the -- to Maxine.
- ²¹ Q. Okay.
- A. And then the other part of
- ²³ judgment and experience would be the

Gordon Johnson 17 (65 - 68)

¹ circumstances. Parking the whole issue

- ² of regulatory compliance in terms of does
- ³ this number exceed that number, parking
- ⁴ that for a minute, the types of controls
- ⁵ that need to be put in place to safely
- ⁶ restore mine waste in this case in a
- ⁷ manner that's protective of the
- surrounding watershed.
- Q. Okay. And does the application
- of your judgment and experience to the
- 11 other things that you have listed, your
- ¹² observations, documents that you've
- reviewed, sampling and analysis, does
- ¹⁴ that involve interpretation of the data,
- you know, from sampling, the documents,
- and other information?
- A. Correct. I think, you know, a
- complete answer to your question, because
- ¹⁹ I think you brought up the site
- ²⁰ inspection as well, it would be my
- ²¹ judgment and experience in making sense
- ²² out of what it is that I observed during
- ²³ my field visit.

Page 66

- Q. Okay.
- A. So, you know, number one on that
- ³ list would be the severe erosion that's
- ⁴ evident in the GOB pile. That's not
- ⁵ interpretation of data. That's
- ⁶ interpretation of the land forms of what
- ⁷ I've seen and making sense out of how
- 8 they were developed and how they
- ⁹ continued to erode and transport
- 10 materials down into the Locust Fork and
- into Tributary 1. So that, that's
- ¹² judgment and experience that applies to
- ¹³ the observational approach of what I did.
- And then there's judgment and experience
- that applies to the analytical data.
- Q. Okay. All right. In the next
- ¹⁷ paragraph, you say you developed your
- opinion in cooperation with aquilogic;
- 19 right?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. And aquilogic is Anthony Brown.
- 22
 - Q In this instance; right? All

¹ right. How did you complete your

² assessment and develop your opinion in

cooperation with Mr. Brown?

A. So on the -- on the cooperation

front, when we were on the site doing

tasks, there was a limited period of time

available to us to sample water, sample

groundwater, sample mine waste, all the

things that were done, geophysics. So we

divided tasks so they could be done

efficiently in the period of time.

12 And then on the analytical and

assessment front, the two main areas

where I relied upon the aquilogic report

would be in the development and

interpretation of, say, criteria for

water quality and also in the I would say

calculation or estimation of the amount

of erosion that we observed, trying to

quantify and corroborate what we had

observed on the site with regard to

erosion.

O. Okay. All right. With regard

Page 68

- ¹ to your reliance on the aquilogic report,
- would you agree with me, then, that
- aquilogic is wrong to any extent that
- report is wrong and you relied upon it,
- that what you derived from that for your
- assessment would be incorrect?
- A. The answer is partly yes, and
- I'll explain my answer.
- Q. Certainly.
- A. The -- so for example, one of
- the areas where I would have relied upon
- aquilogic would be the criteria that were
- established for water quality. So
- clearly, if the criteria for one of those
- elements was incorrect and the box was
- colored incorrectly, then that would be
- 17 wrong.
- 18 Q. Okay.
- 19 A. Sorry, I've got a tickle in my
- 20 throat, so.
- 21 Q. That's okay. Take your time.
- 22 A. The second part is, where I
- ²³ don't think that would be the case --

Gordon Johnson 18 (69 - 72)

Q. Okay.

A. -- is designing or

conceptualizing the restoration plan.

Q. Okay.

5 A. Excuse me.

(Discussion held off the record.)

Q. (By Mr. Davis) All right. If

you'll look at the next paragraph, which

⁹ is some introductory language and then

three bullet points, is that your

analysis or is that analysis from

aquilogic?

13 A. This is my analysis.

Q. Okay. All right. For each of

15 your three bullet points, will you tell

¹⁶ us what the basis for that conclusion is.

A. So the first one, what was

immediately striking to me when I -- so

to put this into context, I was -- the

first thing we did when we arrived on the

site is I traversed the traversable parts

²² of the GOB pile. And what was striking

²³ was the large quantity of erosion that I

Page 70

¹ observed, or evidence of erosion. And

² that was basically three types of

³ erosion, I would say. One is the erosion

⁴ of what I refer to as -- I want to make

⁵ sure I get this right, but basically flow

⁶ channels through the GOB pile, so when it

⁷ rains, water accumulates in low places,

⁸ and then there are drainage patterns,

drainage features in the actual GOB pile.

¹⁰ And so the erosion that occurred in those

¹¹ drainage features was not feet deep, it

was tens of feet deep. It was far higher

¹³ than my height. And this wasn't in

Tributary 1. This was just in places

where small drainage patterns had

established.

17 And there were large escarpments

where trees were falling down. Clearly,

there was evidence of recent exposure of

20 those materials, so there was kind of a

slope erosion in addition to this

²² drainage pattern erosion. And then there

²³ was large volumes of mine waste that had

¹ migrated outside of the GOB pile and were

² traveling down and then training either

in the former basins or had carried on

into Locust Fork.

So when I was there, I concluded

that based on the geometry of the erosion

features that I saw, that the amount of

materials that would be transported in a

significant rainfall event -- and Alabama

gets significant rainfall events -- would

be on the order of tens of thousands of

cubic yards and maybe even as high as a

hundred thousand cubic yards in one of

your major storms. This is an assessment

that I made on the site using estimates

of the geometries of the things that I

17 saw.

18 I also -- it was clear -- so

I've worked on a number of sites that

have acid mine drainage. And it was

clear from looking at the surface water,

some of which were sampled by the people

23 on site, not by me, but the people on the

Page 72

Page 71

¹ site at the same time, that that surface

water was heavily impacted by acid mine

drainage. It's a -- it has an appearance

that is consistent from place to place.

And that same appearance was evident in

places where the groundwater was seeping

out and discharging, for example, into

the Locust Fork. So, you know, those

were observations that I made when I was

on the site. And those were supported by

the subsequent analyses.

Q. Okay. Can you explain for me

how you made -- and I may not get the

words in the right order, so bear with

me -- how you made an estimate of erosion

by visually looking at the geometries of

17 the site.

A. So for example, on the discharge

end of things where the materials

accumulate outside of the area that

the -- that is being eroded. So you look

²² at it from two perspectives, where the

23 material came from and where it's going

Gordon Johnson 19 (73 - 76)

So based on the geometry of what

² those basins would have looked like when

- ³ they were constructed, it was evident
- ⁴ that tens of thousands, many tens of
- ⁵ thousands of cubic yards of mine waste
- ⁶ had filled those basins and then carried
- ⁷ on into the river. So by that
- ⁸ estimation, you can tell the volume is
- ⁹ bigger than that.

Going to the source, there were drainage features that were hundreds of

- ¹² yards long, tens of yards wide, and three
- ¹³ to five yards deep. So by estimating
- ¹⁴ those geometries, you can come up with a
- ¹⁵ value that approximates the amount of
- erosion that has occurred in that place.

And then on the escarpment you

- ¹⁸ have a different sort of geometry. You
- ¹⁹ have extremely steep slopes, you have
- recent exposure, and then you have an
- ²¹ area.

So those are the three areas of

²³ erosion where at a conceptual level and

Page 74

- ¹ at a high level as I was walking through
- ² the area, I was making estimations in my
- ³ head as to what the volumes would have
- ⁴ been.
- ⁵ Q. Okay. Correct me if I'm wrong
- ⁶ about this, but do I understand you to be
- ⁷ saying that, for example, on your point
- ⁸ about the tens of thousands of -- was it
- 9 tons?
- ¹⁰ A. Cubic yards.
- Q. -- cubic yards of soil and the
- 12 ponds, that you could look at this, at
- ¹³ what you're seeing, eyeball it --
- ¹⁴ A. Correct.
- ¹⁵ Q. -- and --
- A. And that's why I use the term
- 17 tens of -- it's not intended to be
- ¹⁸ dramatic. It's intended to communicate
- ¹⁹ an order of magnitude as opposed to a
- ²⁰ precise number.
- Q. Okay. So you eyeball it, you
- 22 calculate it in your head, and that's
- ²³ what you believe to be the case?

- A. Correct. In some cases, paced
- ² it out. In some cases, referenced things
- ³ like trees and heights to get maybe a
- ⁴ more precise -- or "precise" is probably
- ⁵ not the right word, but a more reliable
- ⁶ estimation than simply eyeballing.
- ⁷ Q. Okay. Did you make any field
- 8 notes where you recorded these
- ⁹ calculations or visual estimates?
- ¹⁰ A. To my recollection, no. The
- 11 field notes that we took had to do with
- 12 the sample locations and why we selected
- 13 them.
- ¹⁴ Q. Okay. Did you take any field
- 15 notes?
- ⁶ A. I didn't take them. Chris
- ¹⁷ Slater was the one who wrote them down,
- ¹⁸ but he was, in many cases, writing down
- ⁹ what I dictated to him.
- O. Have you provided Chris Slater's
- ²¹ field notes to the Black Warrior
- ²² Riverkeeper's lawyers?
 - A. I believe they're included in

Page 76

- ¹ the aquilogic report. They're not in my
- ² report.
- Q. Is it your understanding that
- ⁴ Mr. Slater would have been recording
- ⁵ specific instances where you made a
- ⁶ visual assessment of erosion based on --
- ⁷ similar to what you've described?
- ⁸ A. I think you might have answered
- ⁹ -- asked me that just a minute ago. I
- 10 didn't, to my recollection, dictate to
- 11 him what any quantification or estimation
- ¹² of the volumes.
- ¹³ Q. Okay.
- ¹⁴ A. You know, when we got back, I
- ¹⁵ did review the field notes. And to the
- best of my recollection, they had to do
- with the sampling locations and why they
- ¹⁸ were selected.
- ¹⁹ Q. Okay. Thank you for clarifying
- ²⁰ that.
- All right. You mentioned that
- 22 you had worked on previous sites where
- ²³ acid mine drainage, AMD, was an issue

Gordon Johnson

¹ Right?

A. Correct.

Q. How many sites have you worked

⁴ on where there were acid mine drainage

⁵ issues?

A. Many. At least ten. Both in

⁷ the mining area and in the petrochemical

area. Because acidification is an issue

⁹ too in petrochemical processing.

10 Q. Okay.

A. So more than ten.

12 Q. Okay. How many coal mining

13 sites?

A. There would be -- we don't have

¹⁵ acid mine drainage issues with coal

¹⁶ mining sites in western Canada. So my

experience would be in similar sites with

similar excavation and placement of waste

19 rock, but not coal mine. Hard rock

²⁰ mining, for example.

Q. Okay. All right. 21

A. Hard rock mining means like

²³ metals mining.

Page 78

Q. I understand. All right. Any

² instances other than Maxine Mine where

³ there was an acid mine drainage as you've

4 described it?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. Which one? Which one or

⁷ ones? And I'm speaking again of coal

8 mines, acid mine drainage other than

⁹ Maxine Mine.

A. Yeah. So acid rock drainage

¹¹ with a large -- it was a large earthworks

¹² project in support of developing oil and

¹³ gas activities, but it involved the

¹⁴ management of the same sorts of shales

15 that included pyrites that generated acid

¹⁶ rock in northern B.C. There is two hard

17 rock mines in northern B.C. and in the

¹⁸ Yukon that generated acid mine drainage.

¹⁹ So I'd used the acid rock drainage and

²⁰ acid mine drainage. And then a large

²¹ number of sites that are related to

²² sulfur, as opposed to sulfide, in the oil

²³ and gas business where that sulfur led to

Page 79

¹ acid rock drainage, that similar

² symptomology.

Q. Any other coal mines besides the

Maxine Mine?

A. Where I've observed or evaluated

that?

7 Q. Acid mine drainage, right.

A. So for those coal mines that we

talked about in my CV.

10 Q. Okay.

A. In B.C. we do an evaluation of

potential acid mine drainage. In those

cases it was determined that there wasn't

any.

15 Q. Okay. We're still not

16 communicating, so let me try and --

A. So situations like Maxine where

it's a coal mine and its coal refuse

pile, the answer is no, other than

20 Maxine.

21 Q. Okay. All right. Let's look at

²² your second bullet point. And the

²³ question is the same as the first, what

Page 80

¹ is the basis for your opinion there?

A. You're talking about the

statement "pollutants are being dissolved

in surface water"?

Q. Yes.

A. So the basis of the opinion in

this case is twofold.

Q. Okay.

A. The observations that I made on

the site that -- where I concluded that

this is acid rock drainage. The nature

of the water, the appearance of the

water, the oxidation and precipitation of

iron, for example, it had all of the

observational traits of acid rock

drainage.

17 And then the second part of that

is the follow-up analytical work that was

done to confirm it.

Q. Anything else? 20

A. Well, there was information in

22 the record that was generated during the

²³ operational stage of the mine that there

Gordon Johnson 21 (81 - 84)

¹ was also data that suggested that this

² Maxine Mine, the waste rock was

³ susceptible.

Q. Okay. Anything else?

A. No.

Q. Okay. Now let's look at your

⁷ third bullet point, the one that begins

⁸ "pollutants are being dissolved in

groundwater." And same question, what is

the basis for that statement?

A. The basis is the same as the

¹² basis for the surface water. So there

¹³ were locations, two or three as I recall.

¹⁴ The main one, groundwater seeping out

¹⁵ beneath the lower dam where you -- or I

¹⁶ was able to observe all of the telltale

¹⁷ effects. And there were one or two

¹⁸ places further up the Tributary 1 that we

¹⁹ refer to it as where groundwater was

seeping out of the waste rock and had the

21 telltale signs. And then just like

²² surface water, the follow-up analytical

²³ work and the analytical work that was

Page 82

¹ done in the past at PELA, I believe.

Q. Okay. All right. Let's look at ³ the next paragraph. It's your opinion,

⁴ as I understand your first sentence, that

⁵ the oxidation of sulfide mineral in the

⁶ mine waste is the root cause of

⁷ contamination that you reference. What

8 is the basis for that statement?

A. The basis of the statement would

¹⁰ be both my experience that sulfide is the

11 root cause of acidification. And the, I

¹² guess over the years of practice, I was

¹³ generally aware that the rocks associated

with the coal deposits in the formations

15 in the eastern U.S. were susceptible.

Q. Okay.

A. Because they had the sulfides in 17

¹⁸ the rock and because the carbonate

¹⁹ concentrations in the rock were

²⁰ relatively low, it's net acidic.

21 Q. Okay.

A. So the culprit is almost

²³ certainly sulfide minerals

Q. Okay. Anything else?

A. No.

Q. Okay. And I keep saying

⁴ "anything else" because I'm trying to

give you the opportunity to tell us every

basis you can think of.

A. I understand, yeah.

Q. All right. Now, in the next

paragraph, as I understand it, you are

talking about measures that -- you're

saying Drummond, but do you understand

that Drummond did not have any ownership

interest in the Maxine Mine until the

¹⁴ last day of 1985 or the first day of

15 1986, that prior to that the mine was

16 ABC?

17 A. I did understand that the mine

was -- the documents that I reviewed from

back in the day were generated either for

or by ABC.

21 Q. Okay.

A. But I don't have any knowledge

²³ of the ownership history of the mine.

Page 83

Q. Okay. So for your purposes, ABC

and Drummond are the same? Is that

correct? Essentially, it's the mine --

⁴ whoever is doing the mining?

A. As those names might come up in

⁶ my report, correct.

Q. Okay. So with that

clarification, certain measures were

implemented. The first one you list is

"grading and capping a small portion of

the surface of the GOB pile."

What do you mean by "small

portion"? Do you have an estimate as to

14 how much or what you're referring to

15

16 A. Well, there's diagrams of it

certainly in the aquilogic report.

Q. Okay.

19 A. But the operating company

referred to it as the post-law area.

21 Q. Okay.

22 A. So that's the area I'm talking

²³ about

Gordon Johnson

Q. I understand. What is your

² understanding of the distinction between

- pre-law and post-law?
- A. My understanding is that prior
- ⁵ to a date, that the materials that ABC
- ⁶ had placed in the GOB pile occurred prior
- ⁷ to a law that was promulgated federally
- and then maybe adopted --
- Q. Okay.
- 10 A. -- statewide.
- 11 Q. All right.
- 12 A. That in their view somehow
- ¹³ changed the obligations that they may
- ¹⁴ have had. And so they treated those two
- areas separately. And the area they
- ¹⁶ capped would have been a reflection of
- the area where mine waste had been placed
- after that date.
- 19 Q. Okay.
- 20 A. And I didn't see any information
- 21 that led me to understand how they
- ²² determined where they placed stuff and
- ²³ when. That wasn't in the record, how
 - Page 86
- ¹ they determined that boundary.
- Q. Okay. Did you not see
- ³ documents, including communications
- ⁴ between ABC and various regulatory
- ⁵ entities, indicating changes that needed
- ⁶ to be made to comply with a change in the
- 7 law?
- A. Yeah. There were documents in
- ⁹ the record that I reviewed that were
- ¹⁰ regulatory correspondences back and forth
- ¹¹ on issues like this, yes.
- Q. Okay.
- A. I couldn't tell whether they
- were a complete selection or the ones
- ¹⁵ that we continue to have.
- O. I understand.
- 17 A. It would appear to me as if they
- ¹⁸ were, at least the ones that I had an
- opportunity to review, were the complete
- ²⁰ record, because there would carry on
- discussions from things that had happened
- ²² previously.
- Q Okay All right Did the

¹ difference between pre-law and post-law

Page 87

Page 88

- ² areas influence your plan for the site in
- any way?
- A. In terms of the law, no. In
- terms of what they did, yes.
- Q. Okay. Can you explain to me
- what you mean there?
- A. So I'll explain the "yes" part.
- ⁹ So it was -- it was clear to me that by
- grading and capping the slopes of the
- mine waste, they stabilized that waste.
- It was also clear that the same couldn't
- be said for the drainage courses in that
- -- the area that was capped and graded.
- There's places where the Tributary 1
- either flows adjacent to it or there's a
- drainage course that flows through it or
- adjacent to it. And there were areas, as
- I stated in my report, where the flowing
- water had eroded through the cap. But
- outside of those areas, the cap was
- ²² effective.
- Q. Okay.

2

- - MR. BROCK: The cap was what?
 - THE WITNESS: Effective.
 - Q. Am I correct that you do not
 - intend to offer an opinion regarding
 - whether the different means of handling
 - material, GOB, at the site, by capping or
 - not capping it, by pre-law area versus
 - post-law area, was done compliant with
 - the particular law in effect at the time?
 - A. You're correct.
 - 11 Q. Okay. All right. If you will
 - please turn on to page ES-2. We're
 - continuing the list of bullets about
 - mitigation efforts. Do you know whether
 - the activity of constructing dams and
 - sedimentation basins within the stream
 - course of Tributary 1 was in compliance

 - with the law at the time it was done?
 - 19 A. I don't.
 - 20 Q. Okay. Yeah, that's not
 - something within the scope of your
 - ²² opinion; right?
 - A Correct

Gordon Johnson 23 (89 - 92)

¹ Q. All right. And similarly, you

² don't know whether that action was taken

³ at the direction of regulatory

⁴ authorities, do you?

A. I don't know.

⁶ Q. Okay.

⁷ A. I would -- so there's inferences

⁸ in the documents that I reviewed that the

⁹ idea or the concept was one that was

¹⁰ developed at ABC and by ABC's consultants

¹¹ and presented to the regulators as

12 opposed to the regulators saying thou

¹³ shalt do that. So it's something that I

¹⁴ inferred.

¹⁵ Q. Okay.

A. And I don't think the record

¹⁷ is -- you know, I think the record, if

¹⁸ you were to interpret it, would say that

19 the idea for the sedimentation ponds and

²⁰ dams came from the operator, not the

²¹ government.

²² Q. Right. You don't know whether

²³ you've seen the entire record, do you?

Page 90

No. No.

¹ A. No. No.

Q. Okay.

³ A. And it's possible that that

⁴ wasn't the case.

⁵ Q. Okay.

⁶ A. One of the things that --

⁷ MR. BROCK: Wait a minute. Just

⁸ let him ask a question.

⁹ THE WITNESS: Okay. It was a.

O. Here's my question. What were

11 you about to tell us?

¹² A. I was just going to clarify why

13 I bring up the basins and the dams in my

¹⁴ report.

¹⁵ Q. Okay.

A. Is that regardless of what we do

¹⁷ to restore this site, replicating

18 something like that is necessary to

19 complete the works to associate with

²⁰ effective restoration.

²¹ Q. Okay.

²² A. That's why I'm discussing those

23 things in my report

Q. Okay.

² A. They're a necessary part of

³ restoration.

Q. All right. And would you agree

⁵ that vegetative cover is a necessary part

⁶ of restoration of the site?

⁷ A. Vegetative cover that

⁸ effectively reduces erosion, yes.

Q. Okay.

A. And enhances evapotranspiration,

¹¹ yes.

¹² Q. Okay. All right. I understand

13 from your report, and I'm looking at the

¹⁴ next section of your executive summary,

15 that it's your opinion that those

16 measures that were taken are no longer

¹⁷ effective in mitigating what you defined

18 as contamination. Right? That's your

¹⁹ opinion.

A. My opinion is -- state that

²¹ again, please? Sorry.

Q. "These measures are no longer

²³ effective in mitigating the above-noted

Page 92

Page 91

¹ contamination."

² A. Correct.

³ Q. All right. Your opinion

⁴ continues to say, they were "likely never

⁵ effective," and then you give some

⁶ reasons. Okay. So, let's look at those.

Would you agree with me that

8 "likely" is a word that reflects

⁹ speculation as opposed to knowledge?

A. I would say in this case it's a

¹¹ reasonable deduction.

Q. Okay.

³ A. I would not use the word

14 "speculation."

Q. All right. And is the basis for

your deduction the four bullet points

¹⁷ that you set out below?

¹⁸ A. Yes, it is.

¹⁹ Q. All right. Your plan for the

²⁰ site would involve deforestation;

²¹ correct?

²² A. Correct.

Q And it would involve excavation

Gordon Johnson

¹ of about two and a half million cubic

- ² yards of material; right?
- A. I believe that aquilogic updated
- 4 that estimate. And it's, as per the
- rebuttal report --
- O. Okav.
- A. -- it's more like two million.
- Q. All right. Two million cubic
- vards, whatever their --
- 10 A. Yes.
- Q. -- number is. You also indicate
- 12 that this deforestation and excavation
- ¹³ plan would require design and
- ¹⁴ implementation of components that
- ¹⁵ minimize erosion and pollution transport
- ¹⁶ during excavation. Correct?
- A. Correct.
- 18 Q. All right. What measures do you
- 19 think would be implemented that would
- ²⁰ have the effect that you believe needs to
- be put in place?
- A. For example, reconstructing the
- ²³ sedimentation basin. That's a very

Page 94

- ¹ common approach to controlling sediment
- ² runoff from a large mining area.
- Q. Okay.
- A. The -- also control and
- ⁵ treatment of contaminated groundwater and
- ⁶ surface water during the period of time
- ⁷ where it remains contaminated up until
- ⁸ the time when the materials are removed.
- Q. All right.
- A. Those are two examples. There's
- also implementing practices at the
- ¹² excavation that minimize the tendency for
- soils to be eroded and be transported by
- 14 water.
- Q. Okay.
- A. Minimizing the work area, not
- working during super rainy conditions,
- ¹⁸ things like that.
- 19 Q. Okay. Anything else?
- A. Those would be the main
- 21 examples.
- 22 Q. Okay.
- A There might be other minor

¹ things.

- Q. Your next bullet point is
- ³ "control of sediment during excavation
- ⁴ and surface reclamation." That sounds
- ⁵ like you've kind of already started
- explaining that.
- A. Yeah. They're kind of
- different, different ways of looking at.
- One is controlling the source, one is
- controlling the release.
- Q. Okay. So, what would be some
- examples of bullet point two?
 - A. Same, same examples.
- Q. All right. Let's look at the
- third bullet point, "reclamation of the
- exposed original ground surface in a
- manner that conforms to the surrounding
- landscape"?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Can you elaborate on what you
- ²¹ mean by that, please.
- A. That's a reclamation objective,
- 23 to re-create a landscape that's similar

- ¹ to and conforms with the pre-disturbance
- landscape. If one were excavating
- material, that is the outcome you want to
- look for.
- Q. Okay.
- A. You wouldn't just leave the bare
- ground behind.
- Q. All right. Your next point is
- "treatment and monitoring of contaminated
- surface and ground water." So, what
- would be components of that practice?
- A. Up until the time that the waste
- is removed, any water that comes in
- contact with that waste, be it surface
- water, groundwater, will be susceptible
- to the drainage and the issues that are
- discussed there in terms of water
- quality. So it would be necessary to
- collect that water as best as one could.
- ²⁰ You likely couldn't get every drop, but
- could get by far most of it, and somehow
- ²² neutralize it or treat it so it didn't
- ²³ have those polluting characteristics when

Gordon Johnson 25 (97 - 100)

¹ it was released to the Locust Fork.

- ² Q. Okay. And your final point is
- ³ "safe containment of the mine waste in
- ⁴ its ultimate point of disposal." So
- ⁵ again, we're talking about mine waste
- ⁶ being rock, soil, coal residue?
- A. Yeah. Entrained water.
- ⁸ Q. Entrained water. And what do
- ⁹ you think would constitute safe
- ¹⁰ containment of the mine waste?
- ¹¹ A. There would be two potentially
- ¹² viable approaches.
- ¹³ Q. Okay.
- A. One would be to mix in crushed
- 15 limestone, for example, that could
- ¹⁶ neutralize the acid rock drainage
- ¹⁷ immediately upon it occurring so, in
- ¹⁸ essence, it doesn't occur. So containing
- 19 it as you might contain a conventional
- ²⁰ landfill with a liner and leaching,
- ²¹ collection, and water treatment.
- ²² Q. Okay.
- A. So those are two

Page 98

- ¹ conceptual-level philosophies that could
- ² be applied, and both are applied in
- ³ mining.
- ⁴ Q. Okay. I understand your opinion
- ⁵ as reflected throughout your reports to
- ⁶ be that while the deforestation and
- ⁷ excavation and eventual reclamation
- ⁸ approach is not the only approach, that
- ⁹ any manage-in-place option would not be
- ¹⁰ sufficient?
- ¹¹ A. No, that's not true.
- Q. Okay. Please explain to me what
- 13 it is, what your opinion is.
- A. First of all, because we need to
- ¹⁵ stabilize the ground surface to prevent
- erosion, which I see as the most
- ¹⁷ significant challenge at Maxine, clearing
- ¹⁸ would be required. So clearing the trees
- 19 to prepare the ground surface, just like
- 20 it was required when the pile was put
- ²¹ there in the first place.
- There is a possibility -- or as
- ²³ I said in my rebuttal report, the

- ¹ manage-in-place option can be effective.
- ² It just needs to include the components
- ³ that I have stated in my rebuttal report.
- ⁴ It needs to have stabilization of the
- ⁵ ground surface, it needs to make sure
- ⁶ that the tributary is no longer running
- ⁷ through and within mine waste and running
- 8 through in mine waste. It needs to
- ⁹ account for the mine waste that's on the
- east side on that very steep slope going
- 11 down into the Locust Fork, where it
- 12 ravels down into the river. So it
- ¹³ describes what I see as a complete
- ¹⁴ program for restoration in place using a
- 15 capping and draining philosophy, similar
- to the one that was employed at the --
- ¹⁷ for the post-law area, as it's referred
- ¹⁸ to.

20

- ¹⁹ Q. Thank you for clarifying that.
 - Do you believe there is any
- 21 impact to the Locust Fork by any
- ²² drainage, storm water, any groundwater
- migration or seepage, at all?

Page 100

- ¹ A. Absolutely.
 - Q. All right. What impact do you
- ³ believe exists?
- ⁴ A. There's three forms of impact
- ⁵ that occur in a number of areas.
- Q. Okay.
- A. The first is that the mine waste
- ⁸ is eroded, is being eroded and is being
- ⁹ transported I think I used the word
- unabated, meaning there's no controls in
- ¹¹ place to prevent it from happening. It
- ¹² continues to happen. And that mine waste
- 13 is being deposited in the Locust Fork,
- ¹⁴ and you can see it with ERT, and you can
- ¹⁵ see it with the sampling that's been
- 16 done.
- And the Tributary 1, when it
- 18 flows, contains dissolved contamination,
- ¹⁹ pollution as well, primarily in the form
- of heavy metals dissolved into the water
- ²¹ and low pH, high acidity. And similar to
- 22 the surface water, the groundwater seeps
- the surface water, the groundwater seep
- 23 into the Locust Fork on a continuous

Gordon Johnson **26** (101 - 104)

¹ basis. And it contains high acidity and

- ² high concentrations of dissolved heavy
- ³ metals.
- So those three ways.
- Q. Okay. All right. Let me ask
- you about each of those in turn. Is the
- ⁷ ERT that you are indicating shows the
- ⁸ erosion continuing unabated, the
- ⁹ river-based ERT work that was done on
- ¹⁰ August 19, 2017, by the Advisian folks
- and on behalf of Mr. Brown?
- 12 A. Correct. It shows the
- ¹³ accumulation. You interpret geophysics.
- Q. Okay.
- 15 A. So the interpretation is that
- ¹⁶ the surface adjacent to the outlet or the
- confluence of Tributary 1 and Locust
- Fork, the bottom surface is covered with
- ¹⁹ mine waste.
- 20 O. Okay.
- A. And that's a reasonable
- ²² deduction based on the flow direction and
- ²³ the erosion and sediment transport that's

Page 102

- ¹ clearly evident in the lower portions of
- ² that tributary.
- O. Okay.
- A. The additional work was done by
- ⁵ Dimova that essentially replicates the
- ⁶ ERT signature. But it also included
- ⁷ sampling that, you know, all of the
- ⁸ parameters that she sampled for are
- ⁹ consistent with the ones that are in the
- ¹⁰ mine waste: concentrations of arsenic.
- ¹¹ concentrations of iron, size
- ¹² distributions, those sorts of things.
- Q. Are you aware that Dr. Dimova
- 14 testified that she could not state the
- source of what she called gravel but was
- told was GOB in the river?
- 17 MR. BROCK: I'll object to the
- ¹⁸ form of the question, to the
- characterization of her testimony.
- 20 MR. DAVIS: I agree the
- testimony speaks for itself.
- O. But are you aware of what Dr.
- ²³ Dimova testified on the issue?

A. I've read the transcript, draft

- transcript from Dr. Dimova.
- Q. Okay. Have you read any other
- 4 depositions?
- A. No.
- Q. Okay. Why did you read Dr.
- Dimova's deposition?
- A. Because it was provided to me.
- Q. Okay. Do you recall Dr. Dimova
- testifying about the source of what she
- described as gravel or GOB?
- A. It was clear to me that she used
- the term "not natural." The -- we've
- ¹⁴ never met, so.
 - Q. That's not part of the question.
 - A. No, I know. But it's part of my
- answer. So I don't know if the way she
- would be using terms would be consistent
- with the way I would use terms. And
- because, clearly, all materials in the
- world are natural, there's not -- well, I
- guess all rock materials. We make
- ²³ chemicals from that. But all of the

Page 104

- ¹ substances we're talking about are
- natural. I would interpret from what she
- said is that the materials that she
- ⁴ sampled didn't conform with what she
- ⁵ would have expected to be a natural river
- ⁶ bottom in the Locust Fork.
- Q. Okay.
- A. That's what I gleaned from her
- testimony.
- Q. I understand. Thank you for
- your answer. We would agree that Dr.
- Dimova's words speak for themselves,
- though; right?
- 14 A. Fine.
- MR. BROCK: We agree she was not
 - asked to make an assessment or to make
- 17 that connection. As she testified.
- 18 MR. DAVIS: Okay. I think we
- agree that her testimony is her
- 20 testimony.
- 21 Q. You don't have to answer. It's
- ²² not really a question.
 - Okay Let's talk now about the

Gordon Johnson **27 (105 - 108)**

¹ sampling. I'm just trying to make sure I

² understand what underlies each of your

³ answers. You've explained the ERT aspect

⁴ of your statement that the erosion

⁵ continues unabated. You also included

⁶ sampling as part of your basis for the

⁷ erosion unabated opinion.

So my question here is, what

sampling specifically do you have

10 reference to?

A. So I won't -- the basis of your

question wasn't quite right.

13 Q. Okay.

A. So.

15 Q. Tell me what --

16 A. I'll try to clarify what I

earlier said.

18 Q. Okay.

19 A. Because I don't think I came

across correctly.

21 Q. All right.

A. My opinion of the erosion

²³ continuing unabated is not the result of

Page 106

¹ the sampling or the ERT.

Q. Okay.

A. It is from observing the erosion

⁴ in the GOB pile, the evidence of erosion,

⁵ observing that sediment has completely

⁶ filled and overwhelmed the former basins

⁷ and dams, and therefore, those, those

⁸ structures are no longer functional, and

⁹ there is nothing to stop erosion

10 tomorrow, for example, from occurring and

11 transporting the mine waste into the

¹² Locust Fork.

13 So that's the basis of my

¹⁴ conclusion that erosion is continuing

unabated.

16 Now, I've looked at the ERT data

¹⁷ in the river, both the Dimova report,

¹⁸ that included in the Dr. Dimova report

¹⁹ and that completed at the time I was at

²⁰ the site, as providing evidence that the

mine waste is accumulating and has

²² accumulated in the Locust Fork. And that

²³ ERT interpretation was subsequently

Page 107

¹ supported by the sampling that was done

² by Dimova that verified that it has the

same chemical characteristics, the same

composition as the mine waste.

Q. Okay. Thank you.

A. So -- so.

O. Please continue.

A. No. That answers. That's the

premise. So perhaps you could ask the

question again. Because the premise of

your question was.

Q. Well, I think you've clarified

your statement, and I don't have any

¹⁴ further question.

15 A. Okay.

12

Q. Thank you for the clarification. 16

All right. And you had -- that

helps me with the follow-up. You had an

opinion about the surface water. Can you

tell me once again so we're clear what

that is and explain the basis for it.

A. The surface water where? Sorry.

²³ To clarify.

Page 108

Q. Well, I'm just trying to get the

answer. You said that you had, I

³ believe, there was evidence of impact to

⁴ the Locust Fork and the Black Warrior

⁵ River from water and/or GOB from the

⁶ Maxine Mine site. You've now told us --

A. -- about the GOB.

Q. -- about the GOB, about the

erosion. So now I'm trying to get to the

surface water and groundwater components

of that opinion and what the basis for

each is. Does that help?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. Okay.

A. So the basis of the opinion on

surface water is observations that I've

made during my site inspection where I

could see the surface water in Tributary

1 was acidic. The flows that were

occurring in Tributary 1 were acidic. I

realize you're asking me about the Locust

²² Fork. But the Tributary 1 drains into

23 the Locust Fork, so at the point where

Gordon Johnson 28 (109 - 112)

¹ that water drained into the Locust Fork,

² you could see the acidic water from

³ Tributary 1.

There were also places along the

⁵ west bank of the Locust Fork upstream of

⁶ that Tributary 1 confluence but where

⁷ mine waste had ravelled down the hill, so

⁸ to speak, accumulated on the banks of the

⁹ Locust Fork, and there was also surface

water percolating through that material.

11 It was clearly acidic, and it was

¹² draining into the Locust Fork.

Q. Okay. Now, I want to make sure

¹⁴ that I understand what you're saying.

¹⁵ You're saying that you could see that the

¹⁶ water was acidic. Is the visual evidence

¹⁷ of that the characteristics that you've

¹⁸ previously described?

¹⁹ A. Correct.

²⁰ O. Okay.

A. And -- and then subsequent

²² sampling corroborated that.

O. Okay.

Page 110

A. Visual impression.

Q. All right. Anything else on the

³ surface water portion of that?

⁴ A. No.

Q. Now, how about groundwater?

A. Groundwater, specifically with

regard to releases to the Locust Fork,

⁸ was evident to me at the -- downstream of

⁹ that lower dam, where a fairly high

proportion of the water that's seeping

¹¹ into the Locust Fork is groundwater

¹² seepage.

¹³ Q. All right.

¹⁴ A. So it commingles with the --

¹⁵ with the surface water. But because the

materials are granular and permeable

throughout the full depth, you can

18 reasonably conclude that that seepage of

¹⁹ groundwater is occurring beneath the

²⁰ water level of the Locust Fork.

Q. Okay. What evidence of

²² groundwater seepage did you see?

A What I just said is the -- the

¹ seepage that's occurring downgradient of

² the lower dam. In addition to that,

³ there's places along Tributary 1 where

⁴ groundwater is seeping out of the GOB

⁵ pile into Tributary 1 groundwater and

⁶ becoming surface water. And there's also

⁷ evidence of groundwater seepage, smaller

⁸ areas along the west bank upstream of the

⁹ Tributary 1 confluence.

O. Okay. Now, with regard to the

¹¹ seepage below the dam, I understand that

12 you're saying that it exists. What I'm

trying to understand is -- and maybe

we're talking about two different places.

¹⁵ I'm understanding you to be talking about

¹⁶ groundwater coming out below the dam

¹⁷ right at the river, what sometimes has

¹⁸ been referred to as Dam 1, as the dam at

19 the river, and various --

A. Yeah, lower dam is what I would

²¹ say.

Q. Lower dam, okay. Is there

²³ evidence of groundwater seepage there?

Page 112

Page 111

A. Yes. And so --

Q. Okay. How do we know -- I just

³ want to know what you're talking about,

4 what the --

A. Yeah. So the observational

⁶ evidence is below the dam you could see

⁷ water seeping out of the ground above the

⁸ level of the Locust Fork. The materials

⁹ continue to be permeable beneath that

10 level, which you can't see because it's

11 below the water level. But one can

12 reasonably deduce that that seepage is

13 occurring. And it's also supported by

14 the ERT data that shows that that zone of

¹⁵ impact continues two or three meters

¹⁶ below ground surface at a level lower

¹⁷ than the Locust Fork. So that's an

¹⁸ interpretive element to yes, this is the

¹⁹ evidence that the groundwater is seeping

²⁰ into the Locust Fork.

Q. Okay. Now, with regard to the

²² upstream of the lower dam, back up

²³ Tributary 1, as Riverkeeper calls it, you

Gordon Johnson **29** (113 - 116) Page 113 Page 115 ¹ referenced seepage out of the GOB. Did ¹ In the course of reviewing materials and ² you also see any seepage out of bedrock? ² making your site observations during your A. Not that I recall. ³ site visit and reviewing the data ⁴ collected through the sampling and Q. Do you know whether what you saw ⁵ that you attribute to be seepage out of analysis, be it soil, water in the river, ⁶ the GOB pile is actually out of bedrock? surface water at the site, did you reach any opinions that were not supportive of A. I would think that it isn't. ⁸ The ground surface and the bedrock are claims you understand to be being made by ⁹ relatively impermeable. The mine waste the plaintiff, Riverkeeper, in the case? ¹⁰ is relatively permeable. So the location A. I think the answer to that where groundwater tends to accumulate is question is no. It's a long question. I 12 immediately above that permeability think I understand. 13 contrast above the lower permeable -- the 13 O. I understand. ¹⁴ lower layer, lower impermeable layer, A. The -which is the bedrock and natural soils, 15 MR. BROCK: You answered the and above within the mine waste. 16 question. Let him ask another one. 17 17 And this is the same conclusion THE WITNESS: Okay. that the folks -- that PELA made when 18 Q. He wants me to work for my -they were doing their initial groundwater MR. BROCK: When you say, "The 20 investigations in the post-law and answer is no," that's the end of the 21 ²¹ pre-law areas, as they referred to it. answer. Q. Okay. All right. If you will, Q. Yeah. And if it makes you feel ²³ please, look on page 1.1 of your October ²³ better, we go through this with every Page 116 ¹ 2017 report. This is -- it continues to ¹ witness on both sides of the aisle. ² be Exhibit 3. All right. You obviously A. My only hesitance was I was ³ understand, based on your introduction, going ask for it to be repeated. I ⁴ that Riverkeeper is bringing claims under wasn't going to --⁵ the Clean Water Act and the Resource MR. DAVIS: Will you repeat the ⁶ Conservation and Recovery Act; right? question for the witness? A. That's what I understand. A. Because I want to make sure I Q. Okay. What is your fully understood it. understanding -- and I'm not asking you (Requested portion read.) ¹⁰ for a legal opinion, just your personal A. Yeah. I'm staying with my ¹¹ understanding -- of what the Clean Water answer. Thanks. ¹² Act claim or claims are? 12 Q. Okay. Yeah.

A. My understanding is that the 14 claim is that pollutants are being

¹⁵ discharged into the waters of the United

¹⁶ States. I think there's an acronym to

¹⁷ that effect.

Q. Okay. All right. And what do

19 you understand to be the claim under the

20 Resource Conservation and Recovery Act?

A. Similar. "I don't know" is the

²² answer to your question.

Q Okay Fair enough All right

13

MR. BROCK: Off the record.

14 (Break taken.)

Q. (By Mr. Davis) All right. I

understand from your report, Mr. Johnson,

that your rate for your report

preparation is \$200 an hour; right?

19 A. Yes.

Q. \$400 an hour for depositions and

21 trials; correct?

22 A. Correct.

Q All right Do you charge the

Gordon Johnson 30 (117 - 120)

¹ same hourly rate of \$200 an hour for

- ² field work, like the site visit, or was
- ³ that another?
- ⁴ A. For the work that I've done on
- ⁵ this project.
- ⁶ Q. Okay.
- ⁷ A. Yes.
- ⁸ Q. All right. You haven't
- ⁹ testified in trial or in an
- administrative hearing or a deposition in
- ¹¹ the past four years?
- ¹² A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. All right. Do you have
- ¹⁴ an estimate of how many hours you have
- ¹⁵ spent working on this case? And by that
- ¹⁶ I mean total. You know, site visit,
- ¹⁷ anything that you've billed Riverkeeper
- ¹⁸ for.
- ¹⁹ A. My estimate would be less than
- 200 hours but close to 200 hours.
- Q. Okay. All right. If you will,
- ²² please, look at page 3.1 under "Project
- ²³ Introduction" and the heading, "3.1

Page 118

23

- ¹ Background." And looking at the second
- ² sentence in the second paragraph and
- ³ specifically at the word "flowing,"
- ⁴ "Surface and ground water contaminated by
- ⁵ the GOB Pile is flowing into the Locust
- ⁶ Fork." Now, I interpret the word
- ⁷ "flowing" to mean a constant flow. Is
- 8 that how you intend it to be used?
- ⁹ A. It was flowing the day I was at
- ¹⁰ the site. And I believe in my report I
- 11 referred to it as an intermittent stream.
- 12 So the implication there is that it
- ¹³ doesn't flow on a continuous basis.
- Q. All right. And with regard to
- 15 the phrase "contaminated by the GOB
- ¹⁶ Pile," what is the contamination?
- ¹⁷ A. The contamination is the
- ¹⁸ suspended sediments that consist of the
- ¹⁹ mine waste, the acidity, and then the
- ²⁰ high concentrations of some of the heavy
- ²¹ metals.
- 22 Q. Okay. I see that's how you
- ²³ conclude the paragraph, so that would be

¹ the list; right? The list of what the

- ² contamination includes?
- A. Is that a question? Sorry, yes.
- ⁴ Q. It was intended to be, but. Let
- ⁵ me make sure it's a specific question.
- The contamination that you are
- ⁷ speaking of includes, as your last
- sentence indicates, acidic water, TDS,
- ⁹ high metals concentration, "relative to
- ¹⁰ relevant water quality standards and
- 11 background concentrations." Right?
- ¹² A. Correct.
- O. What are the relevant water
- ¹⁴ quality standards?
- ¹⁵ A. I relied on aquilogic to
- ¹⁶ evaluate -- to determine those.
- O. All right. If aquilogic is
- ¹⁸ incorrect about the relevant water
- ¹⁹ quality standards, then would any
- 20 conclusion that you have that's based on
- ²¹ those aquilogic decisions --
- ²² A. Specific -- oh, sorry.
 - Q. -- also be incorrect?

Page 120

- A. Specific conclusions regarding
- ² the specific errors would change. But
- ³ the restoration strategy and
- ⁴ recommendations wouldn't.
- ⁵ Q. Would not? Okay. All right.
- ⁶ And there's also a reference to, at the
- ⁷ end of your sentence to background
- ⁸ concentrations. What are the background
- ⁹ concentrations that you have reference
- ¹⁰ to?
- 11 A. The background concentrations
- ¹² refer to perhaps water in the Locust Fork
- ³ upstream of the points -- specifically in
- my report, more specifically, we
- ¹⁵ evaluated the concentrations of some of
- ¹⁶ the metals relative to background
- ¹⁷ concentrations published for this area of
- ¹⁸ Alabama.
- ¹⁹ Q. Okay. And those background
- concentrations are referenced
- 21 specifically in your report; right?
- ²² A. Correct.
 - Q Okay All right If you will

Gordon Johnson 31 (121 - 124)

Page 121 Page 123 A. My experience, my understanding ² of mine rules and mine waste management A. Just to clarify that last answer. ³ rules in a general sense. Q. Certainly. Q. Okay. What of your experience ⁵ informs your judgment there? A. They're also referenced in other experts' reports. A. Every mine site that I've ever worked on had a requirement to manage the Q. Okay. A. So for example, the background mine waste such that it didn't have an ⁹ water quality in the Locust Fork is not adverse effect on the waters that were 10 referenced in my report, but it is released from it. ¹¹ referenced in other experts' reports. Q. Okay. But you've told us that Q. And you in turn cite those the only site in Alabama you've worked on is the Maxine site; correct? 13 reports --A. Yes. A. Correct. Q. -- as part of yours? Okay. I 15 15 Q. All right. What mine rules do ¹⁶ understand you. you have reference to? 17 All right. The third paragraph, A. Mine rules in general? Sorry. I understand the first sentence to mean I want to understand your question. that the mine waste that comprises the Q. Yeah. I thought that was part 20 GOB pile is the source of the of your answer. You mentioned experience and mine rules. ²¹ contamination that you've described 22 above; right? A. So in the context of my answer. 23 A. Yes. O. Yes. Page 122 Page 124 A. Yes. Q. All right. And once again, just ² so the record is clear, the mine waste is Q. That's what I'm asking about. ³ the geologic overburden, the rock, A. The mine rules that I'm most ⁴ minerals, the coal fragments to the familiar with are in western Canada, ⁵ extent they were not removed, water, where I've done most of my work. Q. Okay. Look for me under section ⁶ perhaps some vegetative material of some ⁷ kind? 3.2 in the second paragraph. And I'm A. Yes. I didn't see vegetative ⁸ looking at the second sentence, which references "the results of a sampling and material --10 O. Okay. analytical program that was completed by A. -- in the mine waste, but that's Burgess Environmental as part of a more 11 ¹² possible. detailed investigation" by aquilogic. 13 13 Q. Okay. But that's what it What exactly is the program that ¹⁴ consists of. was completed by Burgess Environmental? All right. Your next sentence A. The sampling of the mine waste ¹⁶ in that paragraph speaks of a failure to at various locations throughout the GOB properly manage the GOB pile. Is it your pile. 17 ¹⁸ belief that there was a legal duty on the 18 Q. Soil sampling? part of ABC or Drummond to manage the GOB 19 A. Mine waste sampling. 20 pile? 20 Q. Okay. Where is that sampling 21 A. That would be my belief, yes. 21 reflected? 22 Q. All right. What is that based A. There's ten sample locations

²³ on?

23 that are shown on a figure I'll find it

Gordon Johnson 32 (125 - 128)

¹ for you if you like.

² Q. Okay.

³ A. 5.1, I think, but.

⁴ Q. Is it perhaps 5.4?

⁵ A. 5.4, yes.

⁶ Q. All right. All right. And

⁷ these -- 5.4 is labeled, or is titled

⁸ "Mine Waste, Groundwater and Surface

⁹ Water Sampling Locations." Are the mine

waste sampling locations indicated on

¹¹ your Figure 5.4 the same soil boring

12 samples that are reflected in a similar

13 figure in the aquilogic report?

¹⁴ A. I believe so.

Q. Here's what I'm trying to make

¹⁶ sure I understand. I want to make sure

that we know what soil samplings and mine

¹⁸ waste samplings were taken. And if there

¹⁹ are more than are reflected on 5.4 --

that's my question. Are there any other

21 soil or mine waste samples that were

22 taken other than what are reflected on

²³ Figure 5.4?

Page 126

A. To my knowledge, no, and not by

² me.

Q. Okay. And would the ones that

⁴ are reflected on Figure 5.4 to your

⁵ October 2017 report be the ones that were

⁶ taken during joint sampling events in

⁷ August 2018?

A. Yes.

⁹ Q. Okay.

MR. BROCK: I think it's 2017.

THE WITNESS: Sorry. Yes.

MR. DAVIS: What did I say?

MR. BROCK: You said '18.

MR. DAVIS: I'm sorry. Yeah.

15 Thank you for correcting me. We've got

to have a good record or it will be very

¹⁷ confusing, so thank you very much.

¹⁸ Q. (By Mr. Davis) Okay. Any other

¹⁹ component to the sampling and analytical

²⁰ program that was completed by Burgess

²¹ Environmental?

²² A. No.

Q Okay All right look for me if

¹ you will on page 3-2, still on section

² 3.2. All right. The last full paragraph

³ of section 3., 2 second sentence. "The

⁴ program for removal is developed in

⁵ sufficient detail below to validate

⁶ methodology and the viability of this

⁷ restoration option."

8 Did I read that correctly?

A. Yes.

¹⁰ Q. All right. I understand that

¹¹ the elaboration follows. What I want to

12 focus on here and make sure I understand

13 is the word "validate." How does the --

how is your program for removal validated

15 by what follows in your report? Or let

¹⁶ me strike that question and start again.

What do you mean by the word

"validate" in that statement?

A. What I mean by that is that I'm

proposing a restoration option that is

²¹ viable to implement.

Q. And what makes it viable to

²³ implement?

Page 128

Page 127

A. Well, complete removal is one

² that is I would say fairly

³ straightforward and easy to conceive and

⁴ can be achieved. So in that sense, in my

⁵ opinion, it is viable.

Q. Have you done any analysis with

⁷ regard to whether it is legally

8 permissible to implement the option that

⁹ you proposed, or are you leaving that to

¹⁰ the lawyers for Black Warrior

¹¹ Riverkeeper?

A. I wouldn't leave it to the

¹³ lawyers of Black -- the Riverkeeper and

¹⁴ SCLC. But in the places that I've

worked, it's -- and there's been many of

¹⁶ them, the removal option is typically

¹⁷ preferred by the regulators. And it's

¹⁸ been approvable. So it's not a

19 restoration strategy that in my mind

would be prevented. Or in my experience,

²¹ probably is a better way of putting it.

Q. On any site that you've worked

²³ on in the United States, has any

Gordon Johnson 33 (129 - 132)

¹ regulatory entity approved the

- ² deforestation of 175 acres and authorized
- ³ the removal of two million cubic yards of
- 4 material?
 - A. I have not worked on a job with
- ⁶ exactly this -- those criteria that you
- ⁷ just established, or you just stated.
- ⁸ I've never seen clearing be an impediment
- ⁹ to any of the restoration strategies that
- ¹⁰ I've proposed.
- ¹¹ Q. It's your understanding that
- ¹² Black Warrior Riverkeeper is an
- organization that advocates
- ¹⁴ deforestation?
- MR. BROCK: I object to the
- ¹⁶ form.
- Q. Do you have an understanding?
- ¹⁸ A. No.
- Q. Okay. What is your
- ²⁰ understanding of what the objectives as
- ²¹ an organization of Black Warrior
- ²² Riverkeeper are, if you have any?
- ³ A. On this particular project,

Page 130

- ¹ they've asked me to evaluate restoration
- ² options that would prevent the ongoing
- ³ pollution of the Locust Fork. That seems
- ⁴ to be -- or that, in my experience
- ⁵ working with SELC and Riverkeeper, is
- ⁶ their sole objective.
- ⁷ Q. Okay. Do you know whether Black
- ⁸ Warrior Riverkeeper advocates in favor of
- ⁹ mining?
- ¹⁰ A. I don't know.
- ¹¹ Q. Do you know whether Black
- ¹² Warrior Riverkeeper advocates
- ¹³ clear-cutting of forest?
- ¹⁴ A. The -- Riverkeeper had nothing
- 15 to do with the restoration strategy that
- ¹⁶ I'm proposing. The responsible
- ¹⁷ management of this particular mine waste
- ¹⁸ pile, the GOB pile, if it's going to be
- ¹⁹ managed properly, as I've said in my
- ²⁰ report, will require the surface to be
- ²¹ stabilized. And there's no way to
- ²² stabilize it without clearing it. And
- ²³ clearing it is a relatively minor

- ¹ activity. It occurs with forestry in
- ² Alabama, for example, on a fairly large
- ³ scale.
- ⁴ Q. Okay. Your testimony is that
- ⁵ clear-cutting 175 acres is a minor
- ⁶ detail. Is that correct?
- ⁷ MR. BROCK: Object to the form.
- 8 You can answer.
 - THE WITNESS: Okay. I'm not
- sure what protocol is to whether I'm
- meant to answer when you --
- MR. BROCK: Yeah. I'm objecting
- ³ to just some aspect of the question that
- ¹⁴ I thought was improper. But you can go
- ¹⁵ ahead and answer to the extent you
- understand it.
 - A. You know, my experience -- or in
- 18 my view, the clearing of this area is not
- 19 a significant step that would result in
- adverse environmental impact. It's done
- ²¹ by mining companies all the time when
- ²² they're opening up areas. It's done by
- ²³ forest companies all the time. It's done

Page 132

- ¹ by agricultural activities all of the
- ² time. And there's every reason to
- ³ believe that after this is excavated and
- ⁴ restored -- excavated and restored or
- ⁵ capped in place and restored, that
- ⁶ appropriate vegetation would reestablish.
- ⁷ I mean, Alabama's an excellent place for
- ⁸ reestablishing vegetation.
- ⁹ Q. Okay. All right. What analysis
- 10 have you done as to how long it would
- ¹¹ take to develop what you were describing
 - ² as appropriate revegetation?
- A. In my experience -- this is not
- ¹⁴ an analytical process but an
- ¹⁵ experience-based process -- that it
- ⁶ typically takes between one and three
- years to reestablish surface vegetation
- that's resistant of the erosion, which
- ¹⁹ would be the objective here, and also to
- ²⁰ have natural vegetation. The local folks
- 21 typically determine what the appropriate
- ²² natural vegetation is. You don't want to
- ²³ reintroduce invasive species, that sort

Gordon Johnson 34 (133 - 136)

¹ of thing.

Q. Okay. By "local folks," you

³ would mean the regulatory entities having

⁴ jurisdiction over the property and --

A. Or an expert that would be

retained by whomever is doing the work,
 ves.

Q. Do you think any of the work

⁹ that you are proposing could be done

without the oversight and permission of

11 whatever bodies of regulators have

¹² jurisdiction over the Maxine Mine

¹³ property?

A. Well, as I stated in my report,

¹⁵ I recognize there's a regulatory step of

¹⁶ presenting the plan to the regulators and

¹⁷ then getting that plan accepted. And

18 there would be a regulatory body, in my

view, that would look after the mining

aspects, the environmental aspects, and

21 then the water aspects.

²² Q. Okay. Do you acknowledge, then,

²³ that your deforestation and excavation

Page 134

¹ plan as described in your reports of

² October 2017 and April 2018 would have to

³ be approved by regulators?

⁴ A. I'm not sure if clearing is

⁵ something that gets approved by

⁶ regulators. I've never used the term

⁷ "deforestation." And I'm not sure why

⁸ you're using it. But clearing the area

⁹ would be a requirement of the work.

¹⁰ Whether or not that is a regulated

¹¹ activity on its own right, I don't know.

Q. What is the difference between

¹³ clearing of a forest and deforestation?

¹⁴ Is there some distinction?

¹⁵ A. Well, there's certainly negative

¹⁶ connotations and potentially permanent

¹⁷ connotations behind deforestation. I

18 just don't think they apply here.

¹⁹ O. Okay.

²⁰ A. You know. So I suspect, if you

²¹ had a forestry client sitting next to

²² you, they wouldn't appreciate the use of

23 that term Because the forest can be

¹ reestablished.

² Q. I'll circle back to my original

³ question, which is, does Black Warrior

⁴ Riverkeeper support mining? Does it

⁵ advocate in favor of surface mining?

A. Well, refer back to my previous

⁷ answer. I don't know.

8 MR. BROCK: You still don't

9 know?

THE WITNESS: No, I still don't

11 know.

17

MR. BROCK: Okay.

O. You should look at the website

one time.

MR. BROCK: Is there mining

¹⁶ involved in the case? Anyway, go ahead.

MR. DAVIS: I'm just trying to

18 understand --

¹⁹ A. I'm a bit of a dinosaur. I

²⁰ don't spend a lot of time surfing

²¹ people's websites.

Q. That's fine. And you're not

²³ required to, by any means. I'm just

Page 136

Page 135

¹ trying to understand your report. And

² the distinction between clearing a site

³ or removing the forest, which is what

⁴ deforestation is, or trees seems to me to

⁵ be something that's important to

⁶ understand if you see a distinction. So

⁷ that's what my questions are. I'm trying

⁸ to understand what you mean by your

⁹ proposal.

Can we submit -- and by "we," I

mean the parties to this case, can we

12 submit your expert report, as it's

titled, of October 2017 and your rebuttal

¹⁴ report of April 2018 to whatever

15 regulatory body has jurisdiction and get

permission to undertake the work that you

¹⁷ have prescribed?

A. Well, my report was not intended

⁻⁹ for regulatory submission. So I would

think that any plan, presumably the

obligation of the proponent, would need

²² to be more detailed and design-oriented

23 __

Gordon Johnson 35 (137 - 140)

Q. Okay.

A. -- to achieve or obtain the

³ regulatory acceptance. That would be my

⁴ experience, and that would be my

⁵ expectation.

Q. Okay. I didn't quite hear part

of the answer.

MR. DAVIS: Can you read it back

to me?

10 (Requested portion read.)

11 MR. DAVIS: Thank you.

12 A. She's far more eloquent than the

13 two of us.

14 Q. I just do the best I can.

15 You agree that substantiating

your proposal would be the obligation of

17 the proponent; right?

A. Yes. Once a restoration

strategy is finalized, it would need to

²⁰ be detailed and would be subject to

²¹ regulatory acceptance. That would be my

²² expectation.

MR. DAVIS: All right. It's

Page 138

¹ noon. Would you like to take a lunch

² break?

3 MR. BROCK: Yes.

4 (Break taken.)

Q. (By Mr. Davis) Okay, Mr.

⁶ Johnson. We'll continue on with your

⁷ deposition. Will you continue to look

⁸ with me at Exhibit 3, which is your

⁹ October 2017 report, and I am moving into

10 the figures now, and I have some

questions on those.

12 And I don't have a page number

¹³ for you. The last page number I have is

¹⁴ 4-5. Okay. It appears that we're on the

¹⁵ same page. I'm looking at Figure 4-1.

16 A. Correct.

17 Q. Okay. Is your Figure 4-1 a

¹⁸ reproduction of a figure in the Anthony

19 Brown report?

20 A. Yes.

Q. Okay. And let's look at Figure

²² 4-2. What does Figure 4-2 show us?

A It's a historical aerial

¹ photograph of the area, and the dashed

² red line is meant to portray the limits,

as I understand them, of the area that

was capped in the '80.

Q. Okay. Is this a figure that you

prepared? Or is this in the Brown

report?

A. I think that Brown gave me the

limits.

10 Q. Okay.

A. It was -- there are also

documents in the record that were

produced by ABC that showed it. But I

¹⁴ believe that particular depiction is from

Brown.

Q. Okay. Your Figure 4-2 is in Mr.

¹⁷ Brown's report, or he made the image for

you? And I'm just trying to figure out

how you got this and whether you did

anything to it yourself.

A. I didn't do anything to it. The

²² boundary was one that I got from

²³ aquilogic.

Page 140

Page 139

Q. All right. Now Figure 4-3.

What are we looking at here?

A. 4-3, I think this is an image

⁴ that was in one of the topographic maps

⁵ that we had in the record that showed the

dump outline schematically.

Q. Okay. And when you -- what do

you understand to be the source of the

USGS map?

A. Just that USGS map, '75.

11 Q. Okay. That was not a very good

question. Let me try again.

13 A. Okay.

14 Q. You made a reference to this was

a map in the record or something to that

effect. So I understand that it's a USGS

map, vintage 1975. Where did you get

this figure?

A. I don't recall exactly, but I

²⁰ believe it was the -- in the records that

were shared with me by Riverkeeper and

²² SELC.

Q Okay Did you make Figure 4-3

Gordon Johnson 36 (141 - 144)

¹ or did Mr. Brown?

² A. The red dashed lines there, I

³ would have added to the document.

⁴ Q. Okay. So Figure 4-3 is --

⁵ A. The only thing new on that, the

⁶ only thing created for this report on

⁷ that is the dashed red line.

⁸ Q. Dashed line?

⁹ A. Otherwise, it's an exact

¹⁰ duplicate of the USGS.

¹¹ Q. Okay. Thank you. All right.

12 Figure 4-4 says "(Drummond, 1982)," but

¹³ I'm guessing that perhaps this is an ABC

¹⁴ document?

¹⁵ A. Correct, yes.

Q. Okay. What is the significance

¹⁷ of Figure 4-4 to you?

¹⁸ A. It's simply intended to provide

¹⁹ background information on the geologic

profile in the area.

²¹ Q. Okay.

A. And it was taken from one of

²³ those ABC reports. It might have been in

Page 142

¹ the section that was generated by PELA.

Q. Okay.

³ A. But in this case, we needed to

⁴ redraft it because the clarity didn't

⁵ come across. But it's intended to be an

⁶ exact duplication of what's in their

⁷ document.

⁸ Q. Okay. And when you say

⁹ redrafted, what do you mean?

A. I mean we had -- we had to redo

¹¹ the labels and redo the image in AutoCAD

¹² to make it legible.

Q. Okay. It was not a very good

14 copy? Is that --

¹⁵ A. Yeah, correct. Couldn't be

¹⁶ photocopied and continue to be legible.

¹⁷ O. All right. All right. If

¹⁸ you'll look at Figure 4-5, please, and

19 tell us what we are looking at here and

²⁰ what the significance of it is to you.

²¹ A. There was a section in my

²² report -- first of all, what you're

²³ looking at is a lithologic profile that

¹ was generated in the same way as the

² previous one. And it's intended to

³ support my overview of the background

⁴ hydrogeology within the bedrock unit

⁵ that's reported -- or included in my

⁶ report.

⁷ Q. Okay. On each of 4-4 and 4-5,

⁸ at the bottom of the page, there is the

⁹ apparent abbreviation "DRN. BY," and then

¹⁰ what I presume to be initials, "CEK."

¹¹ Does that mean "Drawn by"?

A. Yes. Individual by the name of

¹³ Charles Kreutzweiser.

Q. Okay. Is he an employee of

¹⁵ Burgess Environmental?

¹⁶ A. No. He's a contract draftsman

¹⁷ that I use.

¹⁸ Q. Okay. The next block, the

¹⁹ adjacent block, "APP'D BY: GJ," does that

²⁰ indicate approved by you?

²¹ A. Yes.

Q. Okay. And that's true on both

²³ documents; right?

Page 144

Page 143

A. Yes.

² Q. All right.

Okay. With regard to the

⁴ section on site inspection, which is 5.1.

⁵ And it begins on and ends on page 5-1,

⁶ and it's titled "Site Inspection." You

⁷ with me there?

⁸ A. Yes.

⁹ Q. Does that section describe the

¹⁰ entire extent of the site inspection on

11 which your opinions in your report are

12 based?

A. It summarizes the primary

¹⁴ elements. It's not intended to be an

¹⁵ exhaustive discussion of all of the

things I saw and did on that day.

¹⁷ Q. Okay. Are there --

⁸ A. It would be the primary elements

¹⁹ from which I have relied upon.

Q. Okay. What is missing?

A. Of all the activities that

²² occurred that day or my observations.

O Anything pertinent to the site

Gordon Johnson 37 (145 - 148)

¹ inspection?

- A. I don't think there's anything
- ³ missing that's consequential to my
- ⁴ recommendation for restoration.
- Q. Okay.
- A. But having said that, you know,
- ⁷ I would have made observations around the
- ⁸ entire GOB pile, and not all of them are
- ⁹ documented here.
- 10 Q. Okay.
- A. An example might be the seepages
- 12 that I saw around the site. I don't see
- them described in any detail here. That
- would be an example of something that's
- ¹⁵ discussed in other places in my report
- ¹⁶ but not here.
- Q. Okay. And why would it not be
- discussed in this section of your report?
- A. I tried to keep this section of
- 20 the report focused on the primary
- elements that led me to my recommendation
- ²² for restoration.
 - Q. Okay. Would it be fair to
- Page 146
- ¹ say -- and if it's not, please let me
- ² know. Would it be fair to say that the
- ³ elements that are reflected in this
- ⁴ section are the ones that are most
- ⁵ significant for the conclusions and
- ⁶ opinions that you've put forth in your
- ⁷ report and that any that are not included
- 8 are inconsequential?
- A. I don't think I would use the
- 10 word "inconsequential."
- Q. Okay. What word would you use?
- A. But I would agree with the first
- ¹³ half of your question, that they are the
- ¹⁴ ones that are most consequential.
- Q. Okay. How would you modify the
- second part so that it would be accurate
- in representing what you're communicating
- ¹⁸ here, or what the significance of it is?
- A. I would say that it highlights
- ²⁰ the observational evidence that supported
- my conclusions and opinions.
- Q. Okay.
- A That it does not include some of

- ¹ the more -- some of the analytical-based
- ² information that supported my
- ³ conclusions. So it's meant to focus on
- ⁴ the primary elements that are
- observational.
 - Q. Okay. All right. Was there
- anything else you wanted to say?
- A. No.
- Q. Okay. Let's move on to the next
- page, to section 5.2, "Geophysical
- Investigation." All right. And I will
- have a similar series of questions for
- each of the next sections, just so you
- know kind of what the progression will
- be. But as to the geophysical
- investigation, you've related information
- here, and the question is, is this the
- entire extent of the geophysical
- investigation on which your opinions and
- conclusions are based?
- A. From the perspective at the time
- ²² I wrote this report, the answer to your
- ²³ question is yes.

Page 148

- Q. Okay.
- A. Subsequent to writing this
- report but prior to writing the rebuttal
- and prior, obviously, to this session, I
- ⁵ had a chance to look at the results of
- ⁶ the geophysics that was completed on
- behalf of Dr. Dimova.
- Q. Okay.
- A. And there is nothing different
- about those results, but they're also
- geophysical results that I considered, or
- I'm currently considering.
- Q. Okay. Thank you for the
- qualification, and I think you've made a
- good point. Any question that I'm asking
- you about this report would be as of the
- 17 date of the report.
- 18 A. Okay.
- 19 Q. All right. Anything else that
- you need to tell us about 5.2?
- 21 A. No.
- 22 Q. Okay. Let's look on to section
- 23 5 3, the "Mine Waste Sampling and

Gordon Johnson 38 (149 - 152)

¹ Characterization" section. Is this the

- ² entire extent of the mine waste sampling
- ³ on which your opinions are based?
- A. Yes. Up until, as you were
- ⁵ saying, before the time of writing this
- report.
- Q. Okay.
- A. There is an addendum to the
- report.
- 10 Q. Right.
- A. That is a different but similar
- ¹² suite of analyses that were run on the
- same samples.
- Q. Okay. All right. If you'll
- 15 turn ahead to page 5-5 and look at the
- ¹⁶ section 5.4, "Groundwater Investigation."
- 17 Is what's set out in section 5.4 on 5-5
- and 5-6 the entire extent of the
- groundwater investigation information on
- which your opinions are based as of
- ²¹ October 2017? Whatever the date of your
- ²² report specifically is.
- A. Yes. I'll bring your attention

Page 150

- ¹ to this statement that I was also
- ² informed by the Brown report.
- Q. Okay. Certainly. Okay. All
- ⁴ right. Anything else?
- A. No.
- Q. Okay. All right. And now
- ⁷ section 5.5, which covers part of page
- 8 5-6 through page 5-8, we have a section
- ⁹ on surface water investigation. And
- 10 similar to the prior questions, does this
- 11 relate the entire extent of the surface
- ¹² water investigation on which your
- ¹³ opinions are based as of the date you
- ¹⁴ wrote this report in October of 2017?
- A. Yes. With that same
- qualification regarding the Brown report.
- 17 Q. Brown report, okay. And in both
- ¹⁸ of the instances, in the preceding
- ¹⁹ section on groundwater investigation and
- ²⁰ in the section on surface water
- ²¹ investigation, as I understand the
- ²² statements where you're referencing the
- ²³ Brown report, you were adopting his

- ¹ report for purposes of your analysis and
- ² opinions because you're in agreement with
- ³ it.
- A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. All right. If you'll
- look at Figure 5-1, please.
- A. Yes.
- Q. All right. This is titled "Plan
- ⁹ View of Geophysical Investigation and E-M
- Survey Results." This looks similar to
- me to images that we've seen in both the
- Advisian geophysical report and in
- Brown's report. Is that accurate?
- A. That's accurate.
- Q. Okay. And let's look on to
- ¹⁶ Figure 5-2. This is "Results of
- Land-Based ERT Geophysical Survey." And
- again, is this the same images that we
- see in both the Advisian report and
- Anthony Brown's report?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Okay.
 - A. And by images, I mean the images

- ¹ of the actual ERT results.
- Q. Certainly the figures. What I'm
- ³ suggesting and I understand you to be
- agreeing with is that the images in the
- figures are the same.
- And the same with Figure 5-3,
- would that be true, the results of the
- river-based ERT physical survey?
- - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. We've already talked
- about Figure 5-4.
- Look for me, if you will, at
- page 6.2. This is within your section of
- 6.1 on "Mine Waste Characteristics." And
- I'm looking at the top of page 6-2, and
- I'm specifically looking at the first
- sentence that reads in its beginning,
- ¹⁸ "These results are consistent with the
- assessment of the GOB Pile that was
- completed by ABC." And you have a
- citation to a document produced by
- ²² Drummond, it's dated in 1985. Right?
 - A Correct

Gordon Johnson 39 (153 - 156)

¹ Q. Do you know whether the results

- ² are also consistent with the conditions
- ³ that existed with regard to the GOB pile
- ⁴ at the time of the bond release in 1993?
- A. No. I'm not aware of any
- testing of the GOB pile that was done in1993.
- ⁸ Q. Okay. All right. If you'll
- ⁹ look with me on page 6-3, which is at the
- ¹⁰ end of your section on "Groundwater
- ¹¹ Conditions," 6.2, you make reference to a
- 12 "mass of contamination released to the
- ¹³ Locust Fork" in the last paragraph. Do
- 14 you see that?
- ¹⁵ A. I think you might have to direct
- ¹⁶ me there again.
- ¹⁷ Q. Sure.
- ¹⁸ A. Because I don't see the words.
- 19 Q. Yeah. It's about midpage, the
- ²⁰ paragraph immediately preceding --
- ²¹ A. Okay.
- ²² Q. -- 6.3.
- A. I got you now. "When combined

Page 154

- ¹ with the contamination data"?
- ² Q. Right. "Provides guidance as to
- ³ the mass of contamination released to the
- ⁴ Locust Fork." You see that?
- ⁵ A. Yes.
- ⁶ Q. What is the "mass of
- ⁷ contamination" that is released to the
- 8 Locust Fork?
- ⁹ A. The "mass of contamination"
- would be the outcome of multiplying the
- ¹¹ volume of water times the concentration
- ¹² of contamination in that water.
- ¹³ Q. Okay.
- A. So that's -- the mass is a unit
- of mass measure, grams, milligrams,
- ¹⁶ kilograms, that sort of thing.
- O. Okay. And is that the result of
- ¹⁸ the application of Darcy's Law and the
- ¹⁹ elements that precede the paragraph we're
- 20 looking at and the calculation that is
- ²¹ reflected in section 6.2 of your report?
- ²² That wasn't a very artful question, but
- ²³ you may understand the question

- Page 155
 A. Yeah, I think I understand the
- ² question. I think the answer is yes.
- ³ Putting it in a different way --
- ⁴ Q. Okay.
- ⁵ A. -- it's the product of the
- ⁶ volume of water that's being transmitted.
- ⁷ Q. Okay.
- ⁸ A. And the concentration of the
- ⁹ contamination in that water.
- ¹⁰ Q. Okay.
- A. By multiplying those two
- 12 together, you would determine the mass of
- ¹³ a contamination.
- Q. Okay. Good. Thank you. Now,
- ¹⁵ if you will, walk me through that
- ¹⁶ calculation.
- A. The "calculation" being Darcy's
- 18 law?
- ¹⁹ Q. Being the calculation that
- results in the mass of contamination.
- A. So it's a simple calculation.
- ²² Q. Okay.
 - A. I calculated the groundwater

- ¹ flow rate to be estimated.
- Q. Okay.
- ³ A. "Estimate" is probably better
- 4 than "calculated."
- ⁵ Q. Okay. And that's --
- ⁶ A. At 50,000 gallons per day.
- ⁷ Q. I'm sorry. And the flow rate
- 8 would be the Q? Flow rate is indicated
- 9 by O?
- ¹⁰ A. Correct.
- ¹¹ Q. Okay. All right.
- A. And estimated that to be 50,000
- ¹³ gallons per day.
- 14 Q. Okay.
- A. If one were to look at the
- concentrations in the previous section of
- the report that apply to the groundwater
- the report that apply to the ground water
- 18 to, say, arsenic, you would multiply that
- ¹⁹ concentration of arsenic times 50,000
- gallons per day, and you would be able to
- ²¹ calculate the number of grams of arsenic
- ²² that moved to the river.
- 23 Q Okay

Gordon Johnson 40 (157 - 160)

¹ A. The point that I'm making here,

- ² though, is not a mathematical exercise.
- ³ It is a point that these volumes and
- ⁴ concentrations in masses are high enough
- ⁵ that in my opinion the restoration plan
- ⁶ needs to account for the groundwater and
- ⁷ the contamination in that groundwater.
- ⁸ Q. Okay. All right. What evidence
- ⁹ do you have that the mass of
- ¹⁰ contamination that you're discussing is
- ¹¹ actually being released to the Locust
- 12 Fork?
- A. Well, there's no other place for
- ¹⁴ that groundwater to go. It's going to
- 15 flow with the gradient and with the
- ¹⁶ topography in the same manner the surface
- water does into the Locust Fork.
- ¹⁸ Q. Okay. Let me back up. All
- 19 right. Let me start at the top of 6.2,
- and that might have been a better way to
- ²¹ do it than beginning at the end.
- All right. You say, "The
- ²³ results for the groundwater investigation

Page 158

- ¹ indicate that the groundwater" is
- ² contaminated underlying the sediment
- ³ basins and that that's evidenced by low
- ⁴ pH -- right? -- TDS that's elevated, and
- ⁵ elevated metals; correct?
- ⁶ A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. Then based on the
- ⁸ geophysical surveys, which is the ERT
- ⁹ information that you had available in
- ¹⁰ October of 2017, you concluded that "most
- 11 of the contaminated groundwater is
- 12 flowing through the eroded mine waste
- ¹³ that settled in the sedimentation
- ¹⁴ basins." Right?
- ¹⁵ A. Correct.
- Q. All right. Then you set out how
- ¹⁷ to estimate the volume of groundwater
- ¹⁸ through the zone by application of
- ¹⁹ Darcy's Law, and you break that down to
- ²⁰ its elements, flow rate, hydraulic,
- ²¹ conductivity, the groundwater gradient,
- ²² and then A being the cross-sectional area
- ²³ of the settled mine waste; right?

¹ A. Correct.

² Q. All right. And from that point,

- ³ what is the "observed particle
- ⁴ distribution of the mine waste"? What
- ⁵ does that have reference to?
- A. So when I sampled the mine waste
- ⁷ that's been eroded from the GOB pile and
- ⁸ deposited where the, you know, Tributary
- ⁹ 1, just about where the Tributary 1 flows
- o into the Locust Fork, where the
- ¹¹ sedimentation basins used to be, I
- ¹² observed that they're sandy, gravelly,
- grain-sized distribution. Which is going
- ¹⁴ to be permeable. Gravelly, sandy
- 15 materials are permeable. And the
- ¹⁶ estimate of hydraulic conductivity was
- ¹⁷ provided by aquilogic.
- Q. Okay. So in this particular
- ¹⁹ introductory clause, the word "observed"
- ²⁰ means you saw it with your eyes.
- ²¹ A. Correct.
- Q. And you observed that it was
- ²³ sandy, gravelly material. And it is

Page 160

- ¹ sandy, gravelly material what constitutes
- ² or is the particle distribution?
- ³ A. Yes.
- ⁴ Q. Okay. All right.
- ⁵ All right. The hydraulic
- ⁶ conductivity is estimated to be about 500
- ⁷ gallons per day per square foot. How did
- ⁸ you figure that?
- A. That was obtained from aquilogic
- because they did the hydrogeological
- ¹¹ assessment in detail.
- Q. Okay. All right. The hydraulic
- gradient is expected to mirror the ground
- ¹⁴ surface, and it averages approximately
- 15 3.3 percent over the area of the filled
- 16 lower sedimentation basin.
- Where did that information come
- 18 from?
- ¹⁹ A. Topographic maps of the area.
 - Q. Okay. Is that a number that you
- 21 figured or calculated or measured?
- ²² A. "Figured" is probably the --
 - Q Figured? All right How would

Gordon Johnson 41 (161 - 164)

¹ you figure that hydraulic gradient from

- ² topographic maps?
- ³ A. It's basically comparing the
- ⁴ differences in elevation and distance
- ⁵ between the two points along that flow
- ⁶ path.
- Q. Okay.
- 8 A. And with the basic assumption
- ⁹ that the groundwater surface was
- 10 consistent with the ground surface, which
- 11 I think over a long distance in that area
- 12 is a reasonable assumption.
- Q. Okay. And recognize you're
- 14 talking to a layman and trying to
- ¹⁵ understand your area of experience.
- In making that calculation from
- ¹⁷ a topographic map, and I understand the
- ¹⁸ assumption you've articulated, would this
- ¹⁹ be done by looking at the topo from the
- ²⁰ point at which you wanted to be the high
- ²¹ point of your gradient, and it would have
- ²² a representation that that was a certain
- ²³ number of feet; right? Based on the

Page 162

- $^{1}\,$ topo. All right. And then you would go
- ² to another area, whatever you discern the
- point to be, and you would read the topo
 there, and it would be a low point.
- ⁵ Right?
- ⁶ A. Correct. And in this case, the
- ⁷ length, the segment that I considered,
- ⁸ was coincident with the place where we
- ⁹ had the ERT cross section from which I
- ¹⁰ get the area.
- ¹¹ Q. Okay.
- A. So we're looking at the gradient
- ¹³ at the same place that we have that
- ¹⁴ estimate of the area.
- ¹⁵ Q. Okay. And in terms of the
- ¹⁶ distance between the points, and I
- 17 recognize you may have answered the
- ¹⁸ question, but would it also be possible
- 19 to -- would you measure based on the
- ²⁰ scale of the map the distance between the
- 21 two points and come up with the number?
- 22 Or is the distance between the two points
- ²³ already a known quantity because of the

Page 163

- ¹ ERT and you know what length of cable you
- ² put down or something of that sort?
- A. No. It would be something -- it
- ⁴ would be a distance that I would select
- ⁵ on the map.
- ⁶ Q. Okay.
- A. If you've selected one hundred
- 8 feet, for example, it makes the math
- ⁹ easier because you're dividing by a
- ¹⁰ hundred instead of some other number.
- ¹¹ Q. Right.
- ¹² A. And then comparing the
- ¹³ elevations of those two points on either
- ¹⁴ extreme. And if, for example, the
- 15 segment was a hundred feet in length,
- ¹⁶ then the difference in elevation would be
- ¹⁷ 3.3 feet.
- ¹⁸ Q. Okay.
- A. To have a 3.3 percent gradient.
- Q. Sure. And on the topo,
- ²¹ obviously, you're not measure often
- 22 actual hundred feet, you're using the
- 23 scale of the map itself; right?

- A. Correct.
- Q. To represent the hundred feet.
- ³ So if one inch equalled a hundred feet,
- ⁴ you're using one inch?
- ⁵ A. Correct. You're using the scale
- of the map --
- ⁷ Q. Right.
- ⁸ A. -- that you're working from, for
- ⁹ sure.
- ¹⁰ Q. Okay. All right. Then the area
- of impact, as you said, is interpreted
- ¹² from the ERT survey line.
- A. Correct.
- Q. And you come up with an
- ¹⁵ estimated figure there, which in this
- ¹⁶ instance you have 3,600 cubic feet, 300
- ⁷ feet wide by 10 feet deep?
- ¹⁸ A. Square feet. Correct.
- ¹⁹ O. Square feet, I'm sorry. Thank
- you. And I take it that you took the
- 21 groundwater flow rate of 50,000 gallons a
- 22 day from Brown's report at page 45.
 - A Yes Hydraulic conductivity,

Gordon Johnson **42 (165 - 168)**

¹ not a groundwater flow rate. I think

- ² you're pointing to 500 gallons per day
- per square foot?
- Q. Actually, I'm looking at the
- last sentence of the first paragraph.
- A. Oh, I'm sorry, I misunderstood.
- Q. Yeah, 50,000. Do you see that
- last sentence, "The corresponding
- groundwater flow"?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Okay.
- 12 A. So that's the product of that
- mathematical exercise we just went
- ¹⁴ through.
- 15 Q. Okay.
- A. So that number is the result of
- that mathematical exercise.
- 18 Q. Okay. And the mass -- is the
- 19 mass of contamination, is that a daily
- release, according to your analysis here?
- A. If you were to use the daily
- ²² flow rate, you would calculate a daily
- ²³ mass.

Page 166

- Q. Okay. All right. And as I
- ² understand it, section 6.3 walks through
- ³ a similar but not identical analysis
- ⁴ pertaining to surface water as compared
- ⁵ to the one we just looked at for
- ⁶ groundwater.
- A. A similar analysis. The
- ⁸ difference with the surface water is that
- one needs to make an assumption about
- what proportion of the rainfall seeps
- into the ground and what proportion
- ¹² evaporates, what proportion gets absorbed
- ¹³ by plants. All I did is use the
- published factor for that.
- And then the other difference is
- that with the surface water flow
- estimations, I did look at storms and
- single events as well. Whereas with
- groundwater, the flow was more
- consistent, so you look at average flows.
- Q. Okay. If you will, I'm looking
- ²² now at 6.5, your "Assessment" section.
- 23 Do you not think that the pine trees on

Page 167

- ¹ site are having any effect as far as
- ² reducing erosion?
- A. In my view, if they're having an
- effect, it's relatively minor.
- Q. Okay. And how do you come to
- that conclusion?
- A. Well, I come to the conclusion
- by observing that wherever there are pine
- trees and erosion in the same place, the
- pine trees don't appear to be retarding
- that erosion at all. So, I think there's
- a number of photos in the report shows,
- for example, pine trees hanging over the
- edge of an escarpment very close to the
- point where they'll tumble down into the
- escarpment. The edge of that escarpment
- hasn't been influenced by the presence of
- that pine tree at all.
- 19 There's other images of the
- eroded gullies throughout the uncapped
- GOB area, where the nature of that eroded
- gully isn't affected at all adjacent to
- 23 the pine trees and pine trees have fallen

- ¹ into the gully as the gully has grown in
- size and eroded the sides.
 - Also, in the area that is not
- capped, there's no ground cover, grasses,
- shrubs, shallow-rooted vegetation that is
- ⁶ otherwise impeding erosion. So if we
- were to look at guidance provided by,
- say, the U.S. EPA on landfills and caps
- for coal ash and things like that, they
- look for that shallow-rooted surface
- vegetation because it is resistance to
- erosion, and specifically exclude things
- like trees because they permeate the cap
- and they're not particularly useful at
- preventing erosion on their own.
- 16 So those are the factors that
- led me to conclude that the pine trees
- aren't having a significant effect. And
- because their needles are acidic, it's
- one of their natural attributes, that
- acid only compounds the problem of the
- ²² surface of the GOB pile in terms of other
- ²³ vegetation becoming established

Gordon Johnson 43 (169 - 172)

Q. Okay. Do you know whether the

² areas that you are describing and what

- ³ you just said are predominantly or
- ⁴ exclusively in what's known as the
- pre-law area?
- A. They're primarily in the pre-law area.
- Q. Okay.
- A. But not exclusively. So the
- places where I was able to observe a
- similar situation in the post-law area or
- where the GOB pile was originally capped
- was limited to where the drainage courses
- ¹⁴ had actually eroded through the cap.
- Q. Okay. All right. In other
- ¹⁶ areas of the site, do you recognize that
- the tree cover, whether it was pine or
- other species, and the undergrowth,
- whether it's simply pine needles or other
- ²⁰ brush, is having an effect that limits or
- precludes erosion?
- A. In the -- in the area that
- ²³ wasn't capped, I didn't see a robust

Page 170

- enough level of ground -- ground
- ² vegetation that would have a significant
- ³ effect on reducing erosion.
- Q. Okay. All right. If you will,
- ⁵ let's look at your section 7. And that
- ⁶ is titled "Restoration Options." Is it
- not the case that you are really only
- presenting one option?
- A. It is not the case.
- 10 Q. Okay.
- 11 A. That I am only presenting one
- Q. Okay. Will you explain to me
- 14 how you are presenting more than one
- option and what those options are? And I
- recognize we've touched on this before,
- but I want to make sure I understand it
- ¹⁸ in the context of this part of your
- ¹⁹ report.
- 20 A. So there are two options that
- are described in my first report and in
- ²² the subsequent rebuttal.
- O Okay

A. The rebuttal differs from the

- ² first report only in the sense that I
- elaborated more on the manage in place.
- Q. Right.
- A. I compared those two options in
- my report, in my assessment that
- supported my report. When I did so, I
- concluded that the amount of work
- associated with managing the materials in
- place, restoring the GOB pile in place,
- grading and capping and doing all of the
- things that I talk about in terms of
- mitigating the contamination involved
- approximately the same level of work and
- therefore approximately the same cost,
- one could reasonably conclude, as
- removing the materials. The material
- volumes are very, very similar.
- The fundamental difference
- between those two options, then, is, how
- well can we expect them to work and what
- is the time frame associated with those
- ²³ options. And so the reason why I

Page 172

- ¹ recommended removal as the best option
- and the preferred option, whatever type
- of wording you choose to use, is because
- ⁴ of its permits and the level of effort
- associated with that is not that much
- ⁶ higher than managing the materials in
- place. Because to manage the materials
- in place, we need to do a number of
- things that were not included, say, in
- the capping of the post-law area, as it's
- referred to. So there are significant
- quantities of mine waste that are on the
- east side of the ridge that forms the
- west bank of the Locust Fork. It's very
- steep. In my view, there's no practical
- way that we could manage those materials
- in place, they will continue to ravel
- down the slope and erode down into the
- river. So we need to pull all those
- materials back into the place that we
- were ultimately going to restore the
- materials if we did it in place.
 - We know the mine waste is very

Gordon Johnson 44 (173 - 176)

¹ erosive, so we need to remove that

² material out of Tributary 1 if we're

³ going to be able to prevent the mine

⁴ waste from eroding and being transported

⁵ down the Locust Fork in the future.

So once you do those two tasks,

as well as regrading the slope so that it

has -- just like they did back in the day

⁹ for the post-law area, you're managing

and handling a volume of material that's

approximately the same. Now you have to

¹² bring in clay and you have to bring in

13 topsoil because you don't have those two

¹⁴ things inside the GOB pile, which adds to

¹⁵ the volumes again. And through that

¹⁶ whole process, we need to control the

surface water, have sedimentation ponds,

intercept the groundwater, treat the

groundwater, because it will not be

affected by the surface work.

21 Once we add all of those things

²² up, the overall projects, alternatives

²³ end up looking very much the same in

Page 174

¹ terms of the level of work. The

² fundamental difference is that

³ restoration by removal is -- happens more

⁴ rapidly and it's permanent and you don't

⁵ have an obligation to manage, monitor,

⁶ and maintain into the future.

Q. Okay. Let me get you to look at

⁸ Section 8 on the references.

A. I'm on Section 8.

Q. Okay. The third reference is to

¹¹ the ADEM Solid Waste Program. Is that

¹² reference to the regulations?

13 A. Correct.

Q. Okay. All right. The next

15 reference I want to ask you about is

¹⁶ Cuthbertson, William C., 1964. Is

¹⁷ Cuthbertson the correct name, or is

¹⁸ Culbertson? It appears both ways in your

¹⁹ report on one occasion. And I just want

²⁰ to make sure I have it correct.

A. I'd have to take that as an

²² undertaking.

Q Okay It's either Cuthbertson

or Culbertson; right?

A. Well, I would hope I didn't get

it wrong both times.

Q. And I'm not suggesting that you

did.

A. Well, it's clearly once.

Q. On page 4.4 it appears as -- I

think I've got that page right --

Culbertson and Cuthbertson. I just want

to make sure we've got the name.

A. I'll have to look that name up

and get you the correct one.

O. But it would be --

A. One or the other one, I would

15 presume.

Q. Okay. In any event, it's an

Alabama Geological Survey bulletin that

you've designated; right? So it would be

essentially publicly funded.

20 A. Correct.

21 Q. Okay. Now, the immediately

²² following reference, Johnson and Halberg,

"Acid Mine Drainage Remediation Options, Page 176

Page 175

¹ A Review," is cited to the University of

² Wales School of Business. Is that a

³ document that's available on the

4 Internet?

A. I believe it is. I can provide

it through Barry.

Q. Okay. Thank you. I would

appreciate it. Then if you'll look down

at reference to William A. Price, 1997,

"Reclamation Section, Energy and Minerals

Ministry of Employment and Investment,"

is that accessible on the Internet?

A. Yes, it is. And I can also

provide it through.

Q. Okay. All right. I'm looking

now in section 9. The P. Eng.

designation that accompanies your

signature, is that for professional

19 engineer?

20 A. Yes, it is.

21 Q. Okay. Are you registered in

²² Canada?

A Yes In Alberta and in British

Gordon Johnson 45 (177 - 180)

¹ Columbia.

- ² Q. Okay. Are you registered in the
- ³ United States?
- ⁴ A. No, I'm not.
- ⁵ Q. Okay.
- ⁶ A. As a point of clarification, one
- ⁷ of the obligations I have as being a
- 8 member of APEGA, which is the Alberta
- ⁹ branch, is whenever I write a report
- ¹⁰ that's destined to be received outside
- ¹¹ Alberta, I am still required to do -- to
- ¹² make that designation.
- Q. I understand. And I think
- ¹⁴ that's true of most professional
- 15 engineers in the U.S. Do you know if
- ¹⁶ there is -- let me start my question
- ¹⁷ over.
- To your understanding, is the
- ¹⁹ Canadian professional designation and
- what is required to attain that similar
- 21 to what is required to get the P.E.
- ²² designation in the United States? Or do
- you know? You may not have compared.

Page 178

- A. I understand it to be similar in
- ² most jurisdictions in Canada and the
- ³ United States, and they all have their
- ⁴ different little spins on the same ball
- 5 __
- ⁶ Q. Okay.
- ⁷ A. -- but they're all very similar.
- ⁸ Q. All right. Are you required to
- ⁹ maintain continuing education for your
- ¹⁰ designation?
- 11 A. Yes.
- ¹² Q. Okay. And what is the
- ¹³ continuing education requirement that
- ¹⁴ you're required to meet?
- ¹⁵ A. The continuing education -- for
- ¹⁶ clarification, I don't think they call it
- ¹⁷ that.
- ¹⁸ Q. Okay.
- ¹⁹ A. But I think they call it
- ²⁰ professional development.
- Q. All right.
- ²² A. Or something, words to that
- ²³ effect But we need to log and report on

¹ an annual basis the number of hours we

- ² spend doing things outside of the daily
- ³ ritual that would advance or maintain our
- ⁴ technical credibility and knowledge and
- ⁵ current understanding of the current
- ⁶ state of practice.
- Q. Okay. And most professionals in
- ⁸ the U.S., including lawyers and doctors
- ⁹ and engineers and, as I understand it,
- ⁰ geologists and numerous others, have to
- 11 get, whether it's called continuing
- ¹² education or professional education
- units. There are certain organizations
- ¹⁴ that obtain ratification to provide these
- 15 credits and charge money and put on
- ¹⁶ programs. Is there something similar
- ¹⁷ like that in Canada that you're required
- 18 to attend, or is there some other
- 19 mechanism by which you maintain your
- ²⁰ currency of your practice?
- ²¹ A. The currency from the
- ²² perspective of maintaining your practice
- 23 is one of self-reporting.

Page 180

- ¹ Q. Okay.
- ² A. Of time and effort doing the
- ³ things that I mentioned before.
- ⁴ Q. Okay.
- ⁵ A. And at the organization level --
- ⁶ so that's at the personal level. And at
- ⁷ the organization level, there is annual
- ⁸ check and balance referred to as a
- ⁹ professional practices management plan
- ¹⁰ that Burgess keeps current and updates
- ¹¹ and submits as requested.
- Q. Okay.
- A. Those are the two fundamental
- ¹⁴ pillars.
- Q. So, do you have organizations
- ¹⁶ that charge you enormous amounts of money
- to educate you so you can maintain your
- ¹⁸ license, as we do?
- ¹⁹ A. No. No.
- Q. You're quite lucky.
- All right. Let me get you to
- ²² look at the next exhibit, which I believe
- ²³ is Exhibit 4 Okay If you'll take a

Gordon Johnson 46 (181 - 184)

¹ minute to look at Exhibit 4 and satisfy

- ² yourself that it's a complete copy of the
- ³ document and then please tell us what it
- 4 is.
- ⁵ (Defendant's Exhibit 4 was marked for
- 6 identification and is attached.)
- (Witness reviews document.)
- A. So it appears to be complete.
- ⁹ Q. Okay.
- A. We did a number of analyses on
- 11 the mine waste samples that we collected
- ¹² on that August 2017 program. And we had
- those samples analyzed here in Alabama.
- ¹⁴ What those labs weren't able to do for us
- ¹⁵ was called acid base accounting. Now,
- ¹⁶ it's fair to say that the analyses that
- ¹⁷ they did in Alabama that are included in
- ¹⁸ the report we just talked about got I
- would say 95 percent of the way there, a
- ²⁰ great deal of the way there in terms of
- ²¹ quantifying the acid-generating
- ²² substances, the acidity, and the alkaline
- ²³ substances in the samples. But the

Page 182

- 1 testing method that was used didn't
- ² compare apples to apples, so to speak, in
- ³ terms of the alkaline substances and the
- ⁴ acid-generating substances.
- ⁵ Q. Okay.
- ⁶ A. So I decided to take subsamples
- ⁷ of these samples and get the labs to send
- 8 them to a lab that I was familiar with
- ⁹ that did just that, acid-base accounting
- ¹⁰ it's called. And essentially, it looks
- ¹¹ at all of the acid generating substances,
- ¹² all of the acidity, and all of the
- ¹³ alkaline substances in the sample, looks
- 14 at them in the same way, totals them, and
- ¹⁵ then quantifies how neutralizing or
- ¹⁶ acid-generating a certain substance is.
- Q. Okay. And what is the lab that
- 18 you had those sent to?
- ¹⁹ A. Maxxam.
- ²⁰ Q. Okay. And what did you discern
- ²¹ from this acid-base accounting that you
- ²² got?
- ³ A Three fundamental conclusions, I

¹ would say.

- Q. Okay.
- A. That the samples in question
- ⁴ were acid-generating. So they were --
- ⁵ they were susceptible to acid rock
- ⁶ drainage. That wasn't a new conclusion.
- ⁷ That was a conclusion that was arrived at
- with the previous samples.
- ⁹ That the oxidation of the pyrite
- and sulfide materials in the samples that
- 11 is the root cause of the acid-generating
- ¹² had primarily been completed. So that
- ¹³ process had essentially completed itself.
- Not entirely, but more than 90 percent.
- ¹⁵ And that the neutralizing capacity of the
- waste rock had been entirely consumed.
- Q. Okay.
- A. So what that means is the
- ¹⁹ alkaline materials had -- that were
- ²⁰ present had been consumed by the acid and
- 21 that the only thing that remained is the
- ²² acidity.

Q. All right. This reference on

Page 184

- ¹ page 3, Lawrence and Marchant, "Acid Rock
- ² Drainage Prediction Manual," is that
- ³ available on the Internet?
- ⁴ A. Yes, it is. And I believe it's
- ⁵ somewhat of an extension to that document
- ⁶ you were talking about by Price.
- ⁷ Q. Okay.
- ⁸ A. Not the same document, but
- ⁹ they're meant to be looked at together.
- ¹⁰ And again, I can provide that through
- ¹¹ Barry if you wish.
- ² Q. Okay. We would appreciate it.
- ¹³ Figure 1 on your memorandum, the "Mine
- ¹⁴ Waste Sample Locations," do those
- ¹⁵ correspond to the mine waste sample
- ¹⁶ locations that we saw in your original
- 17 report?
- ¹⁸ A. Yes, they should.
- ¹⁹ Q. Okay.
- MR. DAVIS: Okay. Want to take
- ²¹ a short break?
- MR. BROCK: All right.
 - (Break taken)

Gordon Johnson 47 (185 - 188)

Q. (By Mr. Davis) Okay. In your

² initial report, Mr. Johnson, one of the

³ things that was identified as a

⁴ contaminant was arsenic; right?

A. Correct.

Q. Okay. And some background

⁷ concentrations were sampled at a site

sixteen miles away. Do you consider that

⁹ to be a reasonable background sample for

soil conditions at the Maxine Mine site?

A. I'd say that the -- for example,

12 you've raised arsenic. That background

¹³ concentration, which I believe is in the

¹⁴ neighborhood of three milligrams per

15 kilogram, that would be consistent with

¹⁶ what I would have seen in my career with

background soils. And it's also

consistent with the concentration of

arsenic in the sediment sample upstream

that was collected by Dimova. So it's

consistent with my experience in

background samples.

So sixteen miles, I'll grant

Page 186

¹ you, is a significant difference, and

² there could be differences at Maxine.

The concentrations of arsenic

⁴ that we measured in our mine waste

⁵ samples are, you know, anywhere from one

⁶ to two orders of magnitude higher than

⁷ those numbers, and they're much higher

⁸ than I would expect background samples to

⁹ be at Maxine, and they're much higher

¹⁰ than were reported in that background

sample. They're much higher than were

12 reported in the background sample in the

13 river, and in my opinion, would be higher

¹⁴ than in naturally occurring soils in the

¹⁵ Maxine area.

Q. Okay. Are you familiar with

¹⁷ maximum contaminant levels, or MCLs?

A. Yes.

19 Q. Okay. And I'm speaking

specifically of the EPA standard for

drinking water.

A. Yes. I'm familiar with drinking

²³ water standards

Q. What is your understanding of

² what an MCL is?

A. In the context of -- in the

⁴ context of drinking water, it would be

the threshold above which water would be

considered inappropriate for drinking,

sometimes for aesthetic reasons,

sometimes for health reasons.

Q. Okay. And that's an end-of-tap

treated water standard; right?

A. Well, it would be a drinking

water standard.

Q. It's not a standard that applies

¹⁴ in stream, is it?

A. It's a drinking water standard.

Whether it's applicable to water in a

certain situation, it may or may not.

Q. Are MCLs applicable to judging

the quality of the water in the Locust

20 Fork?

21 A. So as I said in my report, the

application of the rules from the

²³ perspective of determining acceptable

Page 188

Page 187

¹ concentrations or not was done by

aquilogic. I didn't do that work.

O. You don't have an opinion on

⁴ whether they're appropriate or not?

A. It would appear to me that

aquilogic did its job properly, but I

didn't repeat it. And I didn't do my own

assessment. I was looking at it from the

perspective of restoration of the pile

and the, you know, pollution that I was

meaning to mitigate through that

restoration plan.

13

So what exists in the Locust

Fork is not really relevant to the

strategy for restoration. You're trying

to stop the contamination at the source.

Q. Okay. Do you understand maximum

contaminant levels to be applicable only

at the tap for customers of a publicly

owned treatment source?

21 A. I don't know.

22 Q. Okay.

A How that is applied in this

Gordon Johnson 48 (189 - 192)

Page 189 Page 191 ¹ location. 8 Q. Okay. A. I would elaborate on that answer consumed. ⁴ and say that in my experience in many Q. So you haven't made any use of ⁵ other jurisdictions, drinking water ³ the acid-base accounting other than ⁶ standards are applied in situations where what's reflected in the memo? In terms ⁷ the water isn't specifically being ⁵ of conclusions you've drawn from it, you ⁶ have stated those in the memo? ⁸ consumed. A. Yes. Q. Much like your analysis of the Q. Okay. Okay. I'm going to mark ¹⁰ acid-base accounting, would you not agree as Exhibit 5 your rebuttal report. First 11 that in making valid scientific question is, will you look at Exhibit 5, 12 comparisons, one should, figuratively determine whether it appears to be speaking, be comparing apples to apples complete, and then identify the document ¹⁴ and oranges to oranges? for the record, please. (Defendant's Exhibit 5 was marked for 15 A. I think I have to agree with identification and is attached.) 16 that. A. So the document is my rebuttal Q. That's kind of the point. That to comments made on my original report by was kind of the point. Got to start on I believe three different parties. some common ground to be able to move 19 Q. Okay. anywhere. 20 A. And just flipping through. 21 Okay. Do you see -- well, I'll 21 (Witness reviews document.) ²² leave that alone. I think you've A. I believe it's complete. I'm ²³ answered it. ²³ wondering if this belongs in there. Page 190 Page 192 With regard to your memorandum 8 that we have marked Exhibit 4, does that provide evidence, in your view, that the MR. BROCK: Let's see. ⁴ Maxine Mine site is causing any water MR. DAVIS: If it doesn't, we quality violation in the Locust Fork? need to pull it out, and I'm not sure how it got there. A. This addendum, this memo, if I 5 MR. BROCK: Go off the record get your question -for a second? Q. Yeah. MR. DAVIS: Sure. A. -- is solely a report on the (Discussion held off the record.) analysis of the acid-base accounting of Q. (By Mr. Davis) Okay. After that ¹¹ the -off-the-record discussion, Mr. Johnson, 12 Q. Okay. do we now have a complete and correct A. -- mine waste samples. copy of your rebuttal report marked as 14 Q. Okay. All right. Did you draw ¹³ Exhibit 5? ¹⁵ any conclusions from that analysis? A. Yes, we do. Q. Okay. Very good. If you'll A. The conclusions that I've stated look for me on page 2-2, I'm looking at before, that the mine waste is Photo 1. All right. Do you see that? ¹⁸ acid-generating. It's described as "Discharge of Polluted 19 Q. Okay. Water Into the Locust Fork." A. The oxidation process is mostly 20 A. Yes. ²¹ but not completely complete. And that Q. All right. How are we to know

²² the buffering capacity of the alkalinity

²³ of the samples has been entirely

²² from this photo that that water is

²³ polluted?

Gordon Johnson 49 (193 - 196)

Page 193 Page 195 8 8 A. It has the characteristics of ¹ 2-8. ² acid mine drainage. It has low pH. A. I'm there. ³ There were field measurements taken at Q. Okay. I see how you have ⁴ the time of the site visit. And what ⁴ labeled the photographs, obviously. What evidence is there of the amount of daily ⁵ you're seeing in that brown color is ⁶ metals, when they're in solution, as they erosion that you can see? ⁷ are in the groundwater and the surface A. There's not evidence of daily ⁸ water, at higher concentrations, once erosion. The erosion occurs primarily during major runoff events. That would ⁹ they're exposed to oxygen, they begin to ¹⁰ oxidize and come out as solution, forming be my reasonable conclusion. ¹¹ a kind of rusty color, in the case of Q. Okay. A. So that on days when it was 12 iron. So you're seeing that iron ¹³ oxidation in there, which is an indicator light flows or no flows or no rain, we of the metals contamination in the water. wouldn't expect to see significant 15 That -- that water was sampled, erosion at all, maybe some minor so that's another way that that was ravelling of waste rock down the steep confirmed. But the last way that I can slopes of the gully in the top picture, tell that that water is contaminated is 4, and the large ravine, I guess, in that the vegetation in the ecology is Photo 5. Could be minor ravelling of ²⁰ very robust in Alabama wherever there's materials on those sorts of days, but ²¹ water. For some reason, where there was when you get the heavy rains, then you're ²² susceptible to getting, I think this is ²² this water, there was a complete absence ²³ when the vast majority of the erosion ²³ of any vegetation, which means that the Page 196 8 8 ¹ water is having an adverse effect and ¹ takes place. ² killing any plants that try to grow. Q. Okay. And just so the record is perfectly clear, have you been on the Q. Okay. When was the photo taken? site when there was such rain? A. During the site visit on the ⁵ first day. Q. Okay. Do you have a record of a Q. In making your assessment of the ⁷ water sample or field reading that was amount of erosion, did you take into taken at this point? consideration natural settling or any A. I'd have to go back through the kind of basic impaction that would have resulted from gravity? record and my previous document. It would be reported by the Brown report and A. From my assessment, the 12 by Barry Sulkin's report. observational assessment that I was 13 O. Okay. speaking to in the morning's discussions, I was looking at the geometry of the A. So, do we want to go through the process of? ravine that you see in Photo 5, the Q. No. I just want to make sure I geometry of the gully that you see one ¹⁷ understand where the data that you're bank of in Photo 4, and a number of other relying on in making your assessment is. similar feature throughout the GOB pile. So I was looking at the geometry of those A. So this is just downgradient of spaces that had been eroded, so natural the lower dam. That's where that photo 21 was taken. settlement doesn't enter into that. Q. Okay. All right. Let's look at Q. Okay. Given that point and the 23 Photos 4 and 5 And these are on page ²³ geometric approach to it, would you agree

Gordon Johnson 50 (197 - 200)

Page 197 Page 199 8 8 ¹ with me that what you are talking about Q. Okay. A. You know, you can see trees that ² is the possibility of erosion as opposed ³ to an analysis of the actuality of are where roots are protruding out through the bank that's been eroded. 4 erosion? ⁵ Roots don't grow like that. They are A. I would not agree with that. ⁶ The -exposed through erosion. So that would have happened in the relatively recent Q. Okay. How do you disagree with the statement then? past. You know, there's full-grown trees that still had leaves on them that have A. What I would call the observational approach I think is a sound tumbled to the bottom. You can conclude ¹¹ analytical method when you're looking at that that happened recently. You can't ¹² land forms and erosion such as what we pick it down to a day, but it's certainly see at the GOB pile. So there is large very compelling evidence that that eroded gullies where the water clearly erosion continues. flows. There's trees tumbling into those Q. Okay. Would you agree that a gullies, which is clear evidence that photograph is a depiction of whatever that erosion is occurring on an ongoing scene is in the photograph that is ¹⁸ basis and has occurred in the near term. specific to the time and place under 19 There is complete accumulation and which it's taken -- that which it's 20 ²⁰ filling of all of the low points along taken? 21 21 the -- where the basins used to be. A. I would agree with that. And I ²² There is evidence of that same mine waste ²² would also add to that that in this case ²³ it's likely worth a thousand words ²³ being transported and deposited on the Page 200 8 8 ¹ bottom of the river. ¹ because it would be more time-consuming ² to try to describe what I'd seen than So, you know, that process of ³ looking at all of the evidence is as simply depict it in a photo. So I tried ⁴ conclusive as it would be if I was there ⁴ to do both in the report. ⁵ that day watching the actual event. I Q. All right. Now, I'm trying to ⁶ can look at a volcano and tell you that understand your description of your it erupted at one point in time. That I visual method. I understand, all other ⁸ didn't actually see it erupt doesn't things being equal and no differences in surface, that one could logically deduce undermine that conclusion at all, to make an analogy. 10 that a steep, smooth surface with water Q. I understand. All right. You running down it would move that water --12 could tell that the volcano erupted, but the water would move at a higher velocity could you necessarily tell by visual than a smooth surface of the same nature observation or analysis such as you've with a less steep incline. Right? ¹⁵ described when the volcano erupted, 15 A. Correct. assuming that the lava was not still Q. Okay. What I'm not getting 17 about your method is how it takes into glowing --18 account any variability in conditions A. Yeah. 19 such as compaction over time and other Q. -- and moving or something? A. Using far different methods, variable factors in the landscape as it yes, you can. There are dating methods may change. Does the question make sense ²² that are sound. But if you look at Photo 22 to you? ²³ No 7 A I think that it does

Gordon Johnson 51 (201 - 204)

Page 203 Page 201 8 8 1 Q. Okay. end of the GOB pile." What evidence is there that what A. So I tried to answer it before. 3 O. And I tried to understand it your arrow is pointing to is mine waste ⁴ before. as opposed to natural geologic A. So the observational approach, conditions? ⁶ looking and taking approximate A. Well, it has the same appearance measurements of these eroded features, so as the mine waste in the GOB pile and let's use, case in point, the gully where it's accumulated in the former ⁹ that's in Photo No. 4. sedimentation ponds. And you could 10 Q. Okay. follow visually the path of that material going up the hill and originating up in A. That gully is approximately this ¹² long. It's approximately this wide. I the GOB pile in the uplands at the north ¹³ mean, I'm going from recollection, but I end of the GOB pile. You could see the 14 think you know what I'm getting at trace, or the pathway that this material had taken tumbling down from the GOB pile 15 (indicating). It's got a certain length, ¹⁶ it's got a certain width, it's got a down into the Locust Fork. certain depth. It's clear that from 17 Q. Okay. Are you saying that the 18 looking at the banks on either side, that material in Photo 6, which is, according at one point in time the mine waste was to you, mine waste, is the same as the placed to a relatively even elevation in dark material in Photo 7, which is a that area and that water has eroded that photograph of material in the GOB pile? gully. A. I'm saying that it originated ²³ from the GOB pile. So whether or not --Page 202 Page 204 8 8 Q. Hang on just a second for me. Q. Okay. A. So on balance, it would be (Discussion held off the record.) Q. (By Mr. Davis) Okay. Did you similar, if not the same. Q. Okay. So in Photo 6, what ⁴ finish your answer? I'm not sure that everyone calls the tepee is sitting on you did. GOB? See the little wooden tepee there? A. I didn't finish the answer. 7 Q. Okay. A. Yeah, that's right. A. What I was about to say was that Q. It's sitting on GOB? the estimate that I would have made A. It's sitting on eroded and deposited GOB. observationally on the site that day 10 wouldn't be affected by subsidence of the Q. Okay. All right. If you will, ground surface. It would simply be a please look at page 2-11. All right. On ¹³ function of the geometry of that eroded this page, middle of the page, you feature that I observed. reference a corrected version of Table 15 Now, if one was to do -- you 5.3. What needed to be corrected in know, that's a factor that would affect Table 5.3? ultimately the elevation of the ground 17 A. That I had mistakenly identified surface. Subsidence clearly does that. selenium as dissolved selenium in the 18 19 Q. All right. If you will, look at analytical parameter. ²⁰ Photo 6. All right. Your box said that 20 Q. Okay. ²¹ -- says that "Eroded mine waste has A. And reported dissolved 21 ²² accumulated on the right bank of the ²² concentrations. Through the reply ²³ process, it was identified by one of the 23 Locust Fork meander adjacent to the north

Gordon Johnson 52 (205 - 208)

Page 205 Page 207 8 8 parties that replied to my report that ¹ where the Tributary 1 confluences with ² this should be a total concentration. ² Locust Fork. ³ That was true. I corrected the heading, Q. Okay. And you've designated ⁴ and I corrected the values to reflect ⁴ this photograph as depicting mine waste. ⁵ total values. The outcome did not Where is the mine waste in the picture? A. You can see the gravelly ⁶ change. In fact, the concentrations are ⁷ higher for total selenium than dissolved material with the evidence of rust color, which is that iron oxidizing that's in selenium, and the locations of the solution and oxidizing and precipitating ⁹ exceedances were the same. Q. All right. On page 2-13, you out. So I deduced that that's mine waste based on the visual observation, 11 note another correction with regard to ¹² Table 6.2. Will you tell us about that. similarity from what I've seen in the GOB A. Correct. I had mistakenly 13 pile. ¹⁴ inverted the value for the 10 percent 14 Q. How do you know that what we're exceedance and the 1 percent exceedance looking at in Photo 8 is not native soil? that were calculated by Amec Foster A. Native soil did not exhibit the Wheeler, I believe, using the model, and same things. The rust precipitate, the ¹⁸ I presented the corrected values in the granular, rocky, angular texture of the rebuttal report. material, and the vegetation stress or the absence of vegetation where it is. 20 So the purpose of including these in my original report was -- the The banks of that river are muddy and ²² purpose was to include the flow covered with vegetation. Where you have ²³ significant accumulations of mine waste, ²³ velocities, which are extremely high, Page 206 Page 208 8 ¹ that whatever we did in the -- for ¹ vegetation is absent, it's granular, and ² restoration would have to account for ² it has that rust color. ³ these flow velocities, flow rates. So Q. Okay. Now, you've previously ⁴ there's a 20 percent chance of exceedance defined mine waste as geologic ⁵ of 344 cubic feet per second in a given overburden; right? ⁶ year. And that's an extremely high flow A. Yes. Q. Okay. And that overburden, by ⁷ rate. And it explains why we're seeing definition, is rock, soil, in some extremely high rates of erosion. instances coal, that's dug up from the Q. Okay. A. And whatever plan that we might site, a specific piece of property; ¹¹ devise to restore the GOB pile in place 11 right? would need to be able to protect it 12 A. Correct. against those very extreme flows. Q. So what's dug up on the Maxine Q. Okay. Look for me if you will site and appears as GOB, although it didn't come from -- it came from an 15 at Photo 8. underground mine immediately adjacent to 16 A. Page? 17 Q. 2-16. It's labeled as "Mine the site that we're -- that's involved in ¹⁸ Waste from East Ridge in Locust Fork." this case is what underlies the Maxine ¹⁹ Can you -- everybody knows where the Mine property, isn't it? ²⁰ tepee is, so that one is easy. Can you 20 A. That geologic strata? 21 ²¹ tell us what location this is? Q. Yeah. 22 A. It is approximately halfway A. In a competent form, yes. ²³ between where the tepee was -- is and Q If you dig a hole in a given

Gordon Johnson 53 (209 - 212)

Page 209 Page 211 8 8 ¹ piece of ground and you take the dirt, ¹ "The text contained herein presents ² rock, and whatever's under there out of ² documentation of the investigations and ³ it and put it in a pile, that's the assessment of the GOB Pile associated ⁴ native rock, isn't it? Unless you with the former Maxine Mine." ⁵ imported something in from somewhere And my question here is simply, ⁶ else. are there any investigations and assessment that are reflected in your A. Correct. Q. Okay. rebuttal report, meaning underlying data, A. The difference lies, is once you sampling, new visual observations, that excavate it out of a situation where it's were not also included in your memorandum 11 compact, it's anaerobic, and there's of November 2017 and your original report ¹² very, very little water running through of October 2017? 13 it, there's no opportunity for A. The only difference was that I ¹⁴ significant acidification to occur. When had an opportunity in that period to review the Dimova --¹⁵ you remove that material, you put it on 16 ¹⁶ the surface, you bring the metals to the Q. Dimova deposition, okay. surface, it initiates that acidification 17 A. And obviously had the benefit of process. So that's the difference from ¹⁸ the comments from Amec Foster Wheeler and 19 the environmental perspective. PELA and CH2M. 20 Q. Okay. Would you agree that Q. All right. And look at your ²¹ "References" section for me. The fourth rivers can erode soil and even rock? A. Very gradually, yes. ²² reference down from the B.C. Ministry of ²³ Mines, 1998, is that a regulatory Q. Okay. Would you agree that Page 210 Page 212 8 8 ¹ impoundments of rivers by their very guidance report that's available on the ² nature can change the course of rivers? ² Internet? A. You're saying a dam? A. I believe so. Like the other Q. Dam, spillway, impoundment, ones, I can provide them to Barry if it's ⁵ however you want to call it. Yes. not. A. I don't know if it would change Q. Okay. That would be ⁷ the course, but it would change the flow appreciated. How about Clements and velocity. Kotalic, 2016. Oh, I see. It says: "Published online 6 January 2016. Q. Okay. And it could change the Freshwater Science." Is that a document ¹⁰ shape of the river upstream of the dam, 11 couldn't it? ¹¹ we could pull off the Internet? 12 A. Yes. A. It would change the water depth. ¹³ The general arrangement of the river Q. Okay. How about, is it Hogsden? ¹⁴ would stay the same. The water would be ¹⁴ Is that how you pronounce it? Hogsden ¹⁵ deeper and impounded over a wider area, and Harding? 16 ¹⁶ but its shape would be similar. A. Again, if we can't, I can 17 Q. Impounded over a wider area, 17 provide that to you. Q. Okay. And then finally, the 18 though; right? 18 A. Correct. Hopkins document, 2013, Springer Science, 20 Q. Okay. If you'll look on page is that a --²¹ 5-1 in your "Closure" section, your 21 A. Same. ²² rebuttal report also contains similar 22 Q. Okay. ²³ language to your prior report It says, THE WITNESS: Are you keeping

Gordon Johnson 54 (213 - 216)

Page 215 Page 213 8 8 track of those? ¹ it. And the quality of water in the ² Locust Fork is clearly not relevant to Q. It will be in the transcript. that. But it does provide relevant 3 A. Okay. 4 information on the background, the MR. DAVIS: Thank you very much. 5 natural water quality of the Locust Fork, MR. BROCK: Yeah, I'm trying. Q. Okay. Is it significant to you and, you know, would be evidence that there's, you know, the volume of water ⁷ in any way that, for example, with entering the Locust Fork is very small reference to the sampling sites SW-1 and ⁹ SW-15 -- are you familiar with the points relative to the volume of water in it. that I'm talking about? So those are the two areas that I would A. I'll recheck just to be sure. say are significant. 12 Q. Okay. And given that, do you Q. Sure. not think that a demonstrable lack of A. Okay? 13 14 impact on the Locust Fork River (Witness reviews document.) 15 THE WITNESS: Can they make recommends against a project of the scope 16 these things any smaller? that you are proposing? 17 17 MR. BROCK: Do you need a MR. BROCK: Object to the form. 18 magnifying glass. A. The answer to your question, in 18 19 THE WITNESS: One of the things my view, is no. The concentrations of the metals are very high in relation to ²⁰ I believe I have is a full-size version what I'm used to seeing in properly of this. Q. Well, how about I just ask you ²² managed waste rock facilities, waste rock ²³ dumps, if you will, from mines. pH is ²³ this way. Page 214 8 8 THE WITNESS: I believe it's ¹ extremely low. The erosion and the rate of erosion is larger than I've ever seen down there; right? coming from any of the mines that I've MR. BROCK: Yes. Q. Are you aware that the sampling ⁴ worked on. So, you know, that, that sites upstream and downstream of the evidence, in my opinion, is -- that Maxine Mine -- and when I say sampling situation, in my opinion, requires action sites, I mean in the Locust Fork River. to stop the pollution from going to Tributary 1 and the Locust Fork. A. Yes. 9 Q. What is the effect if it's not Q. Of the Black Warrior River. Are you aware that those sampling sites had 10 stopped? very similar results as to pH, total and 11 A. That it will continue. 12 12 dissolved metals, and some other Q. I understand that. I get that. 13 parameters? A. Well, with the rate of erosion, 14 the rate of, you know, heavy metals A. Yes, I am. Q. Okay. Is the fact that there migration into the Tributary 1 and into are such similarities upstream and the places in the Locust Fork where it discharges are going to continue to be at downstream of the mine site of any significance to you? this level. And I think the rate of 18 A. I don't think that those two erosion will continue until all of the ²⁰ results inform the restoration plan. As mine waste has been eroded into the ²¹ I've mentioned in my report, the river. I don't think there's anything to ²² restoration plan is focused on trying to 22 stop it. Because the erosion is eating 23 from the toe up to the top, and it will 23 keep the pollution in its place or remove

Gordon Johnson 55 (217 - 220)

Page 217 Page 219 8 8 ¹ continue. given year. Q. Right. And the question I'm Q. Certainly. And then, I take ³ it -- I'll just ask you. Is it a fair ³ asking you is, what if that happens? ⁴ What is the end result? assumption, then, that the remainder of A. The end result would be your income comes from the other areas of ⁶ Tributary 1 would be a mass of mine your work that are reflected on your CV? ⁷ waste. The banks of the Locust Fork and A. Correct. ⁸ the base of the Locust Fork where the Q. Okay. Does Burgess Environmental have any staff that work in ⁹ water discharges will be covered in mine 10 waste. addition to you? A. Not on a full-time basis. Q. Okay. What happens to the ¹² Locust Fork? Anything? 12 Q. Okav. A. I do from time to time need to A. Well, those things. Those 13 ¹⁴ things happen to it. basically contract out services like Q. Okay. Would you like to take a drafting. 16 16 short break? Q. Okay. 17 17 A. Sometimes a helper of some sort. A. I'm happy to power through if 18 you're happy to power through. Q. Okay. 19 19 Q. Okay. That will be fine. A. Sometimes clerical assistance. 20 I didn't have a chance to check 20 Q. Okay. And you would contract myself, but I will ask you, does Burgess that out, too? ²² Environmental have a website? A. Yes. A. Yes. Q. Okay. Kind of a lean operation; Page 218 8 8 Q. On that website do you advertise right? There's nothing wrong with that. We've already talked about ² or promote your services as an expert ³ witness? continuing education. A. Yes. Do you have any litigation Q. Okay. How do you do that? experience as either a plaintiff or a A. I think there's a page of the defendant? ⁷ website that provides a brief summary of A. No. the fact that I do that. Q. Have you sued or been sued? 9 9 Q. Okay. 10 10 A. And have done that in the past. Q. Congratulations. What did you 11 ¹¹ do in preparation for your testimony here O. Okay. A. And I think it's mixed in with 12 today? 13 A. I reviewed my reports. my services in the regulatory area. Q. Okay. Services provided? Q. Okay. 15 A. Type of thing, yeah. A. I reviewed the reports -- the Q. Okay. I don't want a number. rebuttal report and the original report ¹⁷ I'm looking for a percentage. How much from Anthony Brown. And I spent the day of your annual income comes from yesterday discussing the project with 19 SELC. providing services as an expert witness? 20 20 A. In one way, shape, or form, Q. Did you talk the Barry Sulkin probably about 25 percent. 21 about his testimony? 22 Q. Okay. 22 A. No. A It could ebb and flow in any Q How about Anthony Brown?

Gordon Johnson 56 (221 - 224)

Page 221 Page 223 8 8 1 A. Very briefly. A. Any assumptions that -- when you Q. What did you talk about? say "underlie," meaning support? Or A. He was on his way to the undermine? ⁴ airport. We got together for a beer. So Q. Well, I'm assuming --⁵ maybe 20 percent of the discussion was A. I'm just not used to that term about maybe even yourself. being used. Sorry. Q. Okay. Tell me what was said. Q. I am assuming that you would only have used assumptions that supported A. He said you tend to rock in your your conclusions. And I guess I'm asking chair. 10 you, are there assumptions that are Q. Yeah, I do. Admitted. 11 A. So the nature of the questions underlying, supporting your opinions that you can identify? you were asking. A. The reasoning and the evidence 13 Q. Okay. All right. Anything else 13 and the rationale for my opinions are all 14 that you care to share with us? expressed in my reports. There's not A. No. Q. You had your chance. Okay. Did other assumptions that I have of any consequence. you talk to -- I take it, since you read 18 ¹⁸ Dr. Dimova's report and deposition, did Q. All right. And if there are any you talk to Dr. Dimova at all? assumptions, those would be either things that could be readily identified or 20 A. Not at all. 21 Q. How about Dr. Huryn? inferred from how you've written your 22 A. Not at all. 22 report --A. Yeah. Q. Okay. Can you tell me if Page 222 Page 224 8 8 ¹ there's any information or data that Q. -- and what's stated therein? ² might change your opinion about what the A. Yeah. ³ appropriate approach to the Maxine Mine Q. Okay. In preparing your reports or doing your analysis, do you use any 4 site would be? A. I think it's not data per se. kind of specialized computer software? Q. Okay. A. No. A. But if a responsible plan for Q. Would you suggest that a risk managing the materials in place was put assessment at the Maxine Mine property forward, I think it would be worth would be necessary as a part of 10 considering. formulating any ultimate plan? Q. Okay. And in your view, a A. By that, do you mean 12 responsible plan for management in place toxicological risk assessment? would address all of the issues that you Q. I mean any kind of risk ¹⁴ have said in your reports need to be assessment, so I guess I'm putting it out ¹⁵ addressed; right? there for you to address as you would A. Not necessarily in the same 16 deem appropriate. 17 17 A. The reason why I ask is the term exact way, but in an equally effective "risk assessment" in the environment 18 way, yes. 19 implies a very specific process of going Q. Okay. In reaching the conclusions and opinions you've offered through exposure pathway, toxicity, that to us, can you identify any assumptions sort of thing. Is that specifically what 22 that you have made that underlie your ²² you're talking about a risk assessment? ²³ Or are you talking about risks like a ²³ conclusions and opinions?

Gordon Johnson 57 (225 - 228)

Page 225 Page 227 8 8 ¹ normal person would on the street? ¹ it would. It would require permissions Q. That's a fair question. Are you ² in certain areas, but I don't see it ³ aware that Alabama requires specific contravening, if that's the nature of the ⁴ steps to be taken in a risk assessment in question. ⁵ relation to the investigation and Q. Okay. Let me ask it another ⁶ remediation of various kinds of sites ⁶ way. I think you've answered it. Just that ultimately need remediation? to make sure that we're communicating. A. I'm aware --It's an assumption of your plan that there's no legal impediment to it MR. BROCK: Object to the form. 10 THE WITNESS: Okay. being implemented; right? 11 MR. BROCK: Go ahead. A. I'm not aware of any. 12 Q. Okay. All right. Have you A. I'm aware of the risk assessment 13 process. reached, based on your review of the 14 various materials that you've looked at, Q. Okay. A. And how it dovetails into the 15 any conclusions or opinions that are assessment and remediation of either -- let me start all over. 17 contaminated sites. Have we now talked about any 18 conclusions or opinions that you've Q. Right. 19 19 formulated about the Maxine Mine site? A. You know, does it have ²⁰ application on this site? Should it have 20 A. Have we? 21 application on this site? You know, I Q. Have we talked about all your ²² would turn it around and say, in my view, ²² conclusions and opinions about the Maxine 23 Mine? 23 the responsible management, care, and Page 226 Page 228 8 8 ¹ control of the GOB pile is what's A. Certainly the most relevant ² required. After that is done, then one ones, yes. ³ might consider using the principles of Q. Okay. Are there any other ⁴ risk assessment to see what the ultimate ⁴ conclusions or opinions you have reached ⁵ effects might be to the surrounding besides the ones that we have talked ⁶ environment. But it would be predicated about today or that are otherwise on the responsible management of the GOB reflected in your two reports and your pile. memorandum? 9 9 Q. Okay. Is it an assumption of A. No. your clear-cutting and excavation plan Q. Okay. Do you have any other 11 for the property that there is no data-gathering, analysis, or other work conflict between your plan and any legal planned as of today? 13 A. We don't have any specific requirements of the State of Alabama? plans. I think there was a discussion as A. Sorry, you're going to have to 15 tell me that again. to whether or not there would be some MR. DAVIS: Can you read that 16 value in collecting additional 17 back? I can probably improve it, but. 17 information. (Requested portion read.) 18 18 Q. Okay. 19 A. I'll leave that to, you know, Q. Did you understand the question, the group to decide whether that has some or should I try again? A. I'll put it this way. I 21 value or not. ²² wouldn't see the plan contravening any Q. Okay. Nothing has been 23 acts or rules I'm not aware of any that ²³ determined as you sit here today; right?

Gordon Johnson 58 (229 - 230)

```
Page 229
   8
     A. No.
     Q. All right. So what we have as
 <sup>3</sup> of June 21st, 2018, and in your
 <sup>4</sup> deposition here and your reports is
 <sup>5</sup> everything Gordon Johnson has to say
 <sup>6</sup> about the Maxine Mine property?
     A. Yes. In a relatively concise
 <sup>8</sup> format, yes.
     Q. Okay. All right. Give me a few
   minutes. I think I may be ready to wrap
<sup>11</sup> this up.
12
            (Break taken.)
13
         MR. DAVIS: I don't have any
14
   more questions.
15
16
           END OF DEPOSITION
17
             (3:40 \text{ p.m.})
18
19
20
21
22
23
   8
          CERTIFICATE
 <sup>2</sup> STATE OF ALABAMA )
  COUNTY OF JEFFERSON )
         I hereby certify that the above
 <sup>5</sup> and foregoing proceeding was taken down
 <sup>6</sup> by me by stenographic means, and that the
 <sup>7</sup> content herein was produced in transcript
 <sup>8</sup> form by computer aid under my
 <sup>9</sup> supervision, and that the foregoing
10 represents, to the best of my ability, a
11 true and correct transcript of the
<sup>12</sup> proceedings occurring on said date at
<sup>13</sup> said time.
         I further certify that I am
<sup>15</sup> neither of counsel nor of kin to the
   parties to the action; nor am I in
17
   anywise interested in the result of said
18
   case.
19
         /s/ Lane C. Butler
20
         LANE C. BUTLER, RPR, CRR, CCR
21
         CCR# 418 -- Expires 9/30/18
22
         Commissioner, State of Alabama
         My Commission Expires: 2/11/21
```

Case 2:16-cv-01443-AKK Document 55-2 Filed 08/15/18 Page 60 of 90 **Gordon Johnson** 231

WORD INDEX		3-2 127: <i>1</i>	5-6 149: <i>18</i> 150: <i>8</i>
	<2>	344 206:5	5-8 150:8
<\$>	2 6:13 13:15	35209 5:6	
\$200 116: <i>18</i> 117: <i>1</i>	15:13, 14 25:16	35222 4:16	<6>
\$400 116:20	127:3 230:23	35233 4:9	6 202:20 203:18
	2:16-cv01443-AKK	37th 4:15	204:4 212:9
<,>	1:7		6.1 152: <i>14</i>
, 141: <i>12</i>	20 206:4 221:5	<4>	6.2 152: <i>13</i> 153: <i>11</i>
	200 117:20, 20	4 6:15 50:16, 16	154:21 157:19
<1>	2006 36:4 37:3	180:2 <i>3</i> 181: <i>1</i> , <i>5</i>	205:12
1 6:12 12:12, 15	2009 22:5	190:2 194:23	6.3 153:22 166:2
55:4, 11 66:11	2013 212: <i>19</i>	195: <i>18</i> 196: <i>17</i>	6.5 166:22
70:14 81:18 87:15	2013-2014 32:21	201:9	6-2 152: <i>15</i>
88:17 100:17	2016 212:8, 9	4.4 175:7	6-3 153:9
101:17 108:19, 20,	2017 6: <i>14</i> 15: <i>18</i> ,	4-1 138: <i>15</i> , <i>17</i>	
22 109:3, 6 111:3,	21 26:4, 9 101:10	418 230:2 <i>1</i>	<7>
5, 9, 18 112:23	114:1 126:5, 10	4-2 138:22, 22	7 170:5 198:23
159:9, 9 173:2	134:2 136: <i>13</i>	139:16	203:20
184: <i>13</i> 192: <i>17</i>	138:9 149:2 <i>1</i>	4-3 140:1, 3, 23	710 4:15
205:15 207:1	150:14 158:10	141:4	75 140: <i>10</i>
216:8, <i>15</i> 217:6	181: <i>12</i> 211: <i>11</i> , <i>12</i>	4-4 141: <i>12</i> , <i>17</i>	
1.1 113:23	2018 1:20 2:11	143:7	<8>
10 164: <i>17</i> 205: <i>14</i>	3:12 6:16 7:11	45 164:22	8 6:4, 15 26:9
100 2:8 5:5 7:8	26:10 126:7 134:2	4-5 138: <i>14</i> 142: <i>18</i>	174:8, 9 191: <i>1</i>
11 6: <i>15</i> 230:23	136:14 229:3	143:7	192: <i>1</i> 193: <i>1</i> 194: <i>1</i>
12 6: <i>12</i>	21 1:20 230:23	_	195: <i>1</i> 196: <i>1</i> 197: <i>1</i>
1495138 19: <i>11</i>	2-11 204: <i>12</i>	<5>	198:1 199:1 200:1
15 6: <i>13</i>	2-13 205:10	5 6:16 191:9, 10,	201:1 202:1 203:1
17 6: <i>15</i>	2-16 206: <i>17</i>	14 192:13 194:23	204:1 205:1 206:1,
175 129:2 131:5	21st 2:10 3:11	195: <i>19</i> 196: <i>15</i>	15 207:1, 15 208:1
18 126: <i>13</i> 230: <i>21</i>	7:11 229:3	5.1 125:3 144:4	209:1 210:1 211:1
181 6: <i>15</i>	2-2 192:16	5.2 147:10 148:20	212:1 213:1 214:1
19 101: <i>10</i>	25 25:3, 4 218:21	5.3 148:23 204:15,	215:1 216:1 217:1
191 6:16	2-8 195: <i>1</i>	16	218:1 219:1 220:1
1964 174: <i>16</i> 1975 140: <i>17</i>	282 4:8 2829 4:8	5.4 125:4, 5, 7, 11,	221:1 222:1 223:1 224:1 225:1 226:1
1975 140:17 1981 40:14	4049 4.0	19, 23 126:4 149:16, 17	227:1 228:1 229:1
1981 40:14 1982 141:12	<3>	5.5 150:7	230:1
1982 141.72 1985 83:14 152:22	3 6:14 42:1 53:17,	50 25:2	80 139:4
1986 83: <i>15</i>	21 114:2 127:3	50,000 156:6, 12,	00 137.7
1987 36:3 37:3	138:8 184:1	19 164:21 165:7	<9>
41:13	3,600 164:16	500 160:6 165:2	9 176:16 230:21
1992 36:23	3.1 117:22, 23	5-1 144:5 151:6	9:00 2:12 7:10
1993 36:23, 23	3.2 124:7 127:2	210:21	90 183: <i>14</i>
153:4, 7	3.3 160:15 163:17,	5-2 151: <i>16</i>	95 181: <i>19</i>
1994 37: <i>1</i>	19	53 6:14	
1995 22:5	3:40 229:17	5-3 152:6	< A >
1997 176:9	30 230:21	5-4 152: <i>11</i>	A, 11:22
1998 211:23	300 164:16	5-5 149: <i>15</i> , <i>17</i>	a.m 2:12 7:11
		, ,	abbreviation 143:9
	1	1	1

Gordon Johnson

ABC 63:9 83:16,
20 84:1 85:5 86:4
89:10 122:19
139:13 141:13, 23
152:20
ABC's 89:10
ability 230:10
able 32:9 81:16
156:20 169: <i>10</i>
173: <i>3</i> 181: <i>14</i>
189:19 206:12
above-noted 91:23
absence 193:22
207:20
absent 208:1
Absolutely 100:1
absorbed 166:12
acceptable 187:23
acceptance 137:3,
21
accepted 133:17
accessible 176:12
accommodate 12:4
accompanies 176:17
account 99:9
157:6 200:18
206:2
accountants 52:22
accounting 181:15
182:9, <i>21</i> 189: <i>10</i>
190: <i>10</i> 191: <i>3</i>
accumulate 72:20
113: <i>11</i>
accumulated
106:22 109:8
202:22 203:8
accumulates 70:7
accumulating
106:2 <i>1</i>
accumulation
101: <i>13</i> 197: <i>19</i>
accumulations
207:23
accurate 37:11
38: <i>17</i> 146: <i>16</i>
151: <i>13</i> , <i>14</i>
accurately 37:13
39:16
accustomed 56:10

achieve 137:2 achieved 128:4 acid 71:20 72:2 76:23 77:4, 15 78:3, 8, 10, 15, 18, 19, 20 79:1, 7, 12 80:11, 15 97:16 168:21 175:23 181:15 182:11 183:5, 20 184:1 193:2 acid-base 182:9, 21 189:10 190:10 191:3 acid-generating 181:21 182:4, 16 183:4, 11 190:18 acidic 82:20 108:19, 20 109:2, *11, 16* 119:8 168:19 acidification 77:8 82:11 209:14, 17 acidity 100:21 101:1 118:19 181:22 182:12 183:22 acknowledge 133:22 acres 129:2 131:5 **acronym** 55:12 114:16 **Act** 114:5, 6, 12, 20 acting 7:3 ACTION 1:7 49:11 89:2 216:6 230:16 **activities** 78:13 132:*1* 144:2*1* activity 44:21 47:9 56:20 88:15 131:1 134:11 acts 10:16 226:23 actual 13:20 53:6 56:17 70:9 152:1 163:22 198:5 actuality 197:3 add 173:21 199:22 **added** 141:3

addendum 149:8 190:6 addition 64:7 70:21 111:2 219:10 additional 26:7 102:4 228:16 address 222:13 224:15 addressed 222:15 adds 173:14 **ADEM** 174:11 **adjacent** 87:16, 18 101:16 143:19 167:22 202:23 208:16 administrative 8:19 9:6 10:12, 23 117:10 **Admitted** 221:10 adopted 85:8 **adopting** 150:23 **advance** 179:3 adverse 47:10 123:9 131:20 194:*1* advertise 218:1 **advised** 3:13 24:6 **Advisian** 101:10 151:12, 19 advocacy 53:6 advocate 51:12 135:5 **advocates** 129:13 130:8, 12 aerial 138:23 aesthetic 48:4 187:7 **affect** 202:16 **ago** 18:4, 5 76:9 agree 68:2 91:4 92:7 102:20 104:11, 15, 19 137:15 146:12 189:10, 15 196:23 197:5 199:15, 21 209:20, 23 **AGREED** 2:2, 14, 22

agreeing 152:4 agreement 151:2 agricultural 132:1 **ahead** 131:*15* 135:16 149:15 225:11 **aid** 230:8 Air 45:18 47:16, 16, 18, 20 **airport** 25:12 221:4 air-related 47:14 **aisle** 116:*1* ALABAMA 1:2 2:10 4:9, 16 5:6 7:3, 10 17:1, 6 18:14 26:19 27:2, 4, 6, 9, 16 71:9 120:18 123:12 131:2 175:17 181:13, 17 193:20 225:3 226:13 230:2, 22 Alabama's 132:7 **Alberta** 10:*16* 19:11 28:15 176:23 177:8, 11 alkaline 181:22 182:3, 13 183:19 alkalinity 190:22 allowed 12:22 alternatives 173:22 **AMD** 76:23 Amec 205:16 211:18 American 21:8 **amount** 67:18 71:7 73:15 171:8 195:5 196:7 amounts 180:16 anaerobic 209:11 analogous 53:4 **analogy** 52:19, 20 198:10 analyses 72:11 149:12 181:10.16 analysis 54:13 59:9 65:13 69:11, *11, 13* 115:5 128:6 132:9 151:*1*

001001100111111111111111111111111111111
165 20 166 2 5
165:20 166:3, 7
189: <i>9</i> 190: <i>10</i> , <i>15</i>
197: <i>3</i> 198: <i>14</i>
224:4 228:11
analytes 60:13
analytical 66:15
67:12 80:18 81:22,
23 124:10 126:19
132:14 197:11
204:19
analytical-based
147: <i>1</i>
analyzed 181: <i>13</i>
analyzing 35:2
Andreen 4:6
Anureen 4.0
angular 207:18
animals 48: <i>4</i>
annual 179: <i>1</i>
180:7 218: <i>18</i>
answer 17:8, 9
18:8 32:15 38:22
39:9, 11 41:21
53:8 62:23 63:22
65:18 68:7, 8
79:19 103:17
104:11, 21 108:2
114:22 115:10, 20,
<i>21</i> 116: <i>11</i> 121: <i>3</i>
123:20, 22 131:8,
11, 15 135:7 137:7
11, 13 133.7 137.7
147:22 155:2
189:3 201:2 202:4,
6 215:18
answered 38:19
39:5 76:8 115: <i>15</i>
162: <i>17</i> 189: <i>23</i>
227:6
answers 11:13, 14,
<i>21</i> 105: <i>3</i> 107: <i>8</i>
Anthony 20:8
21:4, 7 22:7, 17, 22
23:15, 18 66:21
138:18 151:20
220: <i>17</i> , <i>23</i>
anticipated 10:18
Anyway 125.16
Anyway 135:16 anywise 230:17
anywise 230:17
APEGA 177:8
apparent 143:9
APP'D 143:19
ALL D 143.19

appear 54:6 86:17 167:10 188:5 **appearance** 72:3, 5 80:12 203:6 appears 54:5 138:14 174:18 175:7 181:8 191:11 208:14 **append** 12:23 appended 11:23 apples 182:2, 2 189:13, 13 **applicable** 187:16, *18* 188:*18* application 65:9 154:18 158:18 187:22 225:20, 21 **applied** 98:2, 2 188:23 189:6 **applies** 66:12, 15 187:*13* **apply** 49:4 134:18 156:17 appreciate 134:22 176:8 184:12 appreciated 212:7 **approach** 66:13 94:1 98:8, 8 196:23 197:10 201:5 222:3 approaches 97:12 appropriate 132:6, 12. 21 188:4 222:3 224:16 approvable 128:18 approved 129:1 134:3, 5 143:20 approximate 201:6 approximately 2:11 7:10 17:15 55:6 160:14 171:14, 15 173:11 201:11, 12 206:22 approximates 73:15 **April** 6:16 26:10 134:2 136:14 **aquatic** 45:16 46:16, 18 aquilogic 60:14

68:1, 3, 12 69:12 76:*1* 84:*17* 93:*3* 119:15, 17, 21 124:12 125:13 139:23 159:17 160:9 188:2, 6 **Arabia** 25:10 **Arctic** 40:23 **area** 51:10 72:20 73:21 74:2 77:7, 8 84:20, 22 85:15, 17 87:14 88:7, 8 94:2, 16 99:17 120:17 131:18 134:8 139:1, 3 141:20 158:22 160:15, 19 161:11, 15 162:2, 10, 14 164:10 167:21 168:3 169:5, 7, 11, 22 172:10 173:9 186:15 201:21 210:15, 17 218:13 area, 25:22 areas 31:23 44:5 67:13 68:11 73:22 85:15 87:2, 18, 21 100:5 111:8 113:21 131:22 169:2, 16 215:10 219:5 227:2 **arms** 61:2 arrangement 210:13 **arrived** 69:20 183:7 **arrow** 203:3 **arsenic** 102:10 156:18, 19, 21 185:*4*, *12*, *19* 186:*3* **artful** 154:22 articulated 161:18 **ash** 10:2, 2 26:17, 23 50:22 168:9 asked 27:8 58:4 76:9 104:16 130:1 **asking** 11:*11* 13:18 23:1, 2, 7, 17 39:1 108:21 114:9 124:2 148:15

217:3 221:12 223:9 **aspect** 105:3 131:13 aspects 23:16 32:5 47:10 133:20, 20, 21 assessed 42:15 47:5 assessment 25:20 26:2, 16, 21, 22 29:20 33:4 42:3, 20, 22 43:1, 5, 13 44:17, 22 45:2, 3, 5 46:6, 7, 8, 8, 9 47:17 48:18 49:2 51:10 58:22 59:3, 17 60:4 61:9 62:8, 10, 18 64:1, 6 67:2, 13 68:6 71:14 76:6 104:16 152:19 160:11 166:22 171:6 188:8 194:18 196:6, 11, 12 211:3, 7 224:8, 12, 14, 18, 22 225:4, 12, 16 226:4 assessments 31:23 48:8 52:10 assign 3:4 assigned 20:2 30:22 assignments 41:3 assistance 219:19 associate 90:19 associated 28:21 29:4 33:6 35:4 43:14 55:5 82:13 171:9, 22 172:5 211:3 Associates 36:17 assume 62:6 **assuming** 198:*16* 223:4, 7 assumption 161:8, *12, 18* 166:9 219:*4* 226:9 227:8

66:18, 21 67:14

.•	1 11 170 /	15 140 4	10.17.10
assumptions	ball 178:4	15 143:4	boots 18:17, 18
222:21 223:1, 8, 10,		beer 221:4	30:14
16, 19	bank 109:5 111:8	beginning 152:17	boring 125:11
Athabasca 29:16	172:14 196:17	157:21	bottom 10:2 26:16
attached 12:16	199:4 202:22	begins 16:6 81:7	101:18 104:6
15:15 53:22 181:6	banks 109:8	144:5	143:8 198: <i>1</i>
191: <i>15</i>	201:18 207:21	behalf 101:11	199:10
attain 177:20	217:7	148:7	boundary 86:1
attend 179:18	bare 96:6	belief 122:18, 21	139:22
attention 149:23	Barkerville 33:6	believe 12:17 34:6	box 68:15 202:20
attribute 113:5	barrels 56:22	37:12 51:21 57:8	branch 177:9
attributes 168:20	Barry 4:5 26:18	74:23 75:23 82:1	break 12:3 45:13
audibly 11:15	50:23 176:6	93:3, 20 99:20	53:12, 14 116:14
August 101:10	184: <i>11</i> 194: <i>12</i>	100:3 108:3	138:2, 4 158:19
126:7 181:12	212:4 220:20	118:10 125:14	184:2 <i>1</i> , 2 <i>3</i> 217: <i>16</i>
authorities 89:4	base 181:15 217:8	132:3 139:14	229:12
authorized 129:2	based 43:3 58:23	140:20 176:5	brief 36:12 38:1
AutoCAD 142:11	59:3, 18 64:6 71:6	180:22 184:4	40:4, 5 41:2 218:7
available 14:23	73:1 76:6 101:22	185: <i>13</i> 191: <i>18</i> , 22	briefly 221: <i>1</i>
15:7 59:12 63:22	114:3 119:20	205:17 212:3	bring 90:13
67:7 158:9 176: <i>3</i>	122:22 144:12	213:20 214:1	149:23 173:12, 12
184: <i>3</i> 212: <i>1</i>	147:20 149:3, 20	belongs 191:23	209:16
Avenue 4:8	150: <i>13</i> 158: <i>7</i>	beneath 81:15	bringing 114:4
average 166:20	161:2 <i>3</i> 162: <i>19</i>	110:19 112:9	British 31:6, 19
averages 160: <i>14</i>	207:11 227:13	benefit 211:17	34:14 176:23
aware 11: <i>17</i> 60:9	basic 161:8 196:9	benthic 46:20	broader 62:2
82:13 102:13, 22	basically 31:13	best 45:11 76:16	Brock 4:5 7:23
153:5 214:4, 10	32:22 70:2, 5	96:19 137:14	13:18 38:18, 23
225:3, 8, 12 226:23	161: <i>3</i> 219: <i>14</i>	172:1 230:10	39:5 88: <i>1</i> 90:7
227:11	basin 93:23 160:16	better 115:23	102:17 104:15
	basins 71:3 73:2, 6	128:2 <i>1</i> 156: <i>3</i>	115: <i>15</i> , <i>19</i> 116: <i>13</i>
< B >	88: <i>16</i> 90: <i>13</i> 106: <i>6</i>	157:20	126: <i>10</i> , <i>13</i> 129: <i>15</i>
B.C 78:16, 17	158: <i>3</i> , <i>14</i> 159: <i>11</i>	bigger 73:9	131:7, 12 135:8, 12,
79:11 211:22	197:2 <i>1</i>	billed 117: <i>17</i>	<i>15</i> 138: <i>3</i> 184:22
back 39:10 58:2	basis 61:5 62:8	Birmingham 2:10	192: <i>1</i> , <i>5</i> 213: <i>5</i> , <i>17</i>
61:15 76:14 83:19	69:16 80:1, 6	4:9, 16 5:6 7:9	214:3 215:17
86:10 112:22	81:10, 11, 12 82:8,	bit 39:23 135:19	225:9, 11
135:2, 6 137:8	9 83:6 92:15	BLACK 1:9 4:14	Brookwood 2:9
157:18 172:20	101:1 105:6, 11	8:10 75:21 108:4	5:5 7:8
173:8 194:9	106:13 107:21	128:10, 13 129:12,	brought 8:10
226:17	108:11, 15 118:13	21 130:7, 11 135:3	65:19
Background 118:1	179: <i>1</i> 197: <i>18</i>	214:9	Brown 14:14 20:8
119:11 120:7, 8, 11,	219:11	block 143:18, 19	24:7 66:21 67:3
16, 19 121:8	bbrock@selcal.org	bodies 133:11	101:11 138:19
141:19 143:3	4:10	body 48:19 60:17	139:6, 8, 15 141:1
185:6, 9, 12, 17, 22	bear 61: <i>17</i> 72: <i>14</i>	62:15 133:18	150:2, 16, 17, 23
186:8, 10, 12 215:4	becoming 111:6	136:15	193:5 194: <i>11</i>
balance 180:8	168:23	bond 153:4	220:17, 23
204:2	bedrock 113:2, 6, 8,	book 13:20	Brown's 139:17
		books 13:15	
	T.	T.	I

234

Gordon Johnson

151:13, 20 164:22 **brush** 169:20 **buffering** 190:22 **bullet** 28:6 42:11 69:10, 15 79:22 81:7 92:16 95:2, 12, 15 **bulletin** 175:17 **bullets** 88:13 **bundle** 64:17 **bundled** 45:20 46:18 **Burgess** 18:23 19:10, 19 27:13 124:11, 14 126:20 143:15 180:10 217:21 219:8 **business** 21:9, 11 78:23 176:2 **Butler** 2:6 3:9 7:1 230:19, 20 **BY**, 143:9 < C >

Caballo 18:4, 6 **cable** 163:1 calculate 74:22 156:21 165:22 calculated 155:23 156:4 160:21 205:16 Calculates 23:23 calculation 67:18 154:20 155:16, 17, 19, 21 161:16 calculations 75:9 **Calgary** 19:21 California 40:21 41:2, 11 call 19:9 23:22 178:16, 19 197:9 210:5 **called** 9:15 21:5 22:22 31:16 41:1 44:16, 16 102:15 179:11 181:15 182:10 calls 112:23 204:5 Canada 10:20, 20, 21 23:18 24:16, 23 25:3 44:13, 16 49:3 77:16 124:4 176:22 178:2 179:17 **Canadian** 20:1 21:10, 14 49:13 53:7 177:19

candreen@selcal.org 4:11 cap 87:20, 21 88:1 168:13 169:14 **capacity** 183:15 190:22 **capped** 85:16 87:14 132:5 139:4 168:4 169:12, 23 **capping** 84:10 87:10 88:6, 7 99:15 171:11 172:10 **caps** 168:8 carbonate 82:18 care 39:12 221:14 225:23 career 22:13 34:19 37:14 64:19 185:16 **carried** 71:3 73:6 carry 86:20 case 8:10 10:9 22:21 25:23 29:13 34:6 51:12 52:23 55:14, 23 65:6 68:23 74:23 80:7 90:4 92:10 115:9 117:15 135:16 136:11 142:3 162:6 170:7, 9 193:11 199:22 201:8 208:18 230:18 cases 8:20 49:22 52:22 75:1, 2, 18 79:13 categories 13:13 16:2 46:3 category 47:6, 14

cause 7:13 82:6, 11 183:11 **causing** 190:4 **caveat** 13:23 CCR 230:20, 21 **ceased** 30:*3* **CEK** 143:10 cement 17:3 27:9 43:8, 10 **CENTER** 4:7 51:5 certain 43:19 50:3, 4 52:21 53:1 62:17 84:8 161:22 179:13 182:16 187:17 201:15, 16, *17* 227:2 **Certainly** 22:3, 17 46:2 68:9 82:23 84:17 121:4 134:15 150:3 152:2 199:12 219:2 228:*1* certify 7:4 230:4, 14 CH2M 211:19 **chair** 221:9 challenge 98:17 **chance** 148:5 206:4 217:20 221:16 **change** 16:4 86:6 120:2 200:21 205:6 210:2, 6, 7, 9, 12 222:2 **changed** 85:13 **changes** 15:20 86:5 channels 70:6 characteristics 96:23 107:3 109:17 152:14 193:*1* characterization 102:19 149:1 **charge** 116:23 179:15 180:16 **Charles** 143:*13* **check** 180:8 217:20 chemical 107:3

chemicals 103:23

choose 172:3

Chris 20:20 21:15 22:10, 18 75:16, 20 Christina 4:6 **circle** 135:2 circumstances 43:19 65:1 **citation** 152:21 cite 121:12 **cited** 62:20 176:1 **CIVIL** 1:7 3:8 7:5 **claim** 114:12, 14, 19 claims 114:4, 12 115:8 clarification 52:21 53:3 84:8 107:16 177:6 178:16 clarified 107:12 **clarify** 27:11 90:12 105:16 107:23 121:2 clarifying 76:19 99:19 clarity 142:4 **clause** 159:19 **clay** 173:12 Clean 114:5, 11 cleaning 57:16 clear 47:20 56:12 71:18, 21 87:9, 12 103:12 107:20 122:2 196:3 197:16 201:17 clear-cutting 130:13 131:5 226:10 **clearing** 98:17, 18 129:8 130:22, 23 131:18 134:4, 8, 13 136:2 **clearly** 11:16 68:14 70:18 102:1 103:20 109:11 175:6 197:14 202:18 215:2 Clements 212:7 **clerical** 219:19 **client** 52:5 134:21 clients 38:2 **close** 117:20 167:*14*

235

52:1 63:18

Gordon Johnson

closed 33:14, 23 34:2 **Closure** 210:21 **coal** 9:10 17:5, 11, 12, 18 18:13 27:16 28:20 29:1, 4, 15 31:4, 5, 13, 13, 15, *17*, *21* 32:22 34:*13*, 16 55:23 56:2, 3 58:5, 11, 15 77:12, 15, 19 78:7 79:3, 8, 18, 18 82:14 97:6 122:4 168:9 208:9 coincident 162:8 **collect** 96:19 **collected** 59:8, 21 115:4 181:11 185:20 collecting 228:16 collection 97:21 color 193:5, 11 207:7 208:2 **colored** 68:*16* **Columbia** 31:6, 20 34:14 177:1 **combined** 153:23 come 23:11 73:14 84:5 142:5 160:17 162:21 164:14 167:5, 7 193:10 208:15 **comes** 96:13 218:18 219:5 comfortable 12:9 **coming** 111:*16* 216:3 commencing 2:11 7:10 **comments** 191:17 211:18 commingles 110:14 Commission 230:23 Commissioner 230:22 common 20:8 21:2 55:3 94:1 189:19 communicate 74:18 communicating 79:16 146:17 227:7

communications 86:3 **compact** 209:11 compaction 200:19 companies 40:22 131:21, 23 **COMPANY** 1:14 8:9 17:2 21:5, 23 22:13 35:11 37:17 40:5, 6, 10, 15 41:1 84:19 **compare** 182:2 compared 166:4 171:5 177:23 comparing 161:3 163:12 189:13 comparisons 189:12 compelling 199:13 competent 208:22 complete 32:9 54:4, 5, 6 59:17 65:18 67:1 86:14, 19 90:19 99:13 128:1 181:2, 8 190:21 191:12, 22 192:11 193:22 197:19 completed 32:17 35:21 59:9 106:19 124:10, 14 126:20 148:6 152:20 183:12, 13 completely 106:5 190:21 compliance 2:18 65:2 88:17 compliant 88:8 complicated 14:7 **comply** 86:6 **component** 126:19 components 45:10, 22 46:23 93:14 96:11 99:2 108:10 composition 107:4 **compound** 58:*13* **compounds** 168:21 **comprises** 121:*19* computer 224:5 230:8

concentration 119:9 154:11 155:8 156:19 185:13, 18 205:2 concentrations 82:19 101:2 102:10, 11 118:20 119:11 120:8, 9, 11, *15, 17, 20* 156:*16* 157:*4* 185:*7* 186:*3* 188:*1* 193:8 204:22 205:6 215:19 concept 32:6 89:9 conceptual 51:21 73:23 conceptualizing 69:3 conceptual-level 98:1 concerns 10:1 concise 229:7 **conclude** 110:*18* 118:23 168:17 171:16 199:10 concluded 71:5 80:10 158:10 171:8 conclusion 62:18 69:16 106:14 113:17 119:20 167:6, 7 183:6, 7 195:10 198:9 conclusions 61:10 120:*1* 146:*5*, *21* 147:3, 20 182:23 190:15, 16 191:5 222:20, 23 223:9 227:15, 18, 22 228:4 conclusive 198:4 conditions 94:17 153:2 185:10 200:18 203:5 **Conditions**, 153:*11* conductivity 158:21 159:16 160:6 164:23 **Conestoga-Rovers**

36:17, 22 39:20 **confirm** 54:3 80:19 **confirmed** 193:17 **conflict** 226:12 **confluence** 101:*17* 109:6 111:9 confluences 207:1 **conform** 104:*4* **conforms** 95:17 96:*1* confusing 126:17 Congratulations 220:10 connection 104:17 connotations 134:16, 17 consequence 223:17 consequential 145:3 146:14 conservation 46:10 114:6, 20 consider 57:6 185:8 226:3 consideration 196:8 considered 60:4 148:11 162:7 187:6 considering 148:12 222:10 **consist** 118:*18* consistent 41:19 72:4 102:9 103:18 152:18 153:2 161:10 166:20 185:15, 18, 21 **consists** 122:*14* constant 118:7 constitute 97:9 constitutes 160:1 constructed 32:13 73:3 constructing 88:15 construction 16:9 Consultants 29:23 59:10 89:10 consulting 40:6 consumed 183:16, 20 189:8 191:*1* **contact** 96:14

236

conceive 128:3

contacted 22:19 **contain** 97:19 contained 29:12 211:*1* containing 97:18 containment 26:17 50:22 97:3, 10 **contains** 100:18 101:1 210:22 contaminant 185:4 186:17 188:18 contaminated 94:5, 7 96:9 118:4, 15 158:2, 11 193:18 225:17 contamination 82:7 91:18 92:1 100:18 118:16, 17 119:2, 6 121:21 153:12 154:1, 3, 7, 9, 12 155:9, 13, 20 157:7, 10 165:19 171:13 188:16 193:14 **content** 230:7 **context** 69:19 123:22 170:18 187:*3*, *4* **continue** 33:21 86:15 107:7 112:9 138:6, 7 142:16 172:17 216:11, 17, *19* 217:*1* continued 35:18 66:9 continues 52:6 92:4 100:12 105:5 112:15 114:1 199:*14* continuing 88:13 101:8 105:23 106:14 178:9, 13, 15 179:11 220:3 continuous 37:3 38:14 100:23 118:*13* **contract** 143:16 219:14, 20 contracting 41:7 contractor 40:19,

19 **contrast** 113:*13* contravening 226:22 227:3 **control** 94:4 95:3 173:16 226:1 controlling 94:1 95:9, 10 controls 65:4 100:10 convenient 13:19 conventional 97:19 conversation 23:15 cooperation 66:18 67:3, 4 **copy** 142:14 181:2 192:12 **Correct** 9:4, 7 10:10 14:17 16:14 19:2 20:9 26:1, 20 28:1 30:20 31:8 36:5 38:10 41:14 48:22 51:1, 2 54:7 57:2 59:7, 15, 19 62:11 64:3 65:17 74:5, 14 75:1 77:2 84:3, 6 88:3, 10, 23 92:2, 21, 22 93:16, 17 101:12 109:19 116:21, 22 117:12 119:12 120:22 123:13, 14 131:6 138:16 141:15 142:15 151:4 152:9, 23 156:10 158:5, 6, 15 159:1, *21* 162:6 164:1, 5, 13, 18 174:13, 17, 20 175:12, 20 185:5 192:11 200:15 205:13 208:12 209:7 210:19 219:7 230:11 **corrected** 204:14, 15 205:3, 4, 18 **correcting** 126:*15* correction 205:11

correctly 27:22 54:18 105:20 127:8 correspond 184:15 correspondences 86:10 corresponding 165:8 corroborate 67:20 corroborated 109:22 **cost** 171:15 counsel 2:4, 23 3:2 7:6 13:10 15:19 230:15 **COUNTY** 230:3 **course** 22:*12* 23:18 87:17 88:17 115:1 210:2, 7 **courses** 87:*13* 169:13 **COURT** 1:1 2:6, 19 3:14, 15 7:1, 21 8:19 11:13 cover 41:7 91:5, 7 168:4 169:17 **covered** 101:18 207:22 217:9 **covers** 150:7 **CRA** 37:9, 19 crashed 32:22 created 141:6 credibility 179:4 **credits** 179:15 Creek 34:13, 16 35:18 criteria 67:16 68:12, 14 129:6 **cross** 162:9 cross-sectional 158:22 CRR 230:20 **crushed** 97:14 **cubic** 71:12, 13 73:5 74:10, 11 93:1.8 129:3 164:16 206:5 Culbertson 174:18 175:1, 9

culprit 82:22 **currency** 179:20, 21 **current** 179:5, 5 180:10 currently 24:14, 17, 22 25:8, 9 148:12 Curriculum 6:13 customer 35:20 **customers** 188:*19* Cuthbertson 174:16, 17, 23 175:9 **CV** 16:7 18:11 19:4 25:16 36:3, 19 37:2, 6, 11, 11, 12, 18 38:1 39:4, 16 42:1 79:9 219:6 < D > daily 165:19, 21, 22

237

179:2 195:5, 7 dam 29:13, 14, 14, 16 81:15 110:9 111:2, 11, 16, 18, 18, 20, 22 112:6, 22 194:20 210:3, 4, 10 dams 88:15 89:20 90:13 106:7 **Darcy's** 154:18 155:17 158:19 dark 203:20 **dashed** 139:1 141:2, 7, 8 **Data** 59:8, 21, 21 60:3, 6, 9, 17 61:7 62:15 65:14 66:5, *15* 81:*1* 106:*16* 112:14 115:3 154:1 194:17 211:8 222:1,5 data-gathering 228:11 date 7:4 85:5, 18 148:17 149:21 150:13 230:12 **dated** 15:17 152:22 **dating** 198:21

5:3, 4 6:4 7:8 8:3,

Gordon Johnson

8 38:21 39:2, 7
53:15 61:14 69:7
102:20 104:18
116:5, 15 126:12,
14, 18 135:17
137:8, 11, 23 138:5
184:20 185: <i>1</i>
192:2, 7, 9 202:3
213:4 226:16
229:13
day 2:10 3:11
7:11 83:14, 14, 19
118:9 144:16, 22
156:6, 13, 20 160:7
164:22 165:2
173:8 194:5 198:5
199:12 202:10
220:17
days 18:16 59:5
195:12, 20
deal 181:20
deals 55:8
debated 52:13
decide 228:20
decided 182:6
decisions 119:21
deduce 112:12
200:9
deduced 207:10
deduction 92:11,
16 101:22
deem 224:16
deemed 63:23
deep 52:16 70:11,
<i>12</i> 73: <i>13</i> 164: <i>17</i>
deeper 210:15
Defendant 1:15
5:1 6:11 220:6
Defendant's 12:15
15: <i>14</i> 53:2 <i>1</i> 181:5
191: <i>14</i>
defined 91:17
208:4
208:4 definition 56:14
definition 56:14
definition 56:14 208:8
definition 56: <i>14</i> 208:8 deforestation 92: <i>20</i>
definition 56: <i>14</i> 208: <i>8</i> deforestation 92: <i>20</i> 93: <i>12</i> 98: <i>6</i> 129:2,
definition 56:14 208:8 deforestation 92:20 93:12 98:6 129:2, 14 133:23 134:7,
definition 56: <i>14</i> 208: <i>8</i> deforestation 92: <i>20</i> 93: <i>12</i> 98: <i>6</i> 129:2,

degree 41:11 **degrees** 15:23 delayed 33:1 delivering 3:9 demonstrable 215:13 departed 32:11 **depict** 39:16 200:3 depicting 207:4 **depiction** 139:14 199:16 **depicts** 37:13 deposed 11:9 deposing 23:12 deposited 57:10 100:13 159:8 197:23 204:10 **DEPOSITION** 1:18 2:4, 15, 16 3:5 6:12 12:1, 13 53:18 103:7 117:10 138:7 211:16 221:18 229:4, 16 depositions 2:20 8:13, 23 12:21 103:4 116:20 deposits 82:14 **depth** 110:17 201:17 210:12 derived 68:5 describe 62:16 144:9 200:2 described 29:2 46:4 76:7 78:4 103:11 109:18 121:21 134:1 145:13 170:21 192:18 198:15 describes 99:13 describing 132:11 169:2 description 52:6 200:6 design 34:11 35:6 93:13 designated 54:10 175:18 207:3

designation 176:17 177:12, 19, 22 178:10 designing 64:17 69:2 design-oriented 136:22 designs 16:9 **destined** 177:10 **detail** 127:5 131:6 145:13 160:11 **detailed** 124:12 136:22 137:20 **determine** 119:*16* 132:21 155:12 191:*11* determined 79:13 85:22 86:1 228:23 determining 187:23 develop 67:2 132:11 developed 32:2 66:8, 17 89:10 127:4 developing 64:13 78:12 **Development** 28:3, 7 34:20 67:15 178:20 devise 206:11 diagrams 84:16 **dictate** 76:10 dictated 75:19 difference 38:7 87:1 134:12 163:16 166:8, 15 171:19 174:2 186:1 209:9, 18 211:13 differences 161:4 186:2 200:8 different 11:10 16:15 37:17 73:18 88:5 95:8, 8 111:14 148:9 149:11 155:3 178:4 191:18 198:20 **differs** 171:1

difficult 61:16 dig 208:23 **Dillard** 4:13 **Dimova** 102:5, 13, *23* 103:2, *9* 106:*17*, 18 107:2 148:7 185:20 211:15, 16 221:19 **Dimova's** 103:7 104:12 221:18 **dinosaur** 135:19 **direct** 153:15 direction 89:3 101:22 dirt 57:4 58:16 209:1 disagree 197:7 discern 162:2 182:20 discharge 72:18 192:18 discharged 114:15 discharges 216:17 217:9 discharging 72:7 discovery 59:11 63:18 discretion 49:22 **discuss** 61:23 discussed 13:10 96:17 145:15, 18 discussing 90:22 157:10 220:18 discussion 13:16 69:6 144:15 192:8, 10 202:2 221:5 228:14 discussions 86:21 196:*13* disposal 25:22 97:4 disposed 43:9 dissolved 80:3 81:8 100:18, 20 101:2 204:18, 21 205:7 214:12 **distance** 161:4, 11 162:16, 20, 22 163:4

drainage 70:8, 9,

001 0011 001112011
distinction 85:2 134:14 136:2, 6 distribution 159:4, 13 160:2 distributions 102:12 DISTRICT 1:1, 2 diversions 35:9
divided 47:1 67:10
dividing 163:9
dividing 163:9 DIVISION 1:3
doctors 179:8
document 12:14, 20.
20, 23 13:7, 20
38:9 42:7 53:19
54:4 59:1 141:3,
<i>14</i> 142:7 152:2 <i>1</i>
176:3 181:3, 7
184:5, <i>8</i> 191: <i>12</i> , <i>16</i> ,
21 194:10 212:10,
19 213:14
documentation
211:2
documented 145:9
documents 13:2, 14
14: <i>1</i> , <i>9</i> , <i>19</i> 15: <i>6</i>
26:7 57:8 59:11
60:22 63:6, 18
65:12, 15 83:18
86:3, 8 89:8
139:12 143:23
doing 21:13 25:10
31:23 32:17 39:18
40:7 41:4, 17, 20
43:12 67:5 84:4
113:19 133:6
171: <i>11</i> 179:2
180:2 224:4
Dome 40:12
dovetails 225:15
downgradient
111: <i>I</i> 194: <i>I</i> 9
downstream 110:8
214:5, <i>17</i>
Dr 102:13, 22
103:2, 6, 9 104:11 106:18 148:7
221:18, 19, 21 draft 103:1
drafting 219:15
draftsman 143:16
uransman 145.10

11, 15, 22 71:20 72:3 73:11 76:23 77:4, 15 78:3, 8, 10, 18, 19, 20 79:1, 7, 12 80:11, 16 87:13, 17 96:16 97:16 99:22 169:13 175:23 183:6 184:2 193:2 **drained** 109:1 **draining** 99:15 109:12 **drains** 108:22 dramatic 74:18 draw 190:14 **Drawn** 143:11 191:5 drinking 186:21, 22 187:4, 6, 11, 15 189:5 **DRN** 143:9 **drop** 96:20 **DRUMMOND** 1:14 8:9 59:12 63:19 83:11, 12 84:2 122:19 141:12 152:22 **dug** 208:9, 13 **duly** 7:18 **dump** 16:12 34:22 140:6 **dumps** 34:12 35:5 215:23 duplicate 141:10 duplication 142:6 duration 22:10 dust 43:8. 15 **duty** 122:18 < E > **earlier** 105:17 early 34:19 earthworks 78:11 **easier** 163:9 east 99:10 172:13 206:18 **eastern** 82:15 easy 128:3 206:20

eating 216:22 **ebb** 218:23 **ecology** 193:19 economic 32:2 45:17 47:5, 7, 9 economically 58:15 edge 167:14, 16 edillard@blackwarri orriver.org 4:17 **educate** 180:17 **education** 178:9, 13, *15* 179:*12*, *12* 220:3 **effect** 2:17 88:9 93:20 114:17 123:9 140:16 167:1, 4 168:18 169:20 170:3 178:23 194:1 216:9 effective 87:22 88:2 90:20 91:17, 23 99:1 222:17 effective, 92:5 effectively 91:8 **effects** 47:11 48:3 81:17 226:5 efficiently 67:11 **effort** 11:15 172:4 180:2 **efforts** 88:14 **eight** 31:22 either 45:1 48:1 55:11, 23 71:2 83:19 87:16 163:13 174:23 201:18 220:5 223:19 227:16 elaborate 21:2 95:20 189:3 elaborated 171:3 elaboration 127:11 **elect** 19:16 electric 26:18 50:23 electronically 15:7 **element** 112:18 elements 45:4 46:14 68:15 144:14, 18 145:21

146:3 147:4 154:19 158:20 **elevated** 158:4, 5 elevation 161:4 163:16 201:20 202:17 elevations 163:13 **eloquent** 137:12 **E-M** 151:9 **e-mail** 12:18 emissions 47:16 48:1, 2, 2 emissions-related 45:18 employed 35:12 41:12 99:16 employee 23:9 143:14 employment 36:15 37:3, 8, 16, 19 38:15 47:8 176:11 endeavor 12:6 **end-of-tap** 187:9 ends 144:5 **Energy** 176:10 **Eng** 176:16 engage 53:5 engagement 50:7 engineer 23:23 36:16 176:19 engineering 31:3 engineers 177:15 179:9 **enhances** 91:10 **enormous** 180:*16* enter 196:21 entering 215:8 **entire** 35:18 89:23 144:10 145:8 147:18 149:2, 18 150:*11* entirely 183:14, 16 190:23 entities 49:4 86:5 133:3 **entity** 129:1 entrained 57:23 97:7.8 entries 28:17 52:3 entry 28:18

environment 224:18 226:6
ENVIRONMENTA
L 4:7 10:16
18: <i>23</i> 19: <i>10</i> 21: <i>17</i>
25:20 26:15 36:16
42:3, 20, 22 43:1,
14, 18 44:2, 17
45:2, 3, 5 48:17
49:1 51:5 124:11,
<i>14</i> 126:2 <i>1</i> 131:20
133:20 143:15
209:19 217:22
219:9
EPA 168:8 186:20
equal 200:8
equalled 164:3
equally 222:17
equivalent 55:6
erode 66:9 172:18
209:21
eroded 72:21
87:20 94:13 100:8,
8 158:12 159:7
167:20, 21 168:2
169: <i>14</i> 196:20
197: <i>14</i> 199: <i>4</i>
201:7, 21 202:13,
21 204:9 216:20
eroding 173: <i>4</i>
eroding 173:4 erosion 66:3 67:19,
22 69:23 70:1, 3, 3,
10, 21, 22 71:6
72:15 73:16, 23
76:6 91:8 93: <i>15</i>
98: <i>16</i> 101:8, <i>23</i>
105:4, 7, 22 106:3,
<i>4</i> , <i>9</i> , <i>14</i> 108:9
132:18 167:2, 9, 11
168: <i>6</i> , <i>12</i> , <i>15</i>
169:2 <i>1</i> 170: <i>3</i>
195:6, 8, 8, 15, 23
196:7 197:2, <i>4</i> , <i>12</i> ,
17 199:6, 14 206:8
216:1, 2, 13, 19, 22
erosive 173:1
errors 120:2
ERT 100:14 101:7,
9 102:6 105:3
106: <i>1</i> , <i>16</i> , <i>23</i>

112:14 151:17 152:1, 8 158:8 162:9 163:*1* 164:12 **erupt** 198:8 **erupted** 198:7, 12, 15 **ES-1** 54:10 **ES-2** 88:12 escarpment 73:17 167:14, 16, 16 escarpments 70:17 especially 34:20 **Esq** 3:10 4:5, 6, 13 5:3 **essence** 97:18 essentially 35:18 84:3 102:5 175:19 182:10 183:13 established 68:13 70:16 129:7 168:23 estimate 22:2 24:20 30:9 72:15 84:13 93:4 117:14, 19 156:3 158:17 159:16 162:14 202:9 **estimated** 156:1, 12 160:6 164:15 **estimates** 71:*15* 75:9 estimating 73:13 estimation 67:18 73:8 75:6 76:11 estimations 74:2 166:17 Eva 4:13 evaluate 43:13 51:17 119:16 130:*1* evaluated 79:5 120:15 evaluation 79:11 evaporates 166:12 evapotranspiration 91:10 event 71:9 175:16 198:5

events 71:10 126:6 166:18 195:9 eventual 98:7 **everybody** 206:19 **evidence** 3:6 70:1, *19* 106:4, *20* 108:3 109:16 110:21 111:7, 23 112:6, 19 146:20 157:8 190:3 195:5, 7 197:16, 22 198:3 199:13 203:2 207:7 215:6 216:5 223:13 evidenced 158:3 evident 66:4 72:5 73:3 102:1 110:8 exact 141:9 142:6 222:17 exactly 40:1 124:13 129:6 140:19 **EXAMINATION** 6:3 7:13 8:3 9:1 examined 7:19 example 49:9 60:11 63:9 68:10 72:7, 18 74:7 77:20 80:14 93:22 97:15 106:10 121:8 131:2 145:11, 14 163:8, 14 167:13 185:11 213:7 examples 25:7 46:5, 14 47:4, 15 50:1 94:10, 21 95:12. 13 **excavate** 209:10 **excavated** 132:3, 4 excavating 96:2 excavation 77:18 92:23 93:12, 16 94:12 95:3 98:7 133:23 226:10 **exceed** 65:3 exceedance 205:15, *15* 206:4 exceedances 205:9

excellent 132:7 exchange 12:21 **exclude** 168:*12* exclusively 169:4, 9 Excuse 40:1 69:5 Executive 54:11 58:21 91:14 exercise 157:2 165:13, 17 exhaustive 144:15 **Exhibit** 12:12, 15 13:4 14:19 15:13, *14* 53:*17*, *21* 114:2 138:8 180:22, 23 181:*1*, *5* 190:2 191:9, 10, 14 192:13 207:16 exhibits 11:22 **existed** 55:7 153:3 exists 48:15 49:2 56:1, 2 100:3 111:12 188:13 **expansion** 9:18, 22 28:3, 5 **expect** 171:21 186:8 195:14 expectation 137:5, 22 expected 104:5 160:13 experience 16:8 25:19 27:21 34:10 37:13 39:17 41:16 42:13 59:14 61:21 64:5, 9, 10, 12, 17, 23 65:10, 21 66:12, 14 77:17 82:10 123:1, 4, 20 128:20 130:4 131:17 132:13 137:4 161:15 185:21 189:4 220:5 experience-based 132:15 **Expert** 6:14 10:8 14:13 26:4 47:21 53:11 54:14 133:5 136:12 218:2, 19 expertise 31:23

51:10 experts 121:6, 11 **Expires** 230:21, 23 **explain** 68:8 72:12 87:6, 8 98:12 107:21 170:13 explained 105:3 explaining 95:6 explains 206:7 Exploration 40:23 **exposed** 95:16 193:9 199:6 exposure 70:19 73:20 224:20 expressed 223:15 extension 184:5 **extent** 68:3 122:5 131:15 144:10 147:18 149:2, 18 150:*11* extracted 58:16 **extreme** 163:14 206:13 extremely 73:19 205:23 206:6, 8 216:*1* eyeball 74:13, 21 eveballing 75:6 eyes 159:20 < F > facilities 16:16 17:6, 12 23:17

42:15 51:6 215:22 facility 9:19 26:18 50:4, 23 **fact** 205:6 214:15 218:8 **factor** 166:14 202:16 **factors** 168:16 200:20 factually 38:16 **failed** 29:14 **failure** 122:*16* **Fair** 114:23 145:23 146:2 181:16 219:3 225:2

fairly 110:9 128:2 131:2 **fallen** 167:23 **falling** 70:18 familiar 44:1, 12 45:7 46:12 49:3 124:4 182:8 186:16, 22 213:9 **far** 70:12 96:21 137:12 167:1 198:20 **favor** 130:8 135:5 feasibility 32:3 **feature** 196:18 202:14 **features** 70:9, 11 71:7 73:11 201:7 **Federal** 3:7 7:5 43:20 44:21 48:11 federally 85:7 **feel** 115:22 **feet** 70:11, 12 161:23 163:8, 15, *17*, *22* 164:2, *3*, *16*, *17*, *17*, *18*, *19* 206:5 **Fernie** 31:6 **field** 65:23 75:7, 11, 14, 21 76:15 117:2 193:3 194:7 figuratively 189:12 **figure** 124:23 125:11, 13, 23 126:4 138:15, 17, *18*, *21*, *22* 139:5, *16*, 18 140:1, 18, 23 141:4, 12, 17 142:18 151:6, 16 152:6, 11 160:8 161:1 164:15 184:*13* **figured** 160:21, 22, 23 **figures** 138:10 152:2, 5 **filed** 3:15 **filled** 73:6 106:6 160:15 **filling** 197:20

97:2 **finalized** 137:19 **finally** 47:13 212:18 **find** 124:23 **Fine** 104:14 135:22 217:19 **finish** 41:21 202:4, **firm** 21:18 **first** 7:18 25:19 34:18 50:18 54:12 64:11 69:17, 20 79:23 82:4 83:14 84:9 98:14, 21 100:7 121:18 142:22 146:12 152:16 165:5 170:21 171:2 191:9 194:5 **fish** 46:19 **five** 16:23 73:13 **flipping** 191:20 Floor 2:9 5:5 7:9 **Florie** 2:8 5:4 7:8 **flow** 70:5 101:22 118:7, 13 156:1, 7, 8 157:15 158:20 161:5 164:21 165:1, 9, 22 166:16, 19 205:22 206:3, 3, 6 210:7 218:23 **flowed** 29:16 **flowing** 87:19 118:5, 7, 9 158:12 **flowing**, 118:*3* flows 87:16, 17 100:18 108:19 159:9 166:20 195:13, 13 197:15 206:13 **fly** 10:2 26:17, 23 **focus** 10:6 21:20 127:12 147:3 **focused** 145:20 214:22 **folks** 101:10 113:18 132:20 **folks**, 133:2

follow 203:10

following 7:14 175:22 **follows** 7:19 127:11, 15 **follow-up** 80:18 81:22 107:18 **foot** 160:7 165:3 **force** 2:17 foregoing 7:6 230:5, 9 foremost 64:11 **forest** 130:13 131:23 134:13, 23 136:*3* **forestry** 131:*1* 134:21 Fork 55:11 66:10 71:4 72:8 97:1 99:11, 21 100:13, 23 101:18 104:6 106:12, 22 108:4, 22, 23 109:1, 5, 9, 12 110:7, 11, 20 112:8, 17, 20 118:6 120:12 121:9 130:3 153:13 154:4, 8 157:12, 17 159:10 172:14 173:5 187:20 188:14 190:5 192:19 202:23 203:16 206:18 207:2 214:7 215:2, 5, 8, 14 216:8, 16 217:7, 8, 12 **form** 3:1 45:7 62:16 100:19 102:18 129:16 131:7 208:22 215:17 218:20 225:9 230:8 **formal** 42:21 formally 45:6 **format** 229:8 **formation** 19:*19* formations 82:14 former 17:3 23:9 33:5 71:3 106:6 203:8 211:4 **forming** 193:10

final 34:8 42:11

Gordon Johnson

C------ (C. C. 100. 1

forms 66:6 100:4
172:13 197:12
formulated 227:19
formulating 224:10
Fort 28:15
forth 26:4 86:10
146:6
forward 222:9
Foster 205:16
211:18
foundation 35:3
four 46:11 92:16
117:11
fourth 211:21
fragments 122:4
frame 171:22
Freshwater 212:10
front 12:12 53:16
67:5, 13
fugitive 48:2
full 2:17 110:17
127:2
full-grown 199:8
full-size 213:20
full-time 219:11
fully 116:8
function 202:13
functional 106:8
fundamental
fundamental
fundamental 171: <i>19</i> 174: <i>2</i>
fundamental 171: <i>19</i> 174:2 180: <i>13</i> 182:23
fundamental 171: <i>19</i> 174:2 180: <i>13</i> 182:23 funded 175: <i>19</i>
fundamental 171:19 174:2 180:13 182:23 funded 175:19 funding 32:23
fundamental 171:19 174:2 180:13 182:23 funded 175:19 funding 32:23 FURTHER 2:13,
fundamental 171:19 174:2 180:13 182:23 funded 175:19 funding 32:23 FURTHER 2:13, 21 39:13 81:18
fundamental 171:19 174:2 180:13 182:23 funded 175:19 funding 32:23 FURTHER 2:13, 21 39:13 81:18
fundamental 171:19 174:2 180:13 182:23 funded 175:19 funding 32:23 FURTHER 2:13, 21 39:13 81:18 107:14 230:14
fundamental 171:19 174:2 180:13 182:23 funded 175:19 funding 32:23 FURTHER 2:13, 21 39:13 81:18
fundamental 171:19 174:2 180:13 182:23 funded 175:19 funding 32:23 FURTHER 2:13, 21 39:13 81:18 107:14 230:14 future 173:5 174:6
fundamental 171:19 174:2 180:13 182:23 funded 175:19 funding 32:23 FURTHER 2:13, 21 39:13 81:18 107:14 230:14 future 173:5 174:6
fundamental 171:19 174:2 180:13 182:23 funded 175:19 funding 32:23 FURTHER 2:13, 21 39:13 81:18 107:14 230:14 future 173:5 174:6 < G > gallons 156:6, 13,
fundamental 171:19 174:2 180:13 182:23 funded 175:19 funding 32:23 FURTHER 2:13, 21 39:13 81:18 107:14 230:14 future 173:5 174:6
fundamental 171:19 174:2 180:13 182:23 funded 175:19 funding 32:23 FURTHER 2:13, 21 39:13 81:18 107:14 230:14 future 173:5 174:6 < G > gallons 156:6, 13,
fundamental 171:19 174:2 180:13 182:23 funded 175:19 funding 32:23 FURTHER 2:13, 21 39:13 81:18 107:14 230:14 future 173:5 174:6 < G > gallons 156:6, 13, 20 160:7 164:21 165:2
fundamental 171:19 174:2 180:13 182:23 funded 175:19 funding 32:23 FURTHER 2:13, 21 39:13 81:18 107:14 230:14 future 173:5 174:6 < G > gallons 156:6, 13, 20 160:7 164:21 165:2 gas 78:13, 23
fundamental 171:19 174:2 180:13 182:23 funded 175:19 funding 32:23 FURTHER 2:13, 21 39:13 81:18 107:14 230:14 future 173:5 174:6 < G > gallons 156:6, 13, 20 160:7 164:21 165:2 gas 78:13, 23 general 123:3, 17
fundamental 171:19 174:2 180:13 182:23 funded 175:19 funding 32:23 FURTHER 2:13, 21 39:13 81:18 107:14 230:14 future 173:5 174:6 < G > gallons 156:6, 13, 20 160:7 164:21 165:2 gas 78:13, 23 general 123:3, 17 210:13
fundamental 171:19 174:2 180:13 182:23 funded 175:19 funding 32:23 FURTHER 2:13, 21 39:13 81:18 107:14 230:14 future 173:5 174:6 < G > gallons 156:6, 13, 20 160:7 164:21 165:2 gas 78:13, 23 general 123:3, 17 210:13 generally 25:7
fundamental 171:19 174:2 180:13 182:23 funded 175:19 funding 32:23 FURTHER 2:13, 21 39:13 81:18 107:14 230:14 future 173:5 174:6 < G > gallons 156:6, 13, 20 160:7 164:21 165:2 gas 78:13, 23 general 123:3, 17 210:13 generally 25:7 44:3 45:11 82:13
fundamental 171:19 174:2 180:13 182:23 funded 175:19 funding 32:23 FURTHER 2:13, 21 39:13 81:18 107:14 230:14 future 173:5 174:6 < G > gallons 156:6, 13, 20 160:7 164:21 165:2 gas 78:13, 23 general 123:3, 17 210:13 generally 25:7 44:3 45:11 82:13
fundamental 171:19 174:2 180:13 182:23 funded 175:19 funding 32:23 FURTHER 2:13, 21 39:13 81:18 107:14 230:14 future 173:5 174:6 < G > gallons 156:6, 13, 20 160:7 164:21 165:2 gas 78:13, 23 general 123:3, 17 210:13 generally 25:7 44:3 45:11 82:13 generated 29:7
fundamental 171:19 174:2 180:13 182:23 funded 175:19 funding 32:23 FURTHER 2:13, 21 39:13 81:18 107:14 230:14 future 173:5 174:6 < G > gallons 156:6, 13, 20 160:7 164:21 165:2 gas 78:13, 23 general 123:3, 17 210:13 generally 25:7 44:3 45:11 82:13

78:15, 18 80:22 83:19 142:1 143:1 generating 26:18 50:23 182:11 generation 31:15 Geologic 54:15, 21 55:17, 19 122:3 141:19 203:4 208:4, 20 Geological 175:17 geologists 179:10 **geometric** 196:23 geometries 71:16 72:16 73:14 geometry 71:6 73:1, 18 196:14, 16, 19 202:13 geophysical 20:13, 21 147:10, 15, 18 148:11 151:9, 12, *17* 158:8 geophysics 67:9 101:13 148:6 geotechnical 32:5 getting 49:9 133:17 195:22 200:16 201:14 give 16:18 19:17 24:19 25:7 46:4, 14 47:3, 14 49:5, 23 83:5 92:5 229:9 **given** 8:12 9:2, 5 11:11 19:14 196:22 206:5 208:23 215:12 219:*1* giving 11:12 51:4 **GJ**, 143:*19* **glass** 213:18 gleaned 104:8 **glowing** 198:17 **go** 10:15 115:23 131:14 135:16 157:*14* 162:*1* 192:5 194:9, 14 225:11 **GOB** 51:18 54:16 55:5, 7, 13 57:10 66:4 69:22 70:6, 9

71:1 84:11 85:6 88:6 102:16 103:11 106:4 108:5, 7, 8 111:4 113:1, 6 118:5, 15 121:20 122:17, 19 124:16 130:18 145:8 152:19 153:3, 6 159:7 167:21 168:22 169:12 171:10 173:14 196:18 197:13 203:1, 7, 12, 13, 15, 21, 23 204:6, 8, 10 206:11 207:12 208:14 211:3 226:1, 7 going 18:3 33:21, 22 34:1 43:2 53:10 58:23 72:23 73:10 90:12 99:10 116:3, 4 130:18 157:14 159:13 172:21 173:3 191:8 201:13 203:11 216:7, 17 224:19 226:14 **gold** 33:11, 12, 15 **Golds's**, 33:7 **Good** 8:4, 6 17:10 44:9 54:8 126:16 140:11 142:13 148:15 155:14 192:15 **GORDON** 1:19 2:5 7:12, 17 19:6 229:5 government 89:21 governmental 49:11 **graded** 87:14 **gradient** 157:15 158:21 160:13 161:1, 21 162:12 163:19 **grading** 84:10 87:10 171:11 gradually 209:22 graduated 40:20 graduation 41:10 **grain-sized** 159:*13*

grams 154:15 156:2*1* **grant** 185:23 **granular** 110:*16* 207:18 208:1 grasses 168:4 **gravel** 102:15 103:11 gravelly 159:12, 14, *23* 160:*1* 207:*6* **gravity** 196:10 **great** 181:20 **ground** 18:17, 18 30:14 95:16 96:7, 10 98:15, 19 99:5 112:7, 16 113:8 118:4 160:13 161:10 166:11 168:4 170:1, 1 189:19 202:12, 17 209:1 grounding 10:17 grounds 3:4 groundwater 21:21 25:11 46:18, 22 67:8 72:6 81:9, 14, 19 94:5 96:15 99:22 100:22 108:10 110:5, 6, 11, 19, 22 111:4, 5, 7, *16, 23* 112:*19* 113:11, 19 125:8 149:16, 19 150:19 153:10 155:23 156:*17* 157:*6*, *7*, *14*, 23 158:1, 11, 17, 21 161:9 164:2*1* 165:1, 9 166:6, 19 173:18, 19 193:7 group 9:20 228:20 **grow** 194:2 199:5 **grown** 168:1 guess 82:12 103:22 195:18 223:9 224:14 **guessing** 141:*13* guidance 154:2 168:7 212:*1* **Gulf** 40:22

Gordon Johnson

gullies 167:20 197:14, 16 gully 167:22 168:1, 1 195:17 196:16 201:8, 11, 22 <H> **Halberg** 175:22 **half** 17:17 22:9 42:16 93:1 146:13 **halfway** 206:22 handling 88:5 173:10 Hang 202:1 **hanging** 167:13 **happen** 24:20 50:5 100:12 217:14 **happened** 86:21 199:7, 11 **happening** 100:*11* happens 174:3 217:3, 11 happy 12:4 217:17, 18 **Hard** 77:19, 22 78:16 **Harding** 212:*15* **Hardy** 41:1 **head** 74:3, 22 heading 42:2 46:15 50:17, 18 117:23 205:3 **health** 187:8 **hear** 137:6 **heard** 55:12, 16 hearing 10:12, 13, *15* 117:*10* **hearings** 8:19 9:6, 9, 14 heavily 72:2 heavy 100:20 101:2 118:20 195:21 216:14 **height** 70:13 heights 75:3 **held** 39:15 69:6 192:8 202:2 **help** 108:12 **helped** 42:16

helper 219:17 **helps** 107:18 hesitance 116:2 **high** 71:12 74:1 100:21 101:1, 2 110:9 118:20 119:9 157:4 161:20 205:23 206:6, 8 215:20 **higher** 35:1 70:12 172:6 186:6, 7, 9, *11, 13* 193:8 200:12 205:7 highlight 60:6 highlights 146:19 hill 109:7 203:11 historical 138:23 history 20:8, 14 21:3 83:23 **Hogsden** 212:13, 14 **hole** 208:23 homeowners 9:20 **hope** 175:2 **Hopkins** 212:19 hour 53:10 116:18, *20* 117:*1* **hourly** 117:*1* hours 117:14, 20, 20 179:1 **hundred** 71:*13* 163:7, 10, 15, 22 164:2, 3 **hundreds** 73:11 Hurvn 221:21 hydraulic 158:20 159:16 160:5, 12 161:*1* 164:23 hydrogeological 160:10 hydrogeology 143:4 Hydrologic 33:4 hydrologist 23:21 hydrotechnical 23:23 < I > idea 89:9, 19

identification 12:16 15:15 53:22 181:6 191:15 identified 13:21 14:4 185:3 204:17, 23 223:20 identify 9:12 15:12 20:16 53:19 191:12 222:21 223:12 **image** 139:17 140:3 142:11 images 151:11, 18, 23, 23 152:4 167:19 immediately 26:14 69:18 97:17 113:12 153:20 175:21 208:16 **Impact** 42:3 43:18 44:2, 17, 22 45:2, 5 47:7, 17 48:18 49:2 99:21 100:2, 4 108:3 112:15 131:20 164:11 215:14 impacted 72:2 impaction 196:9 impediment 129:8 227:9 impeding 168:6 impermeable 113:9, **implement** 127:21, 23 128:8 implementation 93:14 implemented 32:20 84:9 93:19 227:10 implementing 64:18 94:11 implication 118:12 implications 43:14 **implies** 224:19 implying 38:6 important 37:10 63:23 136:5 imported 209:5 **impounded** 29:15 210:15, 17

impoundment 28:21 29:4, 7 210:4 impoundments 16:11 210:1 impression 110:1 **improper** 131:*14* **improve** 226:17 inaccurate 39:3 inadvertently 45:23 inappropriate 187:6 **inch** 164:3, 4 incline 200:14 **include** 14:*13* 37:18, 19 99:2 146:23 205:22 included 8:22 60:5, 12, 21 75:23 78:15 102:6 105:5 106:18 143:5 146:7 172:9 181:17 211:10 includes 119:2, 7 including 86:3 179:8 205:20 income 218:18 219:5 inconsequential 146:8, 10 incorporated 19:13 40:18 incorrect 68:6, 15 119:18, 23 incorrectly 68:16 indicate 93:11 143:20 158:1 **indicated** 125:10 156:8 indicates 119:8 indicating 86:5 101:7 201:15 **indicator** 193:13 **Individual** 143:*12* individuals 20:12, 17 22:1, 15 inferences 89:7 **inferred** 89:*14* 223:21 influence 87:2

identical 44:11

50:*13* 166:*3*

influenced 61:9, 22 62:10 167:17 **inform** 214:20 information 39:4 59:10, 13 62:3 63:2, 3, 23 65:16 80:21 85:20 141:19 147:2, 16 149:19 158:9 160:17 215:4 222:1 228:17 information-gatheri ng 12:6 informed 150:2 **informs** 123:5 infrastructure 34:12 **Initial** 28:3 113:19 185:2 **initials** 143:10 initiated 35:21 **initiates** 209:17 **inquire** 24:10 **inside** 173:14 inspection 59:5 65:20 108:17 144:4, 6, 10 145:1 **instance** 48:17 63:15 66:23 164:16 instances 76:5 78:2 150:18 208:9 instructing 38:21 intelligible 62:13 **intend** 88:4 118:8 intended 12:7 74:17, 18 119:4 136:18 141:18 142:5 143:2 144:*14* **intent** 37:22 interburden 56:2 **intercept** 173:18 **interest** 83:13 interested 23:15 24:11 230:17 **interim** 60:1 intermittent 118:11 **internal** 43:*12*

internally 43:6 internationally 44:8 Internet 176:4, 12 184:3 212:2, 11 **interpret** 89:18 101:13 104:2 118:6 interpretation 65:14 66:5, 6 67:16 101:15 106:23 interpreted 164:11 interpretive 112:18 intimately 23:20 introduce 52:17 introduction 114:3 117:23 introductory 69:9 159:19 **invasive** 132:23 **inverted** 205:14 **Investigation** 34:11 124:12 147:11, 16, 19 149:16, 19 150:9, 12, 19, 21 151:9 157:23 225:5 investigations 16:9 113:20 211:2, 6 Investment, 176:11 **involve** 65:14 92:20, 23 **involved** 8:18 9:15 22:20 33:4 78:13 135:16 171:13 208:17 involvement 10:6, 17 29:19 30:3, 6 31:11 32:4 33:23 35:17 55:13 involving 27:16 **iron** 80:14 102:11 193:12, 12 207:8 **IRS** 53:2 issue 38:14 52:12 65:1 76:23 77:8 102:23 issues 45:14, 17, 17, 18 47:1, 2 48:4

77:5, 15 86:11 96:16 222:13 item 25:19 its 79:18 97:4 134:11 152:17 158:20 172:4 188:6 210:16 214:23 < J > **January** 212:9 **Jeddah** 25:12 JEFFERSON 230:3 **job** 30:23 40:5, 5 129:5 188:6 **jobs** 17:17 61:3 **JOHNSON** 1:19 2:5 7:12, 17 8:5 19:6 25:16 53:16 116:16 138:6 175:22 185:2 192:10 229:5 **joined** 22:5 **joining** 39:21 **joint** 126:6 judging 187:18 judgment 30:12 59:13 61:21 64:5, 8, 10, 12, 23 65:10, 21 66:12, 14 123:5 **June** 1:20 2:11 3:12 7:11 229:3 jurisdiction 133:4, *12* 136:*15* jurisdictions 178:2 189:5 < K > **Kearl** 27:22, 23 28:2, 3, 5, 5, 7, 13, 14 **keep** 37:6 83:3 145:19 214:23 Keephills 9:16 **keeping** 212:23 keeps 180:10 **killing** 194:2 **kiln** 43:8. 15

kilogram 185:15

kilograms 154:16 kin 230:15 kind 13:16 28:22 49:4 50:6 52:16 70:20 95:5, 7 122:7 147:14 189:17, 18 193:11 196:9 219:23 224:5, 13 kinds 52:21 53:2 225:6 know 8:8 12:3 13:5, 17 15:2 23:14, 19 24:20 32:2, 14, 19 34:5 40:3 50:5 52:9, 15 56:18 58:2 64:16 65:15, 17 66:2 72:8 76:14 88:14 89:2, 5, 17, 22 102:7 103:16, 17 112:2, 3 113:4 114:21 117:16 125:17 130:7, 10, *11* 131:*17* 134:*11*, 20 135:7, 9, 11 145:6 146:2 147:14 153:1 159:8 163:*1* 169:*1* 172:23 177:15, 23 186:5 188:10, 21 192:21 198:2 199:2, 8 201:14 202:16 207:14 210:6 215:6, 7 216:4, 14 225:19, 21 228:19 knowledge 32:12 83:22 92:9 126:1 179:4 known 162:23 169:4 knows 206:19 Komex 21:5 23:9 35:14, 19 36:3, 11 37:4 38:15 39:22 41:12 **Kotalic** 212:8 Kreutzweiser

Case 2:16-cv-01443-AKK Document 55-2 Filed 08/15/18 Page 74 of 90 **Gordon Johnson** 245

142.12	loove 45.22 06.6	61.0 65.11	1 42.1 10 52.19
143:13	leave 45:23 96:6 128:12 189:22	64:8 65:11	42:1, 10 53:18 54:9 69:8 72:21
<l></l>	228:19	listing 26:15 lists 58:21	74:12 79:21 81:6
	leaves 37:16 199:9		82:2 92:6 95:14
lab 182:8, 17 labeled 125:7	leaving 35:7 128:9	lithologic 142:23 litigated 11:4	96:4 113:23
195:4 206:17	led 23:6 62:17	litigation 220:4	117:22 124:6
labels 142:11	78:23 85:21	little 49:5 178:4	126:23 133:19
labs 181:14 182:7	145:21 168:17	204:6 209:12	135:13 138:7, 21
lack 215:13	left 22:4 32:9	local 132:20 133:2	142:18 148:5, 22
Lafarge 17:3	Legal 50:17 52:1,	located 31:5 34:13	149:15 151:6, 15
42:14 43:6	13 114:10 122:18	location 30:15	152:12 153:9
land 47:11 66:6	226:12 227:9	113:10 189:1	156:15 166:17, 20
197:12	legally 128:7	206:21	168:7, 10 170:5
Land-Based 151:17	legible 142:12, 16	locations 75:12	174:7 175:11
landfill 97:20	length 30:5 162:7	76:17 81:13	176:8 180:22
landfills 168:8	163:1, 15 201:15	124:16, 22 125:9,	181: <i>1</i> 191: <i>10</i>
lands 55:5	letter 11:20, 21	10 184:16 205:8	192:16 194:22
landscape 95:18,	level 49:11 51:21	Locations, 184:14	198:6, 22 202:19
23 96:2 200:20	52:13 73:23 74:1	Locust 55:11	204:12 206:14
Lane 2:5 3:8 7:1	110:20 112:8, 10,	66:10 71:4 72:8	210:20 211:20
230:19, 20	11, 16 170:1	97:1 99:11, 21	looked 21:8, 10
language 69:9	171:14 172:4	100:13, 23 101:17	61:4 62:2 73:2
210:23	174:1 180:5, 6, 7	104:6 106:12, 22	106:16 166:5
Large 2:7 7:3	216:18	108:4, 21, 23 109:1,	184:9 227: <i>14</i>
10:14 35:4 50:10	levels 186:17	5, 9, 12 110:7, 11,	looking 16:6 28:17
69:23 70:17, 23	188:18	20 112:8, 17, 20	43:2 47:23 50:16
78:11, 11, 20 94:2	license 180:18	118:5 120:12	71:21 72:16 91:13
131:2 195:18	licenses 15:23	121:9 130:3	95:8 118: <i>1</i> 124:8
197: <i>13</i>	lies 209:9	153:13 154:4, 8	138:15 140:2
larger 216:2	life 46:19	157:11, 17 159:10	142:19, 23 152:15,
lava 198: <i>16</i>	light 195:13	172:14 173:5	<i>16</i> 154:20 161: <i>19</i>
law 2:7 4:7 7:7	limestone 97:15	187:19 188:13	162: <i>12</i> 165: <i>4</i>
49:14 51:5 52:19	limited 17:11	190:5 192:19	166:2 <i>1</i> 173:2 <i>3</i>
53:7 85:7 86:7	19:12 67:6 169:13	202:23 203:16	176: <i>15</i> 188:8
87:4 88:9, 18	limits 139:2, 9	206:18 207:2	192: <i>16</i> 196: <i>14</i> , <i>19</i>
154:18 155:18	169:20	214:7 215:2, 5, 8,	197: <i>11</i> 198: <i>3</i>
158:19	line 33:15 34:13,	14 216:8, 16 217:7,	201:6, 18 207:15
Lawrence 184:1	16 35:17 139:2	8, 12	218:17
laws 2:18	141:7, 8 164:12	log 178:23	looks 151:10
lawyer 9:21 53:1	liner 97:20	logically 200:9	182:10, 13
lawyers 13:17	lines 141:2	long 13:21 21:22	lot 45:9 135:20
14:3, 21 15:5	linkage 49:13	33:13 35:15 73:12	low 70:7 82:20
75:22 128:10, 13	list 31:2 45:23	115:11 132:10	100:21 158:3
179:8	59:17 66:3 84:9	161:11 201:12	162:4 193:2
layer 113:14, 14	88:13 119:1, 1 listed 14:15 17:14	longer 39:23	197:20 216:1 lower 81:15 102:1
layman 161: <i>14</i> leaching 97: <i>20</i>	18:10 25:19, 22	91: <i>16</i> , <i>22</i> 99: <i>6</i> 106: <i>8</i>	
leading 3:2	26:23 27:20 34:10	long-standing 35:20	110:9 111:2, 20, 22 112:16, 22 113:13,
lean 219:23	36:19 42:5 63:7	look 13:4 25:16	14, 14 160:16
Can 217.23	30.17 42.3 03.7	27:19 28:17 38:2	17, 17 100.10

194:20 lucky 180:20 **lunch** 138:1 < M > **M.Sc** 1:19 7:12, 17 magnifying 213:18 magnitude 74:19 186:6 main 46:11 67:13 81:14 94:20 maintain 174:6 178:9 179:3, 19 180:17 maintaining 179:22 maintenance 28:19 29:21 30:18 **major** 16:2 20:11 71:14 195:9 **majority** 195:23 **making** 31:16 65:21 66:7 74:2 115:2 157:1 161:16 189:11 194:18 196:6 manage 122:17, 19 123:7 171:3 172:7, 16 174:5 managed 10:3 130:19 215:22 manage-in-place 98:9 99:1 management 16:12 25:11 35:6 78:14 123:2 130:17 180:9 222:12 225:23 226:7 manager 28:18 managing 29:21 171:9 172:6 173:9 222:8 manner 10:2 45:21 55:1, 8 65:7 95:17 157:16 **Manual**, 184:2 manufacturing 17:4 map 140:9, 10, 15, 17 161:17 162:20 163:5, 23 164:6

maps 140:4 160:19 161:2 Marchant 184:1 mark 11:22 191:8 marked 12:12, 15 15:13, 14 53:17, 21 181:5 190:2 191:14 192:12 mass 153:12 154:3, 6, 9, 14, 15 155:12, 20 157:9 165:18, *19*, *23* 217:6 masses 157:4 master's 41:11 **match** 24:5 material 29:9 40:7 41:3, 16 56:6, 17 60:7 62:3 72:23 88:6 93:2 96:3 109:10 122:6, 9 129:4 159:23 160:*1* 171:*17* 173:2, 10 203:10, 14, 18, 20, 21 207:7, 19 209:15 materials 16:13 56:18 66:10 70:20 71:8 72:19 85:5 94:8 103:20, 22 104:3 110:16 112:8 115:*1* 159:15 171:9, 17 172:6, 7, 16, 20, 22 183:10, 19 195:20 222:8 227:14 **math** 163:8 mathematical 157:2 165:13.17 **matter** 11:5 37:23 63:8 **maximum** 186:17 188:17 **Maxine** 18:15, 18 22:20 25:21 26:14, 21 27:17 29:11 34:23 50:9, 20 51:12 54:17 55:13 64:20 78:2, 9 79:4, *17*, *20* 81:2 83:*13* 98:17 108:6

123:13 133:12 185:*10* 186:2, *9*, *15* 190:4 208:13, 18 211:4 214:6 222:3 224:8 227:19, 22 229:6 Maxxam 182:19 **MCL** 187:2 MCLs 186:17 187:18 **McMurray** 28:15 mean 11:4 15:22 29:5 41:17, 18 51:7, 9 52:7 54:20, 22 55:4 59:23 64:9 84:12 87:7 95:21 117:16 118:7 121:18 127:17, 19 132:7 133:3 136:8, 11 142:9, 10 143:11 151:23 201:13 214:7 224:11, 13 meander 202:23 Meaning 19:6 49:21 52:16 100:10 188:11 211:8 223:2 means 56:13 77:22 88:5 135:23 159:20 183:18 193:23 230:6 meant 52:15 131:11 139:2 147:3 184:9 measure 154:15 162:19 163:21 **measured** 160:21 186:4 measurements 193:3 201:7 measures 83:10 84:8 91:16, 22 93:18 **mechanism** 179:*19* meet 178:14 **member** 177:8 **memo** 190:6 191:4, 6

Memorandum 6:15 60:2 184:13 190:1 211:10 228:8 mentioned 27:7, 9 76:21 123:20 180:*3* 214:2*1* met 8:7 103:14 metallurgical 31:4, 16, 20 32:22 metals 77:23 100:20 101:3 118:21 119:9 120:16 158:5 193:6, 14 209:16 214:12 215:20 216:14 meters 112:15 **method** 182:1 197:11 200:7, 17 methodology 127:6 methods 198:20, 21 **middle** 204:13 **midpage** 153:19 migrated 71:1 migrating 55:10 migration 99:23 216:15 Mike 23:10, 17, 18, 21 miles 185:8, 23 **milligrams** 154:15 185:*14* **million** 93:1, 7, 8 129:3 mind 128:19 mine 9:22 18:2, 6, 18 22:20 23:16 25:21 26:14, 21 28:7, 14, 22, 22 29:1, 5 33:6, 10, 11, 12, 20 34:12, 13, 17, 20, 21, 22, 23 35:7 50:3, 6, 9 56:6, 13, 14, 16 57:7, 12 60:10 63:10 65:6 67:8 70:23 71:20 72:2 73:5 76:23 77:4, 15, 19 78:2, 3, 8, 9, 18, 20 79:4, 7, 12, 18 80:23 81:2

82:6 83:13, 15, 17, 23 84:3 85:17 87:11 97:3, 5, 10 99:7, 8, 9 100:7, 12 101:19 102:10 106:11, 21 107:4 108:6 109:7 113:9, *16* 118:*19* 121:*19* 122:2, 11 123:2, 2, 6, 8, 15, 17, 21 124:3, 15, 19 125:8, 9, 17, 21 130:17 133:12 148:23 149:2 152:14 158:12, 23 159:4, 6 172:12, 23 173:3 175:23 181:11 184:13, 15 185:10 186:4 190:4, 13, 17 193:2 197:22 201:19 202:21 203:3, 7, 19 206:17 207:4, 5, 10, 23 208:4, 16, 19 211:4 214:6, 17 216:20 217:6, 9 222:3 224:8 227:19, 23 229:6 mined 33:21 mineral 58:10, 12 82:5 minerals 57:4 82:23 122:4 176:10 Mine-Related 25:18 34:9 mines 16:16 17:13, 18 18:14 29:6 31:5, 22 32:1, 5, 13, 19 57:16 78:8, 17 79:3, 8 211:23 215:23 216:3 **minimize** 93:15 94:12 Minimizing 94:16 mining 9:10 17:5, 5, 11, 12 25:18 27:8, 16 34:9 55:23 56:20 77:7, 12, 16, 20, 22, 23

84:4 94:2 98:3 130:9 131:21 133:19 135:4, 5, 15 mining-related 16:8 **Ministry** 176:11 211:22 minor 94:23 130:23 131:5 167:4 195:15, 19 **minute** 65:4 76:9 90:7 181:1 minutes 229:10 **mirror** 160:13 mislead 37:22 missing 144:20 145:3 mistakenly 204:17 205:13 misunderstood 165:6 **mitigate** 188:11 mitigating 91:17, 23 171:13 mitigation 88:14 mix 97:14 mixed 58:17 218:12 mixture 57:11 58:6 **Mobile** 26:19 27:1 51:*1* model 205:17 **modeling** 47:17 **modify** 146:15 moment 53:18 **money** 47:8 179:15 180:16 **monitor** 174:5 monitoring 16:11 96:9 Months 30:8, 10 morning 8:4, 6 **morning's** 196:*13* Moss 41:1 Mountain 28:22 29:5, 14 mountainous 34:21 **move** 53:11 147:9 189:19 200:11, 12 **moved** 30:4 36:12

moving 138:9 198:19 muddy 207:21 **multiply** 156:18 multiplying 154:10 155:11 < N >name 18:1 19:13, 17 20:4, 6 52:5 143:12 174:17 175:10, 11 **named** 23:9 **names** 84:5 National 19:20 native 207:15, 16 209:4 **natural** 56:19 57:1 103:13, 21 104:2, 5 113:15 132:20, 22 168:20 196:8, 20 203:4 215:5 **naturally** 186:14 **nature** 80:11 167:21 200:13 210:2 221:11 227:3 near 34:13 197:18 neatness 43:20 necessarily 198:13 222:16 necessary 2:22 90:18 91:2, 5 96:18 224:9 need 11:14 29:12 65:5 98:14 136:21 137:19 148:20 172:8, 19 173:1, 16 178:23 192:3 206:12 213:17 219:13 222:14 225:7 **needed** 86:5 142:3 204:15 **needles** 168:19 169:19 needs 93:20 99:2, 4, 5, 8 157:6 166:9 **negative** 134:15

neighborhood 185:*14* neighboring 47:11 **neither** 32:12 230:15 **NEPA** 43:21 net 82:20 neutralize 96:22 97:16 neutralizing 182:15 183:15 Never 8:15, 22 92:4 103:14 129:8 134:6 new 15:23, 23 32:23 141:5 183:6 211:9 nexus 49:11 nine 39:21 nineteen 40:2 nine-year 38:14 **no,** 115:20 noon 138:1 normal 225:1 **north** 28:14 31:6 202:23 203:12 **NORTHERN** 1:2 78:16, 17 Norwest 29:22 30:4 31:1, 12 32:10, 17 Norwest's 31:22 **Notary** 2:6 7:2, 3 note 205:11 notes 75:8, 11, 15, 21 76:15 **notice** 6:12 **Notwithstanding** 37:15 38:16 November 26:9 211:11 **number** 13:15 16:19 20:2 24:21 40:21 50:8 52:3 63:6 65:3, 3 66:2 71:19 74:20 78:21 93:11 100:5 138:12, 13 156:21 160:20 161:23 162:21 163:10

247

156:22

165:16 167:12 172:8 179:*1* 181:10 196:17 218:16 **numbers** 186:7 **numerous** 179:10 <O> **Obed** 28:22 29:5, 13 **object** 38:18 102:17 129:15 131:7 215:17 225:9 objecting 38:23 131:12 objection 39:8 **objections** 2:23 3:3 objective 49:18 95:22 130:6 132:19 objectives 129:20 **obligation** 136:21 137:16 174:5 obligations 85:13 177:7 observation 198:14 207:11 observational 66:13 80:15 112:5 146:20 147:5 196:12 197:10 201:5 observationally 202:10 observations 59:4 65:12 72:9 80:9 108:16 115:2 144:22 145:7 211:9 **observe** 81:*16* 169:10 observed 65:22 67:19, 21 70:1 79:5 159:3, 12, 19, 22 202:14 **observing** 106:3, 5 167:8 **obtain** 137:2 179:14

obtained 15:9, 22 59:10 63:2, 15 160:9 obviously 57:11 58:1 114:2 148:4 163:2*1* 195:4 211:17 **occasion** 174:19 occasions 30:13 32:18 occupationally 18:22 occur 97:18 100:5 209:14 occurred 70:10 73:16 85:6 144:22 197:18 occurring 97:17 106:10 108:20 110:*19* 111:*1* 112:13 186:14 197:17 230:12 occurs 131:1 195:8 **October** 6:14 26:4 54:1 113:23 126:5 134:2 136:13 138:9 149:2*1* 150:14 158:10 211:12 **offer** 88:4 **offered** 3:5 222:20 **offices** 2:8 7:7 **Offshore** 40:23 **off-spec** 16:12 43:7 off-the-record 192:10 **Oh** 27:11 119:22 165:6 212:8 Oil 28:2, 5, 14 40:5, 10 78:12, 22 Okay 8:4, 17, 21 9:17, 23 10:4, 11, 22 11:8 12:1, 10, 19 13:9 14:12, 18 15:1, 11, 17 16:5, 15, 21 17:7, 16, 19, 22 18:1, 10, 16 19:9, 15, 23 20:6, 19, 22 21:12, 16, 22 22:8, 12, 19, 23

23:5, 7, 11 24:3, 6, 9, 14, 19 25:6, 13 26:2, 6, 13 27:5, 11, 15, 19 28:11, 16 29:17 30:1, 5, 12, 21 32:8, 14, 19 33:3, 10, 13, 18 34:4, 7, 15 35:8, 15, 22 36:7, 14, 18, 21 37:15, 21 38:5, 13 39:12 40:10 41:6, 9, 15, 23 42:9, 12, 18 43:11, 17 44:1, 6, 9, 15, 19, 23 45:4, 15, 19 46:2, 13, 21 47:3, 13, 19 48:6, 10, 13, 16 49:1, 17, 20 50:5, 11, 15 51:3, 11, 19 52:14, 18 53:9 54:8 55:12, 19 56:4, 8, 11, 21 57:6, 15, 19 58:7, 14, 20 59:20 60:16, 20 61:1 62:12 63:1, 1, 13, 21 64:4, 15, 21 65:9 66:1, 16 67:23 68:18, 21 69:1, 4, 14 72:12 74:5, 21 75:7, 14 76:13, 19 77:10, 12, 21 78:6 79:10, 15, 21 80:8 81:4, 6 82:2, 16, 21 83:1, 3, 21 84:1, 7, 18, 21 85:9, 19 86:2, 12, 23 87:6, 23 88:11, 20 89:6, 15 90:2, 5, 9, 15, 21 91:1, 9, 12 92:6, 12 93:6 94:3, 15, 19, 22 95:11 96:5 97:2, 13, 22 98:4, 12 100:6 101:5, 14, 20 102:3 103:3, 6, 9 104:7, 18. 23 105:13. 18 106:2 107:5, 15 108:14 109:13, 20, *23* 110:2*1* 111:*10*, 22 112:2, 21

113:22 114:8, 18, 23 115:17 116:12 117:6, 13, 21 118:22 120:5, 19, *23* 121:7, *15* 122:10, 13 123:4, *11* 124:6, 20 125:2 126:3, 9, 18, 23 129:19 130:7 131:4, 9 132:9 133:2, 22 134:19 135:12 137:1, 6 138:5, 14, 17, 21 139:5, 10, 16 140:7, 11, 13, 23 141:4, 11, 16, 21 142:2, 8, 13 143:7, 14, 18, 22 144:3, 17, 20 145:5, *10*, *17*, *23* 146:*11*, 15, 22 147:6, 9 148:1, 8, 13, 18, 22 149:7, 14 150:3, 3, *6*, *17* 151:5, *15*, 22 152:10 153:8, 21 154:*13*, *17* 155:*4*, *7*, 10, 14, 22 156:2, 5, *11, 14, 23* 157:8, *18* 158:7 159:18 160:4, 12, 20 161:7, *13* 162:*11*, *15* 163:6, 18 164:10 165:11, 15, 18 166:1, 21 167:5 169:1, 8, 15 170:4, 10, 13, 23 174:7, 10, 14, 23 175:16, 21 176:7, 15, 21 177:2, 5 178:6, 12, 18 179:7 180:1, 4, 12, *23* 181:9 182:5, *17*, 20 183:2, 17 184:7, 12, 19, 20 185:1, 6 186:16, 19 187:9 188:17, 22 189:2, 21 190:12, 14, 19 191:8, 8, 19 192:9, *15* 194:3, 6, *13*, 22 195:3, 11 196:2, 22 197:7 199:*1*, *15* 200:16 201:1, 10

202:3, 7 203:17 204:1, 4, 11, 20 206:9, 14 207:3 208:3, 7 209:8, 20, 23 210:9, 20 211:16 212:6, 13, 18, 22 213:3, 6, 13 214:15 215:12 217:11, 15, 19 218:5, 9, 11, 14, 16, 22 219:8, 12, 16, 18, 20, 23 220:14 221:7, 13, 16, 23 222:6, 11, 19 224:3 225:10, 14 226:9 227:5, 12 228:3, 10, 18, 22 229:9 **old** 33:12 **omitting** 39:19 **Once** 30:16 107:20 122:1 137:18 173:6, 21 175:6 193:8 209:9 ones 42:9 46:12 78:7 86:14, 18 102:9 126:3, 5 146:4, 14 212:4 228:2, 5 **ongoing** 29:18 30:2 32:10 130:2 197:17 **online** 212:9 **Ontario** 36:13, 15, 22 open 34:22 **opening** 131:22 operating 31:22 34:3 63:10 84:19 operation 9:15 21:14 60:10 219:23 operational 80:23 operations 17:4 28:8 operator 89:20 **opinion** 39:15 54:14 58:23 59:3, 18 64:6 66:18 67:2 80:1, 6 82:3 88:4, 22 91:15, 19,

20 92:3 98:4, 13 105:7, 22 107:19 108:11, 15 114:10 128:5 157:5 186:13 188:3 216:5, 6 222:2 opinions 61:5 115:7 144:11 146:6, 21 147:19 149:3, 20 150:13 151:2 222:20, 23 223:11, 14 227:15, 18, 22 228:4 opportunity 83:5 86:19 209:13 211:14 **opposed** 52:22 56:22 57:20 74:19 78:22 89:12 92:9 197:2 203:4 **option** 98:9 99:1 127:7, 20 128:8, 16 170:8, 12, 15 172:1, **options** 51:18 130:2 170:6, 15, 20 171:5, 20, 23 175:23 oral 3:11 7:13 oranges 189:14, 14 **order** 16:20 71:11 72:14 74:19 **orders** 186:6 ore 29:8 55:22 **organic** 58:12 organisms 46:20 organization 21:6, 7 22:5 129:13, 21 180:5, 7 organizations 179:13 180:15 original 3:10 58:3 60:1 95:16 135:2 184:16 191:17 205:21 211:11 220:16 **originally** 169:*12* originated 203:22

outcome 96:3 154:10 205:5 **outlet** 101:16 **outline** 140:6 **outside** 19:21 71:1 72:20 87:21 177:10 179:2 **overall** 173:22 Overburden 54:15, 21 55:17, 20 56:1 122:3 208:5, 7 **overlap** 22:*14* overlapped 22:7 overlapping 48:12, 23 oversight 50:7 133:10 **overview** 143:*3* overwhelmed 106:6 **owned** 188:20 ownership 83:12, 23 oxidation 80:13 82:5 183:9 190:20 193:13 **oxidize** 193:10 **oxidizing** 207:8, 9 **oxygen** 193:9 < P > **P.E** 177:21 **P.Eng** 1:19 7:12, 17 **p.m** 229:17 paced 75:1 **PAGE** 6:3 25:16 42:1 50:16 54:9 88:12 113:23 117:22 127:1 138:12, 13, 15 143:8 144:5 147:10 149:15 150:7, 8 152:13, 15 153:9 164:22 175:7, 8 184:1 192:16 194:23 204:12, 13, 13 205:10 206:16 210:20 218:6 paragraph 16:6

54:12 58:21 63:2

66:17 69:8 82:3 83:9 118:2, 23 121:17 122:16 124:7 127:2 153:13, 20 154:19 165:5 parameter 204:19 parameters 102:8 214:13 **Park** 19:21 **Parking** 65:1, 3 part 17:8 21:8, 10, 14 34:19 46:5 57:7, 13 62:8 64:7, 22 68:22 80:17 87:8 91:2, 5 103:15, 16 105:6 121:15 122:19 123:19 124:11 137:6 146:16 150:7 170:18 224:9 particle 159:3 160:2 particular 36:18, 20 48:17 88:9 129:23 130:17 139:14 159:18 particularly 168:14 **parties** 2:3 3:3 12:20 136:11 191:18 205:1 230:16 partly 68:7 partners 21:7 parts 69:21 **path** 161:6 203:10 pathway 203:14 224:20 **pattern** 70:22 **patterns** 70:8, 15 **PDF** 12:18 **PELA** 63:9 82:1 113:18 142:1 211:19 **people** 13:18 21:9 48:4 53:1 71:22, 23 **people's** 135:21 perceive 51:11, 15

originating 203:11

percent 25:2, 4, 5
160: <i>15</i> 163: <i>19</i>
181:19 183:14
205:14, 15 206:4
218:21 221:5
percentage 24:21
218: <i>17</i>
percolating 109:10
perfectly 56:12
196:3
performance 16:10
period 22:6 29:22
36:6, 8, 10, 12
39:20 40:4 63:10
67:6, <i>11</i> 94:6
211: <i>14</i>
periods 22:14
permanent 134: <i>16</i>
174: <i>4</i>
permanently 33:23
34:2
permeability 113:12
permeable 110:16
112:9 113: <i>10</i> , <i>13</i>
159: <i>14</i> , <i>15</i>
permeate 168: <i>13</i>
permissible 128:8
permission 133:10
136:16
permissions 227:1
permits 172:4
permitting 31:3
42:4, 16, 21
person 23:12 225:1
personal 19:12
30:6 36:13 114:10
180:6
personally 30:14
perspective 147:21
179:22 187:23
188:9 209:19
perspectives 72:22
pertaining 166:4
pertinent 13:2
144:23
petrochemical 50:4
77:7, 9
Petroleum 40:12
1 CH VICUIII 40.12

```
pH 100:21 158:4
193:2 214:11
215:23
phase 28:19 29:21
30:18
philosophies 98:1
philosophy 99:15
Photo 192:17, 22
194:3, 20 195:19
196:15, 17 198:22
200:3 201:9
202:20 203:18, 20
204:4 206:15
207:15
photocopied 142:16
photograph 139:1
199:16, 17 203:21
207:4
photographs 195:4
photos 167:12
194:23
phrase 29:3 118:15
physical 152:8
pick 199:12
picture 195:17
207:5
piece 208:10 209:1
pile 51:18 55:5, 7,
10 57:10 66:4
69:22 70:6, 9 71:1
79:19 84:11 85:6
98:20 106:4 111:5
113:6 118:5
121:20 122:17, 20
124:17 130:18, 18
145:8 152:19
153:3, 6 159:7
168:22 169:12
171:10 173:14
188:9 196:18
197:13 203:1, 7, 12,
13, 15, 21, 23
206:11 207:13
209:3 211:3 226:1,
Pile, 118:16
pillars 180:14
pine 166:23 167:8,
10, 13, 18, 23, 23
```

```
168:17 169:17, 19
pit 33:6 34:22
Place 2:9 5:5 7:9
19:21 32:20 50:12,
13 65:5 72:4, 4
73:16 93:21 98:21
99:14 100:11
132:5, 7 157:13
162:8, 13 167:9
171:3, 10, 10 172:7,
8, 17, 20, 22 196:1
199:18 206:11
214:23 222:8, 12
placed 54:16 55:8
57:14 85:6, 17, 22
201:20
placement 77:18
places 70:7, 14
72:6 81:18 87:15
109:4 111:3, 14
128:14 145:15
169:10 216:16
Plaintiff 1:10 4:3
115:9 220:5
plan 25:21 26:3
51:22 69:3 87:2
92:19 93:13
133:16, 17 134:1
136:20 151:8
157:5 180:9
188:12 206:10
214:20, 22 222:7,
12 224:10 226:10,
12, 22 227:8
planned 228:12
planning 16:10
33:5 60:7
plans 64:13, 19
228:14
plants 27:10 43:10
166:13 194:2
Please 3:13 25:15
38:11 50:15 88:12
91:21 95:21 98:12
107:7 113:23
117:22 142:18
146:1 151:6 181:3
191:13 204:12
plural 59:23
```

point 12:2 17:10
33: <i>14</i> 48: <i>1</i> 56: <i>5</i>
74:7 79:22 81:7
95:2, 12, 15 96:8
97:2, <i>4</i> 108:23
148: <i>15</i> 157: <i>1</i> , <i>3</i>
159:2 161:20, 2 <i>1</i>
162:3, 4 167:15
177:6 189: <i>17</i> , <i>18</i>
194:8 196:22
198:7 201:8, 19
pointing 165:2
203:3
points 27:20 69:10,
15 92:16 120:13
161:5 162: <i>16</i> , <i>21</i> ,
22 163:13 197:20
213:9
pollutants 55:9
80:3 81:8 114:14
D-U-4-1 102-19 22
Polluted 192:18, 23
polluting 96:23
pollution 93:15
100:19 130:3
188:10 214:23
216:7
216:7 pond 26:17, 23
216:7 pond 26:17, 23 29:10 50:22
216:7 pond 26:17, 23 29:10 50:22 ponds 35:9 74:12
216:7 pond 26:17, 23 29:10 50:22 ponds 35:9 74:12 89:19 173:17
216:7 pond 26:17, 23 29:10 50:22 ponds 35:9 74:12 89:19 173:17 203:9
216:7 pond 26:17, 23 29:10 50:22 ponds 35:9 74:12 89:19 173:17
216:7 pond 26:17, 23 29:10 50:22 ponds 35:9 74:12 89:19 173:17 203:9 portion 31:19
216:7 pond 26:17, 23 29:10 50:22 ponds 35:9 74:12 89:19 173:17 203:9 portion 31:19 32:16 33:20, 22
216:7 pond 26:17, 23 29:10 50:22 ponds 35:9 74:12 89:19 173:17 203:9 portion 31:19 32:16 33:20, 22 34:1 61:19 84:10,
216:7 pond 26:17, 23 29:10 50:22 ponds 35:9 74:12 89:19 173:17 203:9 portion 31:19 32:16 33:20, 22 34:1 61:19 84:10, 13 110:3 116:9
216:7 pond 26:17, 23 29:10 50:22 ponds 35:9 74:12 89:19 173:17 203:9 portion 31:19 32:16 33:20, 22 34:1 61:19 84:10, 13 110:3 116:9 137:10 226:18
216:7 pond 26:17, 23 29:10 50:22 ponds 35:9 74:12 89:19 173:17 203:9 portion 31:19 32:16 33:20, 22 34:1 61:19 84:10, 13 110:3 116:9 137:10 226:18
216:7 pond 26:17, 23 29:10 50:22 ponds 35:9 74:12 89:19 173:17 203:9 portion 31:19 32:16 33:20, 22 34:1 61:19 84:10, 13 110:3 116:9 137:10 226:18
216:7 pond 26:17, 23 29:10 50:22 ponds 35:9 74:12 89:19 173:17 203:9 portion 31:19 32:16 33:20, 22 34:1 61:19 84:10, 13 110:3 116:9
216:7 pond 26:17, 23 29:10 50:22 ponds 35:9 74:12 89:19 173:17 203:9 portion 31:19 32:16 33:20, 22 34:1 61:19 84:10, 13 110:3 116:9 137:10 226:18 portions 102:1 portray 139:2 position 18:22
216:7 pond 26:17, 23 29:10 50:22 ponds 35:9 74:12 89:19 173:17 203:9 portion 31:19 32:16 33:20, 22 34:1 61:19 84:10, 13 110:3 116:9 137:10 226:18 portions 102:1 portray 139:2 position 18:22 positions 39:15
pond 26:17, 23 29:10 50:22 ponds 35:9 74:12 89:19 173:17 203:9 portion 31:19 32:16 33:20, 22 34:1 61:19 84:10, 13 110:3 116:9 137:10 226:18 portions 102:1 portray 139:2 position 18:22 positions 39:15 possibility 63:20
pond 26:17, 23 29:10 50:22 ponds 35:9 74:12 89:19 173:17 203:9 portion 31:19 32:16 33:20, 22 34:1 61:19 84:10, 13 110:3 116:9 137:10 226:18 portions 102:1 portray 139:2 position 18:22 positions 39:15 possibility 63:20 98:22 197:2
pond 26:17, 23 29:10 50:22 ponds 35:9 74:12 89:19 173:17 203:9 portion 31:19 32:16 33:20, 22 34:1 61:19 84:10, 13 110:3 116:9 137:10 226:18 portions 102:1 portray 139:2 position 18:22 positions 39:15 possibility 63:20 98:22 197:2 possible 90:3
pond 26:17, 23 29:10 50:22 ponds 35:9 74:12 89:19 173:17 203:9 portion 31:19 32:16 33:20, 22 34:1 61:19 84:10, 13 110:3 116:9 137:10 226:18 portions 102:1 portray 139:2 position 18:22 position 18:22 positions 39:15 possibility 63:20 98:22 197:2 possible 90:3 122:12 162:18
pond 26:17, 23 29:10 50:22 ponds 35:9 74:12 89:19 173:17 203:9 portion 31:19 32:16 33:20, 22 34:1 61:19 84:10, 13 110:3 116:9 137:10 226:18 portions 102:1 portray 139:2 position 18:22 positions 39:15 possibility 63:20 98:22 197:2 possible 90:3
pond 26:17, 23 29:10 50:22 ponds 35:9 74:12 89:19 173:17 203:9 portion 31:19 32:16 33:20, 22 34:1 61:19 84:10, 13 110:3 116:9 137:10 226:18 portions 102:1 portray 139:2 position 18:22 position 39:15 possibility 63:20 98:22 197:2 possible 90:3 122:12 162:18 post-law 84:20
pond 26:17, 23 29:10 50:22 ponds 35:9 74:12 89:19 173:17 203:9 portion 31:19 32:16 33:20, 22 34:1 61:19 84:10, 13 110:3 116:9 137:10 226:18 portions 102:1 portray 139:2 position 18:22 positions 39:15 possibility 63:20 98:22 197:2 possible 90:3 122:12 162:18 post-law 84:20 85:3 87:1 88:8
pond 26:17, 23 29:10 50:22 ponds 35:9 74:12 89:19 173:17 203:9 portion 31:19 32:16 33:20, 22 34:1 61:19 84:10, 13 110:3 116:9 137:10 226:18 portions 102:1 portray 139:2 position 18:22 position 18:22 positions 39:15 possibility 63:20 98:22 197:2 possible 90:3 122:12 162:18 post-law 84:20 85:3 87:1 88:8 99:17 113:20
pond 26:17, 23 29:10 50:22 ponds 35:9 74:12 89:19 173:17 203:9 portion 31:19 32:16 33:20, 22 34:1 61:19 84:10, 13 110:3 116:9 137:10 226:18 portions 102:1 portray 139:2 position 18:22 positions 39:15 possibility 63:20 98:22 197:2 possible 90:3 122:12 162:18 post-law 84:20 85:3 87:1 88:8

potential 38:2 47:10 79:12 potentially 97:11 134:16 **power** 31:14 217:17, 18 power-generating 9:19 practical 172:15 practice 55:3 82:12 96:11 179:6, 20, 22 practices 94:11 180:9 **precede** 154:19 preceded 11:20, 21 **preceding** 150:18 153:20 precipitate 207:17 precipitating 207:9 precipitation 80:13 **precise** 16:*18* 24:21 74:20 75:4, **precludes** 169:21 predicate 49:6 predicated 226:6 **Prediction** 184:2 pre-disturbance 96:*1* predominantly 169:3 **preferred** 128:17 172:2 Pre-Komex 41:8 **pre-law** 85:3 87:1 88:7 113:21 169:5, **Preliminary** 31:3 **premise** 107:9, 10 preparation 116:18 220:11 **prepare** 98:19 prepared 26:22 63:8 139:6 preparing 224:3 prescribed 42:23 43:6, 19 136:17 **presence** 167:17

present 18:21 183:20 presented 89:11 205:18 presenting 133:16 170:8, 11, 14 presents 211:1 president 18:23 presumably 136:20 **presume** 143:10 175:15 **prevent** 98:15 100:11 130:2 173:*3* prevented 128:20 preventing 168:15 previous 39:11 76:22 135:6 143:2 156:16 183:8 194:10 previously 8:7, 12 63:22 86:22 109:18 208:3 **price** 32:21 176:9 184:6 primarily 31:20 42:14 44:21 48:9 63:5 100:19 169:6 183:12 195:8 **primary** 144:13, 18 145:20 147:4 principles 226:3 **prior** 3:6 18:15 39:21, 23 55:7 83:15 85:4, 6 148:3, 4 150:10 210:23 private 21:6 **Probably** 24:12 31:21 33:1 60:8 75:*4* 128:2*1* 156:*3* 160:22 218:21 226:17 **problem** 39:19 168:2*1* **Procedure** 3:8 7:5 proceeding 230:5 proceedings 7:14 10:23 53:2 230:12

process 10:14 12:9 44:2, 4, 7, 13, 15, 22 47:23 49:12 57:17 132:14, 15 173:16 183:13 190:20 194:15 198:2 204:23 209:18 224:19 225:13 processing 29:8 77:9 **produce** 13:20 produced 13:3 139:13 152:21 230:7 product 43:7 56:19, 20 155:5 165:12 professional 43:3 176:18 177:14, 19 178:20 179:12 180:9 professionals 179:7 **profile** 141:20 142:23 **program** 42:22 43:3, 5 99:14 124:10, 13 126:20 127:4, 14 174:11 181:12 **programs** 179:*16* progression 147:14 **project** 18:10 25:11 27:15 28:2, 18 29:18 30:3, 7, 23 31:10 33:4 34:8 35:13, 14, 16 47:8 78:12 117:5, 22 129:23 215:15 220:18 **projects** 10:14 22:15 24:15, 18, 22 27:1, 3, 6, 8 32:8 33:1 35:20 37:20 42:4 173:22 **promote** 218:2 promulgated 85:7 pronounce 33:8 212:14 pronouncing 27:22

properly 122:17 130:19 188:6 215:21 **property** 133:4, 13 208:10, 19 224:8 226:11 229:6 **proponent** 136:21 137:17 proponents 33:2 proportion 110:10 166:10, 11, 12 proposal 136:9 137:16 proposed 31:4 128:9 129:10 proposing 127:20 130:16 133:9 215:16 proprietor 19:7 proprietorship 19:4 **protect** 206:12 protective 65:7 **protocol** 131:*10* protruding 199:3 **provide** 20:3, 5 54:13 141:18 176:5, 14 179:14 184:10 190:3 212:4, 17 215:3 **provided** 14:3, 20 15:5 16:*17* 17:*13* 59:12 63:11, 18 75:20 103:8 159:17 168:7 218:14 provides 61:5 154:2 218:7 **providing** 106:20 218:19 **province** 50:14 provinces 44:18 provincially 44:20 48:7 **Public** 2:7 7:2 10:15 publicly 14:23 59:12 63:22 175:19 188:19 **published** 120:*17* 166:14 212:9

Soldon gombon			
pull 172: <i>19</i> 192: <i>3</i>	148:15 154:22, 23	137:8, 10 162:3	76:10, 16 201:13
212:11	155:2 162:18	221:17 226:16, 18	recommend 51:20
	177:16 183:3	reader 62:5	
punitive 12:7			recommendation
purpose 205:20, 22	190:7 191:10	readily 223:20	145:4, 21
purposes 50:1	200:21 211:5	reading 2:15 194:7	recommendations
84:1 151:1	215:18 217:2	reads 42:2 54:12	61:22 120:4
pursuant 7:4 32:1	225:2 226:19	152:17	recommended
put 32:20 65:5	227:4	ready 229:10	172:1
69:19 93:21 98:20	questions 3:1, 2	realize 108:21	recommends 215:15
146:6 163:2	11:12, 19 61:17	really 11:9 53:3	reconstructing
179:15 209:3, 15	136:7 138:11	104:22 170:7	93:22
222:8 226:21	147:12 150:10	188: <i>14</i>	record 39:8 53:20
putting 128:21	221:11 229:14	reason 12:3 36:19,	69:6 80:22 85:23
155: <i>3</i> 224: <i>14</i>	quite 39:22 105:12	20 37:5 52:18	86:9, 20 89:16, 17,
pyrite 183:9	137:6 180:20	132:2 171:23	23 116:13 122:2
pyrites 78:15	quote 50:8	193:21 224:17	126:16 139:12
		reasonable 92:11	140:5, 15 191:13
< Q >	< R >	101:21 161:12	192:5, 8 194:6, 10
Q , 11:20	rags 56:22	185:9 195:10	196:2 202:2
qualification	rain 195:13 196:4	reasonably 37:6	recorded 75:8
148:14 150:16	rainfall 71:9, 10	110:18 112:12	recording 76:4
quality 47:1, 18	166:10	171:16	records 140:20
60:11 67:17 68:13	rains 70:7 195:21	reasoning 223:13	Recovery 114:6, 20
96:18 119:10, 14,	rainy 94:17	reasons 36:13	re-create 95:23
19 121:9 187:19	raised 185:12	92:6 187:7, 8	red 139:2 141:2, 7
190:5 215:1, 5	rapidly 174:4	Rebuttal 6:16	100 10012 11112,7
quantification	rate 116:17 117:1	26:9 60:1 93:5	red@starneslaw.com
76:11	156:1, 7, 8 158:20	98:23 99:3 136:13	5:7
quantifies 182:15	164:21 165:1, 22	148:3 170:22	redo 142:10, 11
quantify 67:20	206:7 216:1, 13, 14,	171:1 191:9, 16	redraft 142:4
quantifying 181:21	18	192:12 205:19	redrafted 142:9
quantities 172:12	rates 206:3, 8	210:22 211:8	reduces 91:8
quantities 172.12 quantity 47:1	ratification 179:14	220:16	reducing 167:2
69:23 162:23	rationale 223:14	recall 23:14 36:1	170:3
Quesnel 33:7, 10	ravel 172:17	81:13 103:9 113:3	reestablish 132:6,
question 10:19	ravelled 109:7	140:19	17
14:6, 7 17:11 18:8	ravelling 195:16, 19	received 15:18	reestablished 135:1
27:12 32:16 38:19,	ravels 99:12	177:10	reestablishing 132:8
19 39:9 49:6 52:7	ravine 195:18	recheck 213:11	refer 43:8 45:14
58:3 62:14 65:18	196:15	reclaiming 54:23	48:20 56:16 70:4
79:23 81:9 90:8,	reach 115:6	55:1	81:19 120:12
10 102:18 103:15	reached 227:13	reclamation 23:16	135:6
104:22 105:8, 12	228:4	33:5 46:10, 11	reference 13:22
107:10, 11, 14	= :	,	26:15 28:4 50:19
	reaching 222:19	95:4, 15, 22 98:7 176:10	63:1 82:7 105:10
114:22 115:11, 11,	reactivated 33:16, 17		
16 116:6 119:3, 5	read 8:1 39:10	recognize 133:15	120:6, 9 123:16 140:14 153:11
123:18 125:20		161:13 162:17	
127:16 131:13	50:19 54:18 59:2	169:16 170:16	159:5 174:10, 12,
135:3 140:12	61:14, 19 103:1, 3,	recollection 75:10	<i>15</i> 175:22 176:9
146:13 147:17, 23	6 116:9 127:8		

183:23 204:14 211:22 213:8 **referenced** 14:2, 11 59:22 61:8 62:4, 6 64:1 75:2 113:1 120:20 121:5, 10, 11 references 14:15, 22 15:8 27:21 28:7 63:7 124:9 174:8 211:2*1* referencing 150:22 **referred** 45:1 57:9 84:20 99:17 111:18 113:21 118:11 172:11 180:8 referring 56:6 63:4, 5 84:14 **reflect** 63:7 205:4 reflected 62:18 98:5 124:21 125:12, 19, 22 126:4 146:3 154:21 191:4 211:7 219:6 228:7 reflection 85:16 reflects 92:8 **refuse** 79:18 regard 17:8 34:16 59:20 67:21, 23 110:7 111:10 112:21 118:14 128:7 144:3 153:3 190:1 205:11 regarding 34:9 54:14 64:13 88:4 120:1 150:16 regardless 90:16 **regions** 34:21 registered 176:21 177:2 regrading 173:7 regulated 44:20 48:8 52:12 134:10 regulations 42:23 48:19 174:12 regulator 49:21

regulators 89:11, *12* 128:*17* 133:*11*, *16* 134:3, 6 regulatory 10:14 50:17 52:2 65:2 86:4, 10 89:3 129:1 133:3, 15, 18 136:15, 19 137:3, *21* 211:23 218:*13* reintroduce 132:23 **relate** 150:11 related 28:9 50:22 78:21 147:16 **relating** 2:19 16:8 51:6 **relation** 215:20 225:5 **relative** 34:23 119:9 120:16 215:9 relatively 82:20 113:9, 10 130:23 167:4 199:7 201:20 229:7 release 28:20 29:3 95:10 153:4 165:20 released 97:1 123:10 153:12 154:3, 7 157:11 releases 110:7 **relevant** 39:18 64:19 119:10, 13, 18 188:14 215:2, 3 228:1 reliable 75:5 reliance 68:1 **relied** 14:10 59:22 60:22 64:14 67:14 68:4, 11 119:15 144:19 **relying** 194:18 remain 32:10 remainder 219:4 **remained** 183:21 remains 94:7 remedial 28:19 30:18

remediation 64:18 175:23 225:6, 7, 16 remember 18:9 58:8 removal 127:4, 14 128:1, 16 129:3 172:1 174:3 **remove** 173:*1* 209:15 214:23 removed 33:1 94:8 96:13 122:5 **removing** 136:*3* 171:*17* **repairs** 29:20 repeat 61:12, 20 116:5 188:7 repeated 116:3 rephrase 17:10 replicates 102:5 replicating 90:17 **replied** 205:1 **reply** 204:22 report 6:14, 16 13:22 14:4, 11 26:4, 10 53:11, 23 56:5 60:5, 6, 12, 15, 18 62:4, 7, 19, 20 67:14 68:1, 4 76:1, 2 84:6, 17 87:19 90:14, 23 91:13 93:5 98:23 99:3 106:17, 18 114:1 116:16, 17 118:10 120:14, 21 121:10 125:13 126:5 127:15 130:20 133:14 136:1, 12, 14, 18 138:9, 19 139:7, 17 141:6 142:22 143:6 144:11 145:15, 18, 20 146:7 147:22 148:3, 16, 17 149:6, 9, 22 150:2, 14, 16, *17*, *23* 151:*1*, *12*, *13*, 19. 20 154:21 156:17 164:22 167:12 170:19, 21 171:2, 6, 7 174:19 177:9 178:23

181:18 184:17 185:2 187:2*1* 190:9 191:9, 17 192:12 194:11, 12 200:4 205:1, 19, 21 210:22, 23 211:8, 11 212:1 214:21 220:16, 16 221:18 223:22 reported 143:5 186:10, 12 194:11 204:21 **Reporter** 2:6 3:15 7:2, 21 11:13 reports 14:2, 13, 16 59:23 61:9 64:2 98:5 121:6, 11, 13 134:1 141:23 220:13, 15 222:14 223:15 224:3 228:7 229:4 represent 8:9 53:1 164:2 Representation 50:18 53:6 161:22 Representation. 52:2 represented 52:8 Represented, 52:4 representing 52:10 146:17 represents 230:10 reproduction 138:18 request 12:23 requested 13:14, 15 61:19 116:9 137:10 180:11 226:18 **require** 50:6 52:23 93:13 130:20 227:1 required 48:18 98:18, 20 135:23 177:11, 20, 21 178:8, 14 179:17 226:2 requirement 123:7 134:9 178:13

remediating 55:2

requirements 46:10
48:21 226:13
requires 216:6
225:3
residue 58:18 97:6
resistance 168:11
resistant 132:18
Resource 114:5, 20
resources 45:16
respective 2:4
response 28:20
30: <i>19</i>
responsible 51:22
130: <i>16</i> 222: <i>7</i> , <i>12</i> 225: <i>23</i> 226: <i>7</i>
responsibly 55:9
restoration 25:20
26:3 51:17, 22
54:15, 21, 22 55:3
60:8 64:13, 18
69:3 90:20 91:3, 6
99:14 120:3 127:7,
20 128:19 129:9
130:1, 15 137:18
145:4, 22 157:5
170:6 174:3 188:9,
170.0 174.3 188.9, 12, 15 206:2
214:20, 22
restore 65:6 90:17
172:21 206:11
restored 132:4, 4, 5
restoring 55:4
171: <i>10</i>
result 11:18
105:23 131:19
154: <i>17</i> 165: <i>16</i>
217:4, 5 230:17
217:4, 5 230:17 resulted 196:10
results 124:9
148:5, 10, 11
151:10, 16 152:1, 7,
18 153:1 155:20
157:23 214:11, 20
resumé 15: <i>16</i> 40:9 retained 3: <i>14</i> 9: <i>20</i>
10:8 35:5 54:13
133:6
retarding 167:10
revegetation 132:12
-

review 60:19 76:15 86:19 211:15 227:13 **Review,** 176:1 reviewed 60:3 61:8 65:13 83:18 86:9 89:8 220:13, 15 **reviewing** 115:1, 3 reviews 13:7 42:7 181:7 191:2*1* 213:14 **Richard** 3:9 5:3 8:8 **ridge** 172:13 206:18 **right** 9:2, 8, 12 10:7, 18 12:11 14:12, 18 15:8, 10, *12* 16:5, *13* 17:7 18:13, 21 19:1, 7, 23 20:6, 10, 10, 16 21:1, 16 25:3, 15, 23 26:11, 13, 19 28:13, 16 29:17 31:2, 7, 9, 18 33:3 34:7 35:11 36:2, 4, 9 37:17 40:1, 3 41:23 44:13 45:11 46:13 47:3, 22 48:16 49:23 51:3, 23 53:9, 15 54:3, 8, 20 55:16 56:4, 11 58:20 59:6, 14, 16, 20 61:1 63:19 64:4 66:16, 19, 23 67:1, 23 69:7, 14 70:5 72:14 75:5 76:21 77:1, 21 78:1 79:7, 21 82:2 83:8 85:11 86:23 88:11, 22 89:1, 22 91:4, 12, 18 92:3, 15, 19 93:2, 8, 18 94:9 95:14 96:8 100:2 101:5 104:13 105:12, 21 107:17 110:2, 13 111:17 113:22 114:2, 6, 18, 23

116:15, 18, 23 117:8, 13, 21 118:14 119:1, 11, *17* 120:5, *21*, *23* 121:17, 22 122:1, *15*, 22 123:*15* 125:6, 6 126:23 127:2, 10 132:9 134:11 137:17, 23 140:1 141:11 142:17, 17 143:23 144:2 147:6, 11 148:19 149:10, 14 150:4, 6 151:5, 8 152:22 153:8 154:2 156:11 157:8, 19, 22 158:4, *14*, *16*, *23* 159:2 160:4, 5, 12, 23 161:23 162:1, 5 163:11, 23 164:7, *10* 166:*1* 169:*15* 170:4 171:4 174:14 175:1, 8, 18 176:15 178:8, 21 180:21 183:23 184:22 185:4 187:10 190:14 192:17, 21 194:22 198:11 200:5, 14 202:19, 20, 22 204:7, 11, 12 205:10 208:5, 11 210:18 211:20 214:2 217:2 220:*1* 221:13 222:15 223:18 225:18 227:10, 12 228:23 229:2, 9 risk 224:7, 12, 13, 18, 22 225:4, 12 226:4 risks 224:23 **ritual** 179:3 **River** 29:16 33:7, 10 73:7 99:12 102:16 104:5 106:17 108:5 111:17, 19 115:5 156:22 172:19

186:*13* 198:*1* 207:21 210:10, 13 214:7, 9 215:14 216:2*1* river-based 101:9 152:8 RIVERKEEPER 1:9 4:14 8:11 13:11 15:19 51:4, 13 59:11 63:3, 12 112:23 114:4 115:9 117:17 128:11, 13 129:12, 22 130:5, 8, 12, 14 135:4 140:21 Riverkeeper's 75:22 rivers 209:21 210:1, 2 **robust** 169:23 193:20 rock 19:19 25:21 34:11 35:1, 4 55:22 56:1, 2 57:4, 10, 11, 20, 20, 21, 23 58:1, 16 77:19, 19, 22 78:10, 16, 17, 19 79:1 80:11, 15 81:2, 20 82:18, 19 97:6, 16 103:22 122:3 183:5, 16 184:1 195:16 208:8 209:2, 4, 21 215:22, 22 221:8 rocks 82:13 **rocky** 207:18 **role** 51:11, 15 root 82:6, 11 183:11 **roots** 199:3, 5 **RPR** 230:20 rules 2:19 3:8 7:5 12:22 48:12 123:2, 3, 15, 17, 21 124:3 187:22 226:23 run 149:12 running 99:6, 7 200:11 209:12 runoff 94:2 195:9 rust 207:7, 17

208:2 rusty 193:11 < S > **safe** 97:3, 9 safely 65:5 **sample** 67:7, 7, 8 75:12 124:22 182:13 184:14, 15 185:9, 19 186:11, *12* 194:7 sampled 71:22 102:8 104:4 159:6 185:7 193:15 samples 125:12, 21 149:13 181:11, 13, *23* 182:7 183:*3*, *8*, 10 185:22 186:5, 8 190:13, 23 sampling 59:8 65:13, 15 76:17 100:15 102:7 105:1, 6, 9 106:1 107:1 109:22 115:4 124:9, 15, 18, 19, 20 125:9, 10 126:6, 19 148:23 149:2 211:9 213:8 214:4, 6, 10 samplings 125:17, 18 **Sands** 28:2, 5, 14 sandy 159:12, 14, *23* 160:*1* **satisfy** 181:1 **Saudi** 25:10 saw 71:7, 17 113:4 144:16 145:12 159:20 184:16 saying 11:17 74:7 83:3, 11 89:12 109:14, 15 111:12 149:5 203:17, 22 210:3 says 42:20 51:3 141:12 202:21 210:23 212:8 scale 131:3 162:20 163:23 164:5

scenario 49:2 scene 199:17 schematically 140:6 **School** 176:2 **Science** 212:10, 19 scientific 189:11 **SCLC** 128:*14* **scope** 14:19 88:21 215:15 **se** 37:6 222:5 **Second** 4:8 16:7 42:10 58:20 68:22 79:22 80:17 118:1, 2 124:7, 8 127:3 146:16 192:6 202:1 206:5 **section** 54:11 91:14 124:6 127:1, *3* 142:*1*, 21 144:*4*, 9 145:18, 19 146:4 147:10 148:22 149:1, 16, 17 150:7, 8, 19, 20 152:13 153:10 154:21 156:16 162:9 166:2, 22 170:5 174:8, 9 176:10, 16 210:21 211:21 **sections** 147:*13* sediment 94:1 95:3 101:23 106:5 158:2 185:19 **Sedimentation** 35:9 88:16 89:19 93:23 158:*13* 159:*11* 160:16 173:17 203:9 **sediments** 118:*18* see 13:13 19:18 38:8, 13 39:19 42:12 56:9 61:6 85:20 86:2 98:16 99:13 100:14, 15 108:18 109:2, 15 110:22 112:6, 10 113:2 118:22 122:8 136:6 145:12 151:19 153:14, 18 154:4

189:21 192:1, 17 195:3, 6, 14 196:15, 16 197:13 198:8 199:2 203:13 204:6 207:6 212:8 226:4, 22 227:2 **seeing** 38:*3* 74:*13* 193:5, 12 206:7 215:21 seeking 53:3 seen 12:13 13:5 66:7 89:23 129:8 151:11 185:16 200:2 207:12 216:2 **seepage** 99:23 110:12, 18, 22 111:1, 7, 11, 23 112:12 113:1, 2, 5 **seepages** 145:11 seeping 72:6 81:14, 20 110:10 111:4 112:7, 19 seeps 100:22 166:10 segment 162:7 163:15 **SELC** 54:13 59:11 63:3, 11 130:5 140:22 220:19 **select** 163:4 selected 75:12 76:18 163:7 selection 86:14 selenium 204:18, 18 205:7, 8 self-reporting 179:23 **semifluid** 29:9, 12 **send** 182:7 sense 49:7 62:21, 22 65:21 66:7 123:3 128:4 171:2 200:21 sent 182:18 sentence 16:7 52:17 54:12 82:4 118:2 119:8 120:7 121:18 122:15

124:8 127:3 152:17 165:5, 8 separated 55:22 separately 85:15 series 147:*12* **services** 16:*17* 17:13 24:10 218:2, 13, 14, 19 219:14 **session** 148:*4* set 12:21 26:3 48:20 92:17 149:17 158:16 **settled** 158:13, 23 **settlement** 196:21 settling 196:8 **Seventh** 2:9 5:5 7:9 **severe** 66:*3* **shales** 78:14 shallow-rooted 168:5, 10 **shalt** 89:*13* **shape** 210:10, 16 218:20 **share** 20:14 221:14 **shared** 140:21 **short** 37:7 184:21 217:16 **show** 37:2 138:22 **showed** 57:8 139:13 140:5 **showing** 38:14 shown 124:23 **shows** 101:7, 12 112:14 167:12 **shrubs** 168:5 **side** 99:10 172:13 201:18 sides 116:1 168:2 **sign** 8:1 signature 2:14 102:6 176:18 significance 141:16 142:20 146:18 214:18 **significant** 71:9, *10* 98:17 131:19 146:5 168:18 170:2 172:11 186:1 195:14

255

165:7 169:23

001 0011 0011110011
207:23 209:14
213:6 215:11
signs 81:21
similar 44:4, 7, 10
48:14 49:13 55:1
76:7 77:17, 18
79:1 95:23 99:15
100:21 114:21
100.21 114.21 125:12 147:12
149:11 150:10
151:10 166:3, 7
169: <i>11</i> 171: <i>18</i>
177:20 178:1, 7
179:16 196:18
204:3 210:16, 22
214:11
similarities 214:16
similarity 207:12
similarly 89:1
simple 155:21
Simply 8:23 12:19
15:6 37:23 75:6
141: <i>18</i> 169: <i>19</i>
200:3 202:12
211:5
single 166:18
sit 45:12 228:23
site 18:19 28:11
50:9 54:17 59:4, 6
65:19 67:5, 21
69:21 71:15, 23
72:1, 10, 17 80:10
87:2 88:6 90:17
91:6 92:20 106:20
108:6, <i>17</i> 115:2, <i>3</i> ,
<i>6</i> 117:2, <i>16</i> 118: <i>10</i>
123:6, <i>12</i> , <i>13</i>
128:22 136:2
144:4, 6, 10, 23
145: <i>1</i> 2 167: <i>1</i>
169:16 185:7, 10
190:4 193:4 194:4
196: <i>4</i> 202: <i>10</i>
208:10, 14, 17
214:17 222:4
225:20, 21 227:19
Site , 54:16
sites 55:2 71:19
76:22 77:3, 13, 16,
17 78:21 213:8

214:5, 7, 10 225:6, 17 **sitting** 134:21 204:5, 8, 9 situation 48:14 53:5 169:11 187:17 209:10 216:6 situations 79:17 189:6 six 30:11 31:21 sixteen 185:8, 23 size 50:3, 4, 6 102:11 168:2 **skills** 23:21 **Slater** 20:20 75:17 76:4 **Slater's** 75:20 **slope** 70:21 99:10 172:18 173:7 **slopes** 35:4 73:19 87:10 195:17 **small** 70:15 84:10, *12* 215:8 smaller 111:7 213:16 **smooth** 200:10, 13 **SNC** 25:9 **SNC-Lavalin** 31:*1* **social** 45:17 47:5 software 224:5 **soil** 74:11 97:6 115:5 124:18 125:11, 17, 21 185:10 207:15, 16 208:8 209:21 soils 46:7 94:13 113:15 185:17 186:*14* **sole** 19:4, 7 130:6 **solely** 190:9 **Solid** 174:11 **solution** 193:6, 10 207:9 somebody 23:2 **some-odd** 45:22 somewhat 184:5 **Sorry** 36:23 68:19 91:21 107:22

126:11, 14 156:7 164:19 165:6 223:6 226:14 sort 32:3 35:10 73:18 132:23 154:16 163:2 219:17 224:21 sorts 17:5 78:14 102:12 195:20 **sound** 19:*12* 197:10 198:22 **sounds** 95:4 **source** 48:*1* 73:*10* 95:9 102:15 103:10 121:20 140:8 188:16, 20 **South** 4:8, 15 **SOUTHERN** 1:3 4:7 51:5 **spaces** 196:20 **span** 38:15 41:6 **Sparwood** 34:14 **speak** 11:15 104:12 109:8 182:2 speaking 44:3 78:7 119:7 186:19 189:13 196:13 speaks 59:2 102:21 122:16 specialized 224:5 **species** 132:23 169:18 **specific** 43:2 62:6 76:5 119:5, 22 120:1, 2 199:18 208:10 224:19 225:3 228:13 specifically 60:18 105:9 110:6 118:3 120:13, 14, 21 149:22 152:16 168:12 186:20 189:7 224:21 **speculation** 92:9, 14 **speeds** 24:*1* **spend** 135:20 179:2 spending 38:3

spent 59:6 117:15 220:17 spillway 210:4 **spins** 178:4 **Springer** 212:*19* **square** 160:7 164:18, 19 165:3 stability 26:16 35:*3* stabilization 99:4 **stabilize** 98:*15* 130:22 stabilized 87:11 130:2*1* **staff** 219:9 stage 32:6 80:23 stakeholders 47:12 **standard** 186:20 187:10, 12, 13, 15 standards 43:4 119:10, 14, 19 186:23 189:6 **Starnes** 2:8 5:4 7:8 **start** 127:16 157:19 177:16 189:18 227:16 started 95:5 **State** 2:7 7:2 91:20 102:14 179:6 226:13 230:2, 22 **stated** 60:22 87:19 99:3 129:7 133:14 190:16 191:6 224:1 statement 44:2 80:3 81:10 82:8, 9 105:*4* 107:*13* 127:18 150:1 197:8 statements 150:22 **STATES** 1:1 11:1, 6 16:22 24:15 25:1, 4 42:6, 17 43:20, 23 48:15 49:8 114:16 128:23 177:3, 22 178:*3*

119:3, 22 123:17

001 0011 0011110011
statewide 85:10
statute 43:21
statute 43.21 stay 210:14
stay 210.14 stayed 31:1
stayed 51:1 staying 116:10
steel 31:15
steep 73:19 99:10 172:15 195:16
200:10, 14
stenographic 230:6 step 131:19 133:15
steps 225:4
STIPULATED 2:2,
13, 21 stipulation 7:6
stipulation 7:6
stipulations 7:22 stop 106:9 188: <i>16</i>
216:7, 22
stopped 216:10
stored 43:9
storm 99:22
storms 71:14
166: <i>17</i>
straightforward
128:3
strata 208:20
strategies 129:9
strategy 120:3
128:19 130:15
137: <i>19</i> 188: <i>15</i>
stream 88:16
118: <i>11</i> 187: <i>14</i>
Street 4:15 225:1
stress 207:19
strike 127:16
striking 69:18, 22
structures 106:8
study 43:18
stuff 85:22
subject 63:8
137:20
subjective 49:19, 22
submission 136:19
submit 136:10, 12
submits 180:11
submitted 54:1
subsamples 182:6
subsequent 72:11
109:21 148:2
170:22
·

```
subsequently
106:23
subsidence 202:11,
18
substance 182:16
substances 104:1
181:22, 23 182:3, 4,
11, 13
substantiating
137:15
substantive 15:20
16:3
substantive, 15:22
sued 220:8, 8
sufficient 98:10
127:5
suggest 224:7
suggested 81:1
suggesting 152:3
175:4
suitable 23:4
Suite 4:8 60:13
149:12
sulfide 78:22 82:5,
10, 23 183:10
sulfides 82:17
sulfur 78:22, 23
Sulkin 220:20
Sulkin's 194:12
summarize 59:1
summarizes 42:13
144:13
Summary 54:11
58:21 91:14 218:7
super 94:17
supervision 16:10
230:9
supplement 26:8
support 31:4 51:4,
7 52:11 78:12
135:4 143:3 223:2
supported 72:10
107:1 112:13
146:20 147:2
171:7 223:8
supporting 223:11
supportive 115:7
suppose 52:9 57:22
supposed 12:5
```

```
Sure 7:23 13:1
15:3 21:19 34:5
42:19 45:22 53:13
63:14, 20 70:5
99:5 105:1 109:13
116:7 119:5
125:16, 16 127:12
131:10 134:4, 7
153:17 163:20
164:9 170:17
174:20 175:10
192:3, 7 194:16
202:4 213:11, 12
227:7
Surface 46:17, 23
71:21 72:1 80:4
81:12, 22 84:11
94:6 95:4, 16
96:10, 14 98:15, 19
99:5 100:22
101:16, 18 107:19,
22 108:10, 16, 18
109:9 110:3, 15
111:6 112:16
113:8 115:6 118:4
125:8 130:20
132:17 135:5
150:9, 11, 20
157:16 160:14
161:9, 10 166:4, 8,
16 168:10, 22
173:17, 20 193:7
200:9, 10, 13
202:12, 18 209:16,
17
surfing 135:20
surrounding 65:8
95:17 226:5
Survey 151:10, 17
152:8 164:12
175:17
surveys 158:8
susceptible 81:3
82:15 96:15 183:5
195:22
suspect 134:20
suspended 33:16,
16 118:18
SW-1 213:8
```

SW-15 213:9 **sworn** 7:18 11:10 symptomology 79:2 **system** 20:1 systems 35:6 <T> **Table** 204:14, 16 205:12 **tailing** 16:11 tailings 28:21 29:4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 15 take 12:2 13:4 24:7 53:12, 18 68:21 75:14, 16 132:11 138:1 164:20 174:21 180:23 182:6 184:20 196:7 209:1 217:15 219:2 221:17 taken 2:5 3:11 53:14 89:2 91:16 116:14 125:18, 22 126:6 138:4 141:22 184:23 193:3 194:3, 8, 21 199:19, 20 203:15 225:4 229:12 230:5 takes 132:16 196:1 200:17 talk 26:8 50:20 64:5 104:23 171:12 220:20 221:2, 17, 19 talked 79:9 152:10 181:18 220:2 227:17, 21 228:5 talking 57:3 80:2 83:10 84:22 97:5 104:1 111:14, 15 112:3 161:14 184:6 197:*1* 213:10 224:22, 23 tap 188:19 tasked 35:2 tasks 67:6, 10 173:6

tax 52:22 **TDS** 119:8 158:4 team 20:13, 21 technical 40:19 51:9 52:10 179:4 technicalities 49:10 tell 22:23 31:9 34:15 39:14 69:15 73:8 83:5 86:13 90:11 105:15 107:20 142:19 148:20 181:3 193:18 198:6, 12, 13 205:12 206:21 221:7, 23 226:15 telling 38:8 telltale 81:16, 21 ten 17:15 18:5 77:6, 11 124:22 tend 221:8 tendency 94:12 tends 113:11 tens 70:12 71:11 73:4, 4, 12 74:8, 17 **tepee** 204:5, 6 206:20, 23 term 55:17 56:9, *13* 74:*16* 103:*13* 134:6, 23 197:18 223:5 224:17 terms 65:2 87:4, 5 96:17 103:18, 19 162:15 168:22 171:*12* 174:*1* 181:20 182:3 191:*4* terrestrial 45:14 46:6 testified 7:19 10:22 11:3 102:14, 23 104:17 117:9 testifying 8:23 11:5 103:10 **TESTIMONY** 1:18 3:11 9:3, 5 11:10 16:*1* 48:7 102:*1*9. 21 104:9, 19, 20 131:4 220:11, 21 testing 153:6 182:1

tests 60:11, 13 text 211:1 texture 207:18 **Thank** 7:21 15:11 76:19 99:19 104:10 107:5, 16 126:15, 17 137:11 141:11 148:13 155:14 164:19 176:7 213:4 **Thanks** 116:11 thereto 3:6 **thermal** 29:1 31:14 thing 13:16 23:6 32:3 35:10 49:14 69:20 133:1 141:5, 6 154:16 183:21 218:15 224:21 things 24:2 43:2 46:5, 20 56:23 58:22 62:7, 9 64:7 65:11 67:9 71:16 72:19 75:2 86:21 90:6, 23 94:18 95:1 102:12 144:16 168:9, 12 171:12 172:9 173:14, 21 179:2 180:3 185:3 200:8 207:17 213:16, 19 217:13, 14 223:19 think 14:5 23:14, 22 24:4 32:15, 23 37:10 40:7 48:14 62:5 65:17, 19 68:23 76:8 83:6 89:16, 17 93:19 97:9 100:9 104:18 105:19 107:12 113:7 114:16 115:10, 12 125:3 126:10 133:8 134:18 136:20 139:8 140:3 145:2 146:9 148:14 153:15 155:1.2 161:11 165:1 166:23 167:11 175:8 177:13 178:16, 19 189:15,

22 195:22 197:10 200:23 201:14 214:19 215:13 216:18, 21 218:6, 12 222:5, 9 227:6 228:14 229:10 thinking 58:2 **third** 28:6 81:7 95:15 121:17 174:10 **Thompson** 23:10 thoroughness 13:1 thou 89:12 thought 23:3 123:19 131:14 **thousand** 71:*13* 199:23 thousands 71:11 73:4, 5 74:8 three 27:20 28:8 30:11 39:1 69:10, 15 70:2 73:12, 22 81:13 100:4 101:4 112:15 132:16 182:2*3* 185:*14* 191:18 threshold 187:5 **throat** 68:20 tickle 68:19 time 3:4, 5 22:6 24:13 29:22 32:7 33:2, 19 35:12, 19, 23 36:6, 13 38:3 39:20 40:4 41:6, 9, 19 67:6, 11 68:21 72:1 88:9, 18 94:6, 8 96:12 106:19 131:21, 23 132:2 135:14, 20 147:21 149:5 153:4 171:22 180:2 193:4 198:7 199:18 200:19 201:19 219:13, 13 230:13 time-consuming 200:1 times 39:1 154:11 156:19 175:3

titled 125:7 136:13 144:6 151:8 170:6 today 11:6 23:13 39:18 45:12 50:21 220:12 228:6, 12, 23 toe 216:23 **told** 102:16 108:6 123:11 **tomorrow** 106:10 tons 74:9 top 152:15 157:19 195:17 216:23 **topo** 161:19 162:1, 3 163:20 topographic 140:4 160:19 161:2, 17 topography 157:16 **topsoil** 173:*13* total 17:14 117:16 205:2, 5, 7 214:11 totals 182:14 touched 170:16 **toxicity** 224:20 toxicological 224:12 trace 203:14 track 213:1 training 71:2 **traits** 80:15 transcript 3:10 11:19 103:1, 2 213:2 230:7, 11 transmitted 155:6 transport 66:9 93:15 101:23 transported 71:8 94:13 100:9 173:4 197:23 transporting 106:11 traveling 71:2 traversable 69:21 traversed 69:21 treat 96:22 173:18 **treated** 85:14 187:10 treatises 13:15 treatment 94:5 96:9 97:21 188:20 **tree** 167:18 169:17

trees 70:18 75:3 98:18 136:4 166:23 167:9, 10, *13*, *23*, *23* 168:*13*, *17* 197:*15* 199:2, 8 **trial** 3:4 9:3 10:11 117:9 **trials** 9:9 11:4 116:2*1* **Tributary** 55:4, 11 66:11 70:14 81:18 87:15 88:17 99:6 100:17 101:17 102:2 108:18, 20, 22 109:3, 6 111:3, 5, 9 112:23 159:8, 9 173:2 207:1 216:8, 15 217:6 tried 45:22 60:5 145:19 200:3 201:2. 3 trigger 49:12, 13 50:10 **triggers** 49:16 50:13 **true** 98:11 143:22 152:7 177:14 205:3 230:11 try 37:6 49:5 61:16 79:16 105:16 140:12 194:2 200:2 226:20 trying 37:23 38:6 39:2 52:20 61:2 62:12 67:19 83:4 105:1 108:1, 9 111:13 125:15 135:17 136:1, 7 139:18 161:14 188:15 200:5 213:5 214:22 **tumble** 167:15 **tumbled** 199:10 **tumbling** 197:15 203:15 turn 50:15 88:12 101:6 121:12 149:15 225:22

twenty 16:19 17:9 45:21 twice 38:20 39:6 **two** 15:6 17:3, 6 18:20 20:23 26:7 27:1, 3, 7, 14 31:13 32:5 37:16 46:1 48:22 59:5 67:13 72:22 78:16 81:13, 17 85:14 93:1, 7, 8 94:10 95:12 97:11, 23 111:14 112:15 129:3 137:13 155:11 161:5 162:21, 22 163:13 170:20 171:5, 20 173:6, 13 180:13 186:6 214:19 215:10 228:7 twofold 80:7 two-year 36:5, 10 type 11:16 12:20 41:18, 20 172:2 218:15 **typed** 11:19 types 31:13 39:17 65:4 70:2 typically 46:23 47:8 128:16 132:16, 21 < U > **U.S** 23:19 52:19 82:15 168:8 177:15 179:8 ultimate 97:4 224:10 226:4 ultimately 172:21 202:17 225:7 **unabated** 100:10 101:8 105:5, 7, 23 106:15 **uncapped** 167:20 underground 208:16 undergrowth 169:18

underlies 105:2 208:18 underlying 158:2 211:8 223:11 undermine 198:9 223:3 understand 19:3. 23 20:7 26:6 29:10 38:5, 7, 11 39:3 42:19 44:12 46:2 48:6 53:7 55:20 57:2, 19 61:3, 23 62:1, 5, 13 63:16, 21 74:6 78:1 82:4 83:7, 9, 11, 17 85:1, 21 86:16 91:12 98:4 104:10 105:2 109:14 111:11, 13 114:3, 7, 19 115:8, *12, 13* 116:*16* 121:16, 18 123:18 125:16 127:10, 12 131:16 135:18 136:1, 6, 8 139:3 140:8, 16 150:21 152:3 154:23 155:*1* 161:*15*, *17* 166:2 170:17 177:13 178:1 179:9 188:17 194:17 198:11 200:6, 7 201:3 216:12 226:19 understanding 34:2 50:2 58:4 76:3 85:2, 4 111:15 114:9, 11, *13* 123:*1* 129:*11*, 17, 20 177:18 179:5 187:*1* understood 14:5 116:8 **undertake** 136:16 undertaking 174:22 **unique** 37:8 **unit** 143:4 154:14 **UNITED** 1:*1* 10:23 11:6 16:22 24:15 25:1, 4 42:5,

17 43:20, 22 48:*15* 49:8 114:15 128:23 177:3, 22 178:*3* **units** 179:13 universe 62:2 University 40:20 41:10 176:1 **updated** 93:*3* **updates** 180:10 **uplands** 203:12 upstream 109:5 111:8 112:22 120:13 185:19 210:10 214:5, 16 **USA** 10:20 17:20 use 49:21 56:12 74:16 92:13 103:19 134:22 143:17 146:9, 11 165:21 166:13 172:3 191:2 201:8 224:4 **useful** 168:14 users 47:11 **USGS** 140:9, 10, 16 141:10 Usual 7:22 52:23 < V > **valid** 189:*11* validate 127:5, 13, 18 validated 127:14 Valley 19:20 31:5 value 73:15 205:14 228:16, 21 values 205:4, 5, 18 variability 200:18 variable 200:20 varies 50:12 various 60:10 86:4 111:19 124:16 225:6 227:14 vast 195:23 vegetation 46:8 132:6, 8, 17, 20, 22 168:5, 11, 23 170:2 193:19, 23 207:19,

underlie 222:22

underlie, 223:2

20, 22 208:1

Case 2:16-cv-01443-AKK Document 55-2 Filed 08/15/18 Page 89 of 90 **Gordon Johnson 260**

4.4. 01.5.7	1 11 • 74 1	1 22 200 4 215 22	05.0.101.4
vegetative 91:5, 7	walking 74:1	23 208:4 215:22,	ways 95:8 101:4
122:6, 8	walks 166:2	22 216:20 217:7,	174:18
velocities 24:1	want 12:2, 8 42:18	10	we, 136:10
205:23 206:3	70:4 96:3 109:13	watching 198:5	Web 15:2, 7
velocity 200:12	112:3 116:7	water 24:1 29:8,	website 135:13
210:8	123:18 125:16	15 32:4 35:6	217:22 218:1, 7
verified 107:2	127:11 132:22	45:16 46:15, 17, 23	websites 135:21
version 204:14	170:17 174:15, 19	57:5, 6, 12, 16, 18,	welcome 39:9
213:20	175:9 184:20	20, 22 60:10 67:7,	well 13:11 16:18
versus 24:23 88:7	194:14, 16 210:5	17 68:13 70:7	23:1 32:21 35:17
viability 127:6	218:16	71:21 72:2 80:4,	39:10 44:22 45:9
viable 97:12	wanted 34:8 147:7	12, 13 81:12, 22	48:12 56:16 57:8
127:2 <i>1</i> , 22 128:5	161:20	87:20 94:6, <i>14</i>	62:1 65:20 80:21
view 85:12 131:18	wants 115:18	96:10, 13, 15, 17, 19	84:16 100:19
133:19 151:9	WARRIOR 1:9	97:7, 8, 21 99:22	103:21 107:12
167: <i>3</i> 172: <i>15</i>	4:14 8:10 75:21	100:20, 22 107:19,	108: <i>1</i> 128: <i>1</i>
190: <i>3</i> 215: <i>19</i>	108:4 128:10	22 108:5, 10, 16, 18	133:14 134:15
222:11 225:22	129:12, 21 130:8,	109:1, 2, 10, 16	135:6 136:18
vintage 140: <i>17</i>	12 135:3 214:9	110:3, 10, 15, 20	157:13 166:18
violation 190:5	washed 57:21	111:6 112:7, 11	167:7 171:2 <i>1</i>
visit 59:4 65:23	washer 57:10, 11,	114:5, 11 115:5, 6	173:7 175:2, 6
115:3 117:2, 16	19, 23	118:4 119:8, 10, 13,	187: <i>11</i> 189:2 <i>1</i>
193:4 194:4	waste 16:12 23:16	<i>18</i> 120: <i>12</i> 121:9	203:6 213:22
visual 75:9 76:6	25:21 34:11, 21	122:5 125:9	216:13 217:13
109: <i>16</i> 110: <i>1</i>	35:1 55:21 56:7,	133:21 150:9, 12,	223:4
198: <i>13</i> 200: <i>7</i>	13, 14, 16, 19, 19	20 154:11, 12	went 165:13
207:11 211:9	57:7, 12, 13, 18	155:6, 9 157:17	we're 11:16 13:18
visually 72:16	58:4 65:6 67:8	166: <i>4</i> , <i>8</i> , <i>16</i> 173: <i>17</i>	56:12 57:3 79:15
203:10	70:23 73:5 77:18	186:21, 23 187:4, 5,	88: <i>12</i> 97: <i>5</i> 104: <i>1</i>
vitae 6:13 15:20	81:2, 20 82:6	10, 12, 15, 16, 19	107:20 111:14
volcano 198:6, 12,	85:17 87:11, 11	189:5, 7 190: <i>4</i>	138:14 154:19
15	96:12, 14 97:3, 5,	192:19, 22 193:8,	162:12 173:2
volume 35:1 73:8	10 99:7, 8, 9 100:7,	14, 15, 18, 21, 22	206:7 207:14
154: <i>11</i> 155: <i>6</i>	12 101:19 102:10	194: <i>1</i> , <i>7</i> 197: <i>14</i>	208:17 227:7
158:17 173:10	106:11, 21 107:4	200:10, 11, 12	west 109:5 111:8
215:7, 9	109:7 113:9, 16	201:21 209:12	172:14
volumes 24:1	118:19 121:19	210:12, 14 215:1, 5,	western 77:16
70:23 74:3 76:12	122:2, 11 123:2, 8	7,9 217:9	124:4
157:3 171:18	124:15, 19 125:8,	waters 114:15	We've 8:7 11:22
173:15	10, 18, 21 130:17	123:9	15:13 53:9, 17
volunteer 24:9	148:23 149:2	watershed 65:8	103:13 126:15
	152:14 158:12, 23	way 39:22 87:3	151:11 152:10
<w></w>	159:4, 6 172:12, 23	103:17, 19 128:21	170:16 175:10
Wade 20:11, 18	173:4 174:11	130:21 143:1	220:2
21:13 22:10, 16	181:11 183:16	155:3 157:20	whatever's 209:2
Wait 90:7	184:14, 15 186:4	172:16 181:19, 20	Wheeler 205:17
waived 2:16	190:13, 17 195:16	182:14 193:16, 17	211:18
Wales 176:2	197:22 201:19	213:7, 23 218:20	Whilst 21:13
walk 155:15	202:21 203:3, 7, 19	221:3 222:17, 18	wide 73:12 164:17
	206:18 207:4, 5, 10,	226:21 227:6	

Case 2:16-cv-01443-AKK Document 55-2 Filed 08/15/18 Page 90 of 90 **Gordon Johnson 261**

201:12	76:22 77:3 123:7,	Yoho 19:20, 20	
wider 210:15, 17	12 128:15, 22	Yukon 78:18	
width 201:16	129:5 216:4		
wildlife 46:9 48:5	working 24:14, 17,	< Z >	
William 174:16	23 25:9 30:17	zone 112: <i>14</i> 158: <i>18</i>	
176:9	33:19 36:21 94:17		
winding 25:14	117:15 130:5		
wish 184:11	164:8		
witness 2:15 7:12	works 90:19		
10:8 13:7 42:7	world 103:21		
88:2 90:9 115:17	worth 199:23		
116:1, 6 126:11	222:9		
131:9 135:10	wrap 229:10		
181:7 191:21	write 177:9		
212:23 213:14, 15,	writing 75:18		
19 214:1 218:3, 19	148:2, 3 149:5		
225:10	written 39:16		
wondering 191:23	223:21		
wooden 204:6	wrong 68:3, 4, 17		
word 51:7 52:4	74:5 175:3 220: <i>1</i>		
75:5 92:8, 13	wrote 54:1 75:17		
100:9 118:3, 6	147:22 150:14		
127:13, 17 146:10,	Wyoming 17:23		
11 159:19	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
wording 172:3	< Y >		
words 54:23 55:2	yards 71:12, 13		
62:9 72:14 104:12	73:5, 12, 12, 13		
153:18 178:22	74:10, 11 93:2, 9		
199:23	129:3		
work 20:7, 14	Yeah 7:23 27:11		
21:2, 22 22:14, 17,	58:11, 17, 17 61:13		
18 23:4 25:3	78:10 83:7 86:8		
32:16 35:15 40:11	88:20 95:7 97:7		
41:7, 19, 20 50:19,	111:20 112:5		
21 59:9 64:16	115:22 116:10, 12		
80:18 81:23, 23	123:19 126:14		
94:16 101:9 102:4	131:12 142:15		
115:18 117:2, 4	153: <i>19</i> 155: <i>1</i>		
124:5 133:6, 8	165:7 190:8		
134:9 136:16	198:18 204:7		
171:8, 14, 21	208:21 213:5		
173:20 174:1	218:15 221:10		
188:2 219:6, 9	223:23 224:2		
228:11	year 54:2 206:6		
worked 17:2, 18	219: <i>1</i>		
20:12 21:4 22:16	years 18:5 37:16		
23:18, 19 35:12	39:21 40:2 82:12		
37:20 40:16, 17, 18,	117:11 132:17		
21, 22, 22, 23 42:15	yesterday 220:18		
43:17 44:5 71:19			
	•	1	